



Motivation

- Bounds checking is a common mistake among C programmers
- Too many bugs to fix manually
- Sometimes it's just too hard to write a good static analysis

Memory Safety

CCured aims to achieve even stricter - type safety

Arguably changes code infection vulnerability to a DoS vulnerability.

Divide C language into three sublanguages:

1. SAFE – just like C except:

- No casts (except pointer → int)
- No pointer arithmetic
- No arrays
- No unions

Making SAFE safe

- NULL pointers → insert NULL checks
- Uninitialized pointers → always init to NULL
- Dangling pointers (already been freed): Never free() memory, can add garbage collector to reclaim some memory

<pre> int x; int *p = &x; char *q = malloc(1); int y = (int) q; free(q); *q = 5; </pre>	<pre> int x; int *p = &x; char *q = malloc(1); int y = (int) q; // no more free // free(q); if (q == NULL) abort(); *q = 5; </pre>
---	--

2. SEQ – SAFE plus the addition of the following:

- pointer arithmetic
- casts from int to pointer
- arrays

Making SEQ safe

- still need to init all pointers, no free, NULL check
- bounds check pointers:
 - pointer = < base, size, p >
 - int = < i >
- cast of int to pointer results in un-dereferenceable pointer: < 0, 0, p >
 - (may break some correct programs)

<pre> int *p = malloc(10); int x; int *q = &x; p += 5; *p = 0; </pre>	<pre> int *t = malloc(10); int *p = <t, t==NULL?0:10, t>; int x; int *q = <&x, sizeof(x), &x>; p = <t, 10, t+5>; if(p == NULL p < t p > (t + 10 - sizeof(*p)) { abort(); } *p = 0; </pre>
--	--

Converting between SEQ pointers and SAFE pointers

SEQ to SAFE:

```
if( PSEQ < base ||
    PSEQ >= (base + size - sizeof(*p))
{
    abort();
}
PSAFE = PSEQ;
```

SAFE to SEQ:

```
PSEQ = < PSAFE, sizeof(PSAFE), PSAFE >;
```

3. DYNAMIC (aka WILD) – SEQ plus the addition of the following:

- casts
- unions

Need a way to differentiate ints from pointers:

LSB of every word indicates whether it is a pointer or an int

Runtime check for type of word

```
int **pp;
int *p;
p = malloc(10);
pp = (int **) p;
```

pp → p →

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

RESULTS

- programs is free of buffer overflows
- overhead - runs about 50% slower on average
- may require substantial modification to program
- incompatibility with shared libraries not also compiled with CCured due to “fat pointers”