

Surface Modeling Techniques

- Fundamental techniques
 - interpolation, approximation
- Limitations
 - the network of data points is regular mesh
 - not useful for solid modeling
 - only interpolate or approximate points, not tangent plane, normal, etc

Recursive Subdivision

- Chaikin's idea (1974)
- A smooth curve from a polygonal shape
- Quadratic B-spline
- Tangent to each edge at its middle point
- Generalize to smooth surface from polyhedron

Chaikin's Algorithm

- A set of control points

$$p_0^0, p_1^0, \dots, p_n^0$$

- Subdivision process

$$p_0^k, p_1^k, \dots, p_{2^k n}^k$$

- Rules:

$$p_{2i}^{k+1} = \frac{3}{4}p_i^k + \frac{1}{4}p_{i+1}^k$$


$$p_{2i+1}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{4}p_i^k + \frac{3}{4}p_{i+1}^k$$

- Properties:

- quadratic B-spline curve
- C^1 continuous

- Other rules!!!

Cubic Spline Subdivision




Cubic Spline Subdivision

$$\mathbf{p}_{2i}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_i^k + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_{i+1}^k$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{2i+1}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_i^k + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_{i+2}^k\right) + \frac{3}{4}\mathbf{p}_{i+1}^k$$

- Cubic B-spline
- C^2 continuous
- Corner-chopping!
- NOT interpolatory!


Recursive Subdivision (Idea)



Recursive Subdivision (Rules)

- How NEW vertices are constructed DIFFERS tremendously!!!
- Sabin & Doo approach (1978)
 - extraordinary points corresponding to the vertices when n edges meet (n is not 4)!
 - the limit surface is C^1
 - interpolate the centroids of all faces at every step subdivision
 - rules: $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} w_j$
 - v_i : new vertex
 - w_j : old vertex that defines a face F

Sabin & Doo Algorithm



Sabin & Doo Algorithm


- **Subdivision Rules**

$$\alpha_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{n+5}{4n} & i = j \\ \frac{3+2\cos(\frac{2(i-j)\pi}{n})}{4n} & otherwise \end{cases}$$

- connect new vertices and generate new faces and edges


- **V-face**
- **E-face**
- **F-face**

Sabin & Doo Algorithm



Catmull-Clark Surface

■ vertex point ● face point



Catmull-Clark Subdivision


- NEW face points — the average of all OLD points defining the face
- NEW edge points — the average of the following four points:
two OLD vertices defining the edge and two NEW face points of the faces adjacent to the edge
- NEW vertex points — from the average:
$$\frac{F}{n} + \frac{2E}{n} + \frac{(n - 3)V}{n}$$
where
 - F : the average of the NEW face points of all faces adjacent to the OLD vertex point
 - E : the average of the midpoints of all edges incident the OLD vertex
 - V : OLD vertex point
 - n : the number of the edges incident on the vertex
- NEW edges: (NEW face point, NEW edge point)

- NEW edges: (NEW vertex point, NEW edge point)
- NEW faces: enclosed by NEW edges


Catmull-Clark Surfaces

- NO parametric domains!
- Geometric objects of arbitrary topology
- Special case: standard bicubic B-spline surfaces
- A finite number of extraordinary points
- Curvature-continuous except at extraordinary vertices
- Tangent-plane-continuous at extraordinary vertices

Catmull-Clark Surface



Interpolation Subdivision



Interpolation Subdivision

- Control points

$$p_{-2}^0, p_{-1}^0, \dots, p_n^0$$

- Rules:


$$p_{2i}^{k+1} = p_i^k, -1 \leq i \leq 2^k n + 1$$

$$p_{2i+1}^{k+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + w\right)(p_i^k + p_{i+1}^k) - w(p_{i-1}^k + p_{i+2}^k)$$

where $-1 \leq i \leq 2^k n$

- At each stage, we keep all the OLD points and insert NEW points “in between” the OLD ones
- Interpolation!
- The behaviors and properties of the limit curve depend on the parameter w
- Generalize to SIX-point interpolatory scheme!

