## CSE504 Compiler Design Syntax Analysis (LR, LALR Parsers)

YoungMin Kwon

## A Non-SLR(1) Grammar

$S \rightarrow L = R \mid R$	$I_0$ :	$S' \rightarrow \cdot S$	$I_5$ :	$L \rightarrow \mathbf{id}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$S \rightarrow \cdot L = R$ $S \rightarrow \cdot R$	<i>I</i> <sub>6</sub> :	$S \rightarrow L = \cdot R$
		$L \to \cdot * R \\ L \to \cdot \mathbf{id}$		$\begin{array}{c} R \to \cdot L \\ L \to \cdot \ast R \end{array}$
I, has a shift/reduce conflict.		$R \rightarrow \cdot L$		$L \rightarrow \cdot \mathbf{id}$
- S->L.=R : action[2.=] shift 6	$I_1$ :	$S' \to S \cdot$	$I_7$ :	$L \to \ast R \cdot$
– R->L. : action[2,=] reduce "R->L"	$I_2$ :	$S \to L \cdot = R$ $R \to L \cdot$	$I_8$ :	$R \rightarrow L \cdot$
<ul> <li>(= is in FOLLOW(R): S =&gt; L=R =&gt; *R=R)</li> </ul>	$I_3$ :	$S \rightarrow R$	<i>I</i> <sub>9</sub> :	$S \to L = R \cdot$
<ul> <li>I<sub>2</sub> is for a viable prefix L only and should not reduce R-&gt;L.</li> </ul>	<i>I</i> <sub>4</sub> :	$L \rightarrow * \cdot R$ $R \rightarrow \cdot L$ $L \rightarrow \cdot * R$ $L \rightarrow \cdot \mathbf{id}$		

# LR Parsing Table

- Add more information to the states
- Split states to indicate which input symbol can follow the handle.
- LR(1) item
  - $[A \rightarrow \alpha.\beta, a]$ , where  $A \rightarrow \alpha\beta$  is a production and a (lookahead of the item) is a terminal or \$.
  - Lookahead has no effect on the item [A-> $\alpha$ . $\beta$ , a] unless  $\beta$  is  $\epsilon$ .
  - For [A-> $\alpha$ ., a], call for the reduction only if the next input symbol is a.
- LR(1) item [A-> $\alpha$ . $\beta$ , a] is valid for a viable prefix  $\gamma$  if there is a derivation S =>\*  $\delta Aw => \delta \alpha \beta w$ , where

 $-\gamma = \delta \alpha$ 

- Either a is the first symbol of w or w is  $\epsilon$  and a is \$.

## LR Parsing Table

- Changes to CLOSURE
  - LR(0) items: add [B->. $\eta$ ] to I if [A-> $\alpha$ .B $\beta$ ] is in I.
  - LR(1) items: add [B->. $\eta$ , b] to I if [A-> $\alpha$ .B $\beta$ , a] is in I and b is a terminal in FIRST( $\beta$ a).
  - Why b is a terminal in FIRST(βa)
    - Suppose that  $S =>^* \delta Aax => \delta \alpha B \beta ax$
    - For the same viable prefix ( $\delta \alpha$ ), S =>\*  $\delta \alpha Bby => \delta \alpha \eta by$
    - b can be FIRST(β) or a if β =>\* ε. Hence, b can be FIRST(βa)

# LR(1) items

```
SetOfItems CLOSURE(I) {

repeat

for ( each item [A \rightarrow \alpha \cdot B\beta, a] in I )

for ( each production B \rightarrow \gamma in G' )

for ( each terminal b in FIRST(\beta a) )

add [B \rightarrow \cdot \gamma, b] to set I;

until no more items are added to I;

return I;
```

```
}
SetOfItems GOTO(I, X) {
initialize J to be the empty set;
for ( each item [A \rightarrow \alpha \cdot X\beta, a] in I )
add item [A \rightarrow \alpha X \cdot \beta, a] to set J;
return CLOSURE(J);
}
```

# LR(1) Items

void items(G') {
 initialize C to CLOSURE({[S'  $\rightarrow \cdot S, \$]$ });
 repeat
 for ( each set of items I in C )
 for ( each grammar symbol X )
 if ( GOTO(I, X) is not empty and not in C )
 add GOTO(I, X) to C;
 until no new sets of items are added to C;
}

