Theory of Computation (Turing-Complete Systems)

Pramod Ganapathi

Department of Computer Science State University of New York at Stony Brook

July 28, 2023



Contents

- Turing-Complete Systems
 - Unrestricted Grammars
 - Lindenmayer Systems
 - Gödel's μ -Recursive Functions
 - While Programs

Turing-Complete Systems

Models more powerful than TM's

Problem

• Are there models of computation more powerful than Turing machines?

Models more powerful than TM's

• Are there models of computation more powerful than Turing machines?

Solution

- Nobody knows if there are more powerful models.
- However, there are many computational models equivalent in power to TM's. They are called Turing-complete systems.

Problem

• How do you prove the functional equivalence of two given computation models M_1 and M_2 , i.e., $M_1 \Leftrightarrow M_2$?

Models more powerful than TM's

• Are there models of computation more powerful than Turing machines?

Solution

- Nobody knows if there are more powerful models.
- However, there are many computational models equivalent in power to TM's. They are called Turing-complete systems.

Problem

• How do you prove the functional equivalence of two given computation models M_1 and M_2 , i.e., $M_1 \Leftrightarrow M_2$?

Solution

- Simulation!
- Simulate M_1 from M_2 . Simulate M_2 from M_1 .

Variants of TM's

- TM's with a two-way infinite tape
- TM's with multiple heads
- TM's with a multidimensional tape
- TM's with multiple tapes
- TM's with random access memory
- TM's with nondeterminism
- TM's with stacks
- TM's with queues
- TM's with counters
- None of these variants are more powerful than a TM.

Systems

- Modern computers (assuming ∞ memory)
- Church's lambda calculus.
- Gödel's μ -recursive functions (building computable functions).
- Post's tag systems aka Post machines (NFA + FIFO queue)
- Post production systems (has grammar-like rules)
- Unrestricted grammars (generalization of CFG's).
- Markov algorithms.
- Conway's Game of Life.
- One dimensional cellular automata.
- Theoretical models of DNA-based computing.
- Lindenmayer systems or L-systems.
- While programs.

Unrestricted Grammars

What is an unrestricted grammar (UG)?

- Grammar = A set of rules for a language
- Unrestricted = No restrictions/constraints on production rules

What is an unrestricted grammar (UG)?

- Grammar = A set of rules for a language
- Unrestricted = No restrictions/constraints on production rules

Definition

An unrestricted grammar (UG) M is a 4-tuple

$$G = (N, \Sigma, S, P)$$
, where,

- 1. N: A finite set (set of nonterminals/variables).
- 2. Σ : A finite set (alphabet).
- 3. $P: A \text{ finite set of productions/rules of the form } \alpha \rightarrow \beta$,
 - $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ and α contains at least one nonterminal.
 - ▷ Time (computation)
 - ▷ Space (computer memory)
- 4. S: The start nonterminal (belongs to N).

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L=\{a^{2^n}\mid n\geq 0\}$

Problem	
• Construct an UG that accep $L = \{a^{2^n} \mid n \ge 0\}$	ts all strings from the language
Solution	
• $S \rightarrow LaR$	
$L \to LD$	
$Da \rightarrow aaD$	$\triangleright D$ acts as a doubling operator
$DR \rightarrow R$	
$L \to \epsilon$	
$R \to \epsilon$	

• Can you derive the string *a* from the grammar?

Solution (continued)	
• Grammar: $S \rightarrow LaR$ $L \rightarrow LD$ $Da \rightarrow aaD$	$\begin{array}{l} DR \rightarrow R \\ L \rightarrow \epsilon \\ R \rightarrow \epsilon \end{array}$
• Recognizing a : $S \Rightarrow LaR$ $\Rightarrow aR$ $\Rightarrow a$	

• Can you derive the string *aa* from the grammar?



• Can you derive the string *aaaa* from the grammar?

Solution (continued)	
• Grammar: $S \rightarrow LaR$ $L \rightarrow LD$ $Da \rightarrow aaD$	$DR \to R$ $L \to \epsilon$ $R \to \epsilon$
• Recognizing <i>aaaa</i> : $S \Rightarrow LaR$ $\Rightarrow LDaR$ $\Rightarrow LDDaR$ $\Rightarrow LDaaDR$ $\Rightarrow LaaDaDR$	$\Rightarrow LaaaaDDR$ $\Rightarrow LaaaaDR$ $\Rightarrow LaaaaR$ $\Rightarrow aaaaR$ $\Rightarrow aaaaR$ $\Rightarrow aaaa$

• Can you derive the string *aaaaaaaa* from the grammar?