Butterfly Subdivision




Surface Construction

- Generator curves
- Transfinite patch
- Multiple patch
- “Carpet” method
- Subdivision
- Algebraic patch
- Irregular surface
- Multivariate B-splines
- Other representations

Algebraic Function

- Parametric representation is popular, but...
- Formulation:
$$\sum_{i,j,k, i+j+k=n} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k = 0$$
- Properties...
 - powerful, but lack of modeling tools

Implicit Function



Algebraic Patch

- A tetrahedron with noncoplanar vertices

$$\mathbf{v}_{n000}, \mathbf{v}_{0n00}, \mathbf{v}_{00n0}, \mathbf{v}_{000n}$$

- Trivariate barycentric coordinate (r, s, t, u) for p

$$p = r\mathbf{v}_{n000} + s\mathbf{v}_{0n00} + t\mathbf{v}_{00n0} + u\mathbf{v}_{000n}$$

where $r + s + t + u = 1$

- A regular lattice of control points and weights

$$p_{ijkl} = \frac{i\mathbf{v}_{n000} + j\mathbf{v}_{0n00} + k\mathbf{v}_{00n0} + l\mathbf{v}_{000n}}{n}$$

where $i, j, k, l \geq 0$, and $i + j + k + l = n$,

there are $(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)/6$ control points

A weight w_{ijkl} is assigned to each control point.


- Algebraic patch formulation

$$\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_{l=n-i-j-k} w_{ijkl} \frac{n!}{i!j!k!l!} r^i s^j t^k u^l = 0$$

- **properties**

- **meaningful control**
- **local control**
- **boundary interpolation**
- **gradient control**
- **self-intersection avoidance**
- **continuity condition across the boundaries**
- **subdivision**


Algebraic Patch




Geometric and Variational Splines

- Smoothness requirements at conjunction points
- Parametric continuity is TOO restrictive!
- Geometric continuity via reparameterization
- G^n : C^n continuous under arc-length parameterization
- G^1 and G^2
- Geometric continuity is less restrictive!
- Shape parameters: tension, bias, etc.
- Many special splines

Tension Control



Tension Control



Special Splines

- Beta-spline: generalization of cubic B-spline
- Catmull-Rom splines: $\mathbf{c}(u) = \sum_i \mathbf{a}_i(u) W_i(u)$
- Nu-spline as a G^2 (continuous curvature) piecewise polynomial minimizing the following functional over $[t_0, t_n]$:

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_n} \|\mathbf{f}''(u)\|^2 du + \sum_{i=0}^n \nu_i \|\mathbf{f}'(u_i)\|^2$$

subject to the interpolation $\mathbf{f}(t_i) = \mathbf{d}_i$ and necessary end conditions.

- Tau-spline: a quintic spline that maintains both curvature and torsion continuity by minimizing

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_n} \|\mathbf{f}^{(k)}(u)\|^2 du + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \nu_{i,j} \|\mathbf{f}^{(j)}(u_i)\|^2$$

subject to interpolatory constraints.

- **Weighted Nu-spline by minimizing**

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \|\mathbf{f}''(u)\|^2 du + \sum_{i=0}^n \nu_i \|\mathbf{f}'(u_i)\|^2,$$

subject to the interpolatory conditions $\mathbf{f}(t_i) = \mathbf{d}_i$

- **Others!!!**

Geometric Design Paradigms

- Interpolation
- Approximation
- Interactive manipulation
- Cross-sectional design
- Optimization
- Others!


Interpolation

- A B-spline curve with $n + 1$ control points
- Interpolate independent $n + 1$ data points d_i
- The parametric values u_0, \dots, u_n are provided
- solve the linear equation


$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{0,k}(u_0) & \cdots & B_{n,k}(u_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{0,k}(u_n) & \cdots & B_{n,k}(u_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_0 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{bmatrix}$$

- How about the parameterization is unknown
- Non-linear system
- Generalize to tensor-product surfaces
- data points conform to a rectangular grid
- “Lofting”: a smooth surface passes through a set of cross-sectional curves

Cross-Sectional Design



Scattered Data Interpolation



Approximation

- **Rationales**
 - data exchange
 - data reduction
 - decomposition
 - multi-level analysis and hierarchical model
 - special shapes
- **The system is overdetermined**
$$\mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{d}$$
- **Approximation minimizes the error functional**
$$E = \sum_{i=0}^m \|\mathbf{c}(u_i) - \mathbf{d}_i\|^2$$

Interactive Manipulation

- Control points
- Weights
- Knot vector
- Shape parameters
- Free-form deformation
- High-level deformation
- Others!

Variational Design

- Functional requirements
 - interpolation, continuity, etc
- Visually-pleasing or fair shapes implied in data points
 - monotonic, convex, etc
- Shape-preserving interpolant
- Qualitative and subjective criteria
- Partial information
- Objective function for energy functional
- Optimization subject to constraints
- Less user input
- Automatic determination via optimization solver

Shape-Preserving Interpolation