#### LR(1) Items Example



## **Constructing LR Parsing Table**

- 1. Construct  $C' = \{I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ , the collection of sets of LR(1) items for G'.
- 2. State i of the parser is constructed from  $I_i$ . The parsing action for state i is determined as follows.
  - (a) If  $[A \to \alpha \cdot a\beta, b]$  is in  $I_i$  and GOTO $(I_i, a) = I_j$ , then set ACTION[i, a] to "shift j." Here a must be a terminal.
  - (b) If  $[A \to \alpha, a]$  is in  $I_i$ ,  $A \neq S'$ , then set ACTION[i, a] to "reduce  $A \to \alpha$ ."
  - (c) If  $[S' \to S, \$]$  is in  $I_i$ , then set ACTION[i, \$] to "accept."

If any conflicting actions result from the above rules, we say the grammar is not LR(1). The algorithm fails to produce a parser in this case.

- 3. The goto transitions for state *i* are constructed for all nonterminals *A* using the rule: If  $GOTO(I_i, A) = I_j$ , then GOTO[i, A] = j.
- 4. All entries not defined by rules (2) and (3) are made "error."
- 5. The initial state of the parser is the one constructed from the set of items containing  $[S' \to \cdot S, \$]$ .

#### **Constructing LR Parsing Table**



# LALR Parsing Table

- Merge LR(1) items with the same core (first component).
- No shift/reduce conflicts are introduced by the merge:
  - Suppose there is a conflict in a merged state.
  - There are  $[A \rightarrow \alpha.,a]$  and  $[B \rightarrow \beta.a\gamma, b]$  in the item.
  - Because the cores are the same, before the merge there is an item with  $[A->\alpha,a]$  and  $[B->\beta,a\gamma,c]$ .
  - Hence, the original item before the merge has a shift/reduce conflict.

# LALR Parsing Table

- A reduce/reduce conflict can be introduced by the merge.
- Quiz:
  - Find LR(1) items for the grammar below
  - Check how the reduce/reduce conflict is introduced by the merge.

#### LALR Parsing Table Construction

- 1. Construct  $C = \{I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_n\}$ , the collection of sets of LR(1) items.
- 2. For each core present among the set of LR(1) items, find all sets having that core, and replace these sets by their union.
- 3. Let  $C' = \{J_0, J_1, \ldots, J_m\}$  be the resulting sets of LR(1) items. The parsing actions for state *i* are constructed from  $J_i$  in the same manner as in Algorithm 4.56. If there is a parsing action conflict, the algorithm fails to produce a parser, and the grammar is said not to be LALR(1).
- 4. The GOTO table is constructed as follows. If J is the union of one or more sets of LR(1) items, that is,  $J = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k$ , then the cores of GOTO( $I_1, X$ ), GOTO( $I_2, X$ ), ..., GOTO( $I_k, X$ ) are the same, since  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k$  all have the same core. Let K be the union of all sets of items having the same core as GOTO( $I_1, X$ ). Then GOTO(J, X) = K.

#### LALR Parsing Table Example



## LR Parser and LALR Parser

- LR parser and LALR parser mimic each other for the correct input.
- For erroneous input,
  - LR parser detects error immediately.
  - LALR parser reduces several more steps and detects an error before shifting any symbols.

Quiz:		STATE	ACTION			GOTO		ST A TE	ACTION			GOTO	
1	Compare the steps for		c	d	\$	S	C	STATE	c	d	\$	S	C
<b>-</b> .	cdcd.	0	s3	$\mathbf{s4}$	0.00	1	2	0	s36	s47		1	2
2.	Compare the steps for 2 ccd. 4 5 6 7	$\frac{1}{2}$	s6	$\mathbf{s7}$	acc		5	$\frac{1}{2}$	s36	s47	acc		5
		3	s3	s4			8	36	s36	s47	- 9		89
		5	15	15	r1			47 5	r3	r3	r3 r1		
		6	s6	s7			9	89	r2	r2	r2		
		8	r2	r2	r3								
		9			r2								