Solution (continued)

- Grammar:
 - $\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow LaR \\ L \rightarrow LD \\ Da \rightarrow aaD \end{array}$
- Recognizing *aaaaaaaa*: $S \Rightarrow LaR$
 - $\Rightarrow LDaR$
 - $\Rightarrow LDDaR$
 - $\Rightarrow LDDDaR$
 - $\Rightarrow LDDaaDR$
 - $\Rightarrow LDaaDaDR$
 - $\Rightarrow LDaaaaDDR$
 - $\Rightarrow LaaDaaaDDR$

- $\begin{array}{l} DR \rightarrow R \\ L \rightarrow \epsilon \\ R \rightarrow \epsilon \end{array}$
- $\Rightarrow LaaaaDaaDDR$
- \Rightarrow LaaaaaaDaDDR
- \Rightarrow Laaaaaaa DDDR
- \Rightarrow Laaaaaaa DDR
- \Rightarrow Laaaaaaa DR
- \Rightarrow LaaaaaaaaR
- $\Rightarrow aaaaaaaaR$
- \Rightarrow aaaaaaaaa

• Can you identify the generic technique in deriving the string a^{2^k} from the grammar?

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^{2^n} \mid n \ge 0\}$

Solution (continued)

• Recognizing a^{2^k} : $S \Rightarrow^* LaR$ $\Rightarrow^* LD^k aR$ $\Rightarrow^* La^{2^k}D^kR$ $\Rightarrow^* La^{2^k}R$ $\Rightarrow^* a^{2^k}R$ $\Rightarrow^* a^{2^k}$

▷ Most important step

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 0\}$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 0\}$

Solution

• $S \rightarrow ABCS$ $S \to T_c$ $T_c \to T_b$ $T_h \rightarrow T_a$ $T_a \to \epsilon$ $CA \rightarrow AC$ $BA \rightarrow AB$ $CB \rightarrow BC$ $CT_c \rightarrow T_c c$ $BT_b \rightarrow T_b b$ $AT_a \rightarrow T_a a$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 0\}$

Solution (continued)

• Recognizing *abc*: $S \Rightarrow ABCS$ $\Rightarrow ABCT_c \quad (\because S \to T_c)$ $\Rightarrow ABT_cc \quad (\because CT_c \to T_cc)$ $\Rightarrow ABT_bc \quad (\because T_c \to T_b)$ $\Rightarrow AT_bbc \quad (\because BT_b \to T_bb)$ $\Rightarrow AT_abc \quad (\because T_b \to T_a)$ $\Rightarrow T_aabc \quad (\because AT_a \to T_aa)$ $\Rightarrow abc \quad (\because T_a \to \epsilon)$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 0\}$

Solution (continued)

• Recognizing aabbcc: $S \Rightarrow ABCS$ $\Rightarrow ABCABCS$ $\Rightarrow ABACBCS$ $\Rightarrow AABCBCS$ $\Rightarrow AABBCCS$ $\Rightarrow AABBCCS$ $\Rightarrow AABBCCT_c$ $\Rightarrow AABBCT_cc$

- $\Rightarrow AABBT_bcc$
- $\Rightarrow AABT_bbcc$
- $\Rightarrow AAT_bbbcc$
- $\Rightarrow AAT_abbcc$
- $\Rightarrow AT_a abbcc$
- $\Rightarrow T_a aabbcc$
- $\Rightarrow aabbcc$

Problem

 \bullet Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L=\{a^nb^nc^n\mid n\geq 0\}$

Solution (continued)

- Recognizing aaabbbccc: S ⇒ ABCS ⇒ ABCABCS
 - $\Rightarrow ABCABCABCS$ $\Rightarrow ABCABCABCS$
 - $\Rightarrow ABACBCABCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AABCBCABCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AABCBACBCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AABCABCBCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AABACBCBCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AAABCBCBCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AAABBCCBCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AAABBCBCCS \\\Rightarrow AAABBBCCCS$
 - $\Rightarrow AAABBBBBCCCT_{c}$

- $\Rightarrow AAABBBCCT_cc$
- $\Rightarrow AAABBBCT_ccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAABBBT_cccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAABBBT_bccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAABBT_bbccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAABT_bbbccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAAT_bbbbccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAAT_abbbccc$
- $\Rightarrow AAT_a abbbccc$
- $\Rightarrow AT_a aabbbccc$
- $\Rightarrow T_a aaabbbccc$
- $\Rightarrow aaabbbccc$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 0\}$

Solution (continued)

• Recognizing
$$a^k b^k c^k$$
:
 $S \Rightarrow ABCS$
 $\Rightarrow^* (ABC)^k S$
 $\Rightarrow^* A^k B^k C^k S$
 $\Rightarrow^* A^k B^k T_c c^k$
 $\Rightarrow^* A^k B^k T_b c^k$
 $\Rightarrow^* A^k T_b b^k c^k$
 $\Rightarrow^* T_a a^k b^k c^k$
 $\Rightarrow^* a^k b^k c^k$

▷ Toughest step

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 1\}$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \ | \ n \geq 1\}$

Solution

• $S \rightarrow SABC$ $S \rightarrow LABC$ $BA \rightarrow AB$ $CB \rightarrow BC$ $CA \rightarrow AC$ $LA \rightarrow a$ $aA \rightarrow aa$ $aB \rightarrow ab$ $bB \rightarrow bb$ $bC \rightarrow bc$ $cC \rightarrow cc$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1\}$

Solution (continued)

- Recognizing *abc*: $S \Rightarrow LABC \Rightarrow aBC \Rightarrow abC \Rightarrow abc$
- Recognizing *aabbcc*:
 - $S \Rightarrow SABC$ $\Rightarrow LABCABC$ $\Rightarrow LABACBC$ $\Rightarrow LABABCC$ $\Rightarrow LAABBCC$ $\Rightarrow aABBCC$

 $\Rightarrow aaBBCC$ $\Rightarrow aabBCC$ $\Rightarrow aabbCC$ $\Rightarrow aabbcC$ $\Rightarrow aabbcC$ $\Rightarrow aabbcc$

Problem

• Construct an UG that accepts all strings from the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1\}$

Solution (continued)

• Recognizing $a^k b^k c^k$: $S \Rightarrow SABC$ $\Rightarrow^* S(ABC)^{k-1}$ $\Rightarrow^* L(ABC)^k$ $\Rightarrow^* LA^k B^k C^k$ $\Rightarrow^* a^k B^k C^k$ $\Rightarrow^* a^k b^k C^k$ $\Rightarrow^* a^k b^k c^k$

▷ Toughest step

Lindenmayer Systems

What is an L-system?

Definition

A Lindenmayer system (L-system) is a 4-tuple

L = (V, C, S, R), where,

- 1. V: A finite set (set of variables).
- 2. C: A finite set of constants.
- 3. S: The starting string (belongs to $(V \cup C)^*$), aka axiom.
- 4. R: A finite set of rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$,

 $\alpha, \beta \in (V \cup C)^*$ and α contains at least one variable.

▷ Time (computation) and Space (computer memory)

What is an L-system?

Definition

A Lindenmayer system (L-system) is a 4-tuple

L = (V, C, S, R), where,

- 1. V: A finite set (set of variables).
- 2. C: A finite set of constants.
- 3. S: The starting string (belongs to $(V \cup C)^*$), aka axiom.
- 4. R: A finite set of rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$,
 - $\alpha,\beta\in (V\cup C)^*$ and α contains at least one variable.

▷ Time (computation) and Space (computer memory)

Difference

A Lindenmayer system (L-system) differs from an unrestricted grammar in three major ways:

- 1. You apply all rules in parallel or simultaneously.
- 2. You start with a starting string.
- 3. All strings produced are in the language.

What are the applications of L-systems?

Applications

- Generate self-similar fractals.
- Model the growth processes of a variety of organisms (e.g.: plants, algae, etc).
- Compose music, predict protein folding, and design buildings.



Example: Rabbit population

Problem

• Construct an L-system to model rabbit population.

Problem

• Construct an L-system to model rabbit population.

Solution



Ŵ

Example: Sierpinksi triangle

Problem

• Construct an L-system to draw a Sierpinksi triangle.

Problem

• Construct an L-system to draw a Sierpinksi triangle.

Solution

• L-system.

$$\begin{split} \text{Variables} &= \{A, B\}.\\ \text{Terminals} &= \{+, -\}.\\ \text{Starting string} &= ABA - -AA - -AA.\\ \text{Rules} &= \{A \rightarrow AA, B \rightarrow - -ABA + +ABA + +ABA - -\}. \end{split}$$

• Meaning.

$$A, B =$$
 go forward a unit length.
+ = turn left by 60° .

 $- = turn right by 60^{\circ}.$

Example: Sierpinksi triangle



Example: Trees

Problem

• Construct an L-system to draw a tree.

Example: Trees

Problem

• Construct an L-system to draw a tree.

Solution

- L-system.
 - Variables = {F}. Terminals = {+, -, [,]}. Start = F. Rules = { $F \rightarrow F[-F]F[+F][F]$ }.
- Meaning.
 - F = go forward a unit length.
 - + = turn left by 36° . = turn right by 36° .

[= push the current pen position and direction onto the stack.] = pop the top pen position/direction off the stack, lift up the pen, move it to the position that is now on the top of the stack, put it back down, and set its direction to the one on the top of the stack.

Example: Trees



Gödel's μ -Recursive Functions

Concept

- Computable functions are comparable to algorithms.
- Gödel developed primitive recursive functions to model all computable functions.
- Ackermann showed a computable function that was not primitive recursive.
- Gödel expanded his definition and developed
 μ-recursive functions to model all computable functions.
- Gödel's µ-recursive functions are computationally equivalent to algorithms or Turing-computable functions.

What are μ -recursive functions?



Equivalent to algorithms or Turing-computable functions

Definition

The primitive recursive functions are the smallest class of functions from $\mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{W}$ to \mathbb{W} that includes:

- 1. zero function
- 2. successor function
- 3. projection function

and that is closed under the operations:

- 4. composition of functions
- 5. primitive recursion

 \triangleright for loop

Examples

• Arithmetic operations, logical operations, several mathematical functions (such as factorial, combination, etc), and so on.

Definition

• The *k*-ary zero function for any $k \in \mathbb{W}$ is defined as $\operatorname{zero}_k(X) = 0$, where $X = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{W}$

Examples

- $\operatorname{zero}_0() = 0$
- $\operatorname{zero}_1(n) = 0$
- $zero_2(n_1, n_2) = 0$
- $\operatorname{zero}_{100}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}) = 0$

Definition

• The successor function is defined as succ(n) = n + 1, for all $n \in \mathbb{W}$

Examples

- \bullet $\operatorname{succ}(-1)$ is not defined for negative numbers
- $\operatorname{succ}(0) = 1$
- $\operatorname{succ}(1) = 2$
- succ(100) = 101
- For what value of x we have succ(x) = 0?

Projection function ($\mathbb{W}^k \to \mathbb{W}$)

Definition

• The projection function for any $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \leq k$ is defined as $\operatorname{proj}_{k,i}(X) = n_i$, where $X = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{W}$

Examples

- proj for k=0 is not defined
- $\operatorname{proj}_{1,1}(n) = n$

 \vartriangleright identity function

- $\text{proj}_{2,1}(n_1, n_2) = n_1$
- $\operatorname{proj}_{100,57}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}) = n_{57}$

Combining functions

Composition function $(\mathbb{W}^k \to \mathbb{W})$ • The k-ary composition function of q and $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{\ell}$ for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{W}$ is defined as $f(X) = g(h_1(X), h_2(X), \dots, h_\ell(X))$ where $X = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{W}$ Primitive recursion $(\mathbb{W}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{W})$ • The (k+1)-ary function defined recursively by g and h for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{W}$ is defined as f(X,0) = g(X) $\overline{f(X, m+1)} = h(f(X, m), X, m)$ where $X = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_k, m \in \mathbb{W}$

Primitive recursive functions





Ackermann function

Definition

- Ackermann function is the simplest example of an intuitively computable total function that is not primitive recursive.
- It is defined as:

$$A(m,n) = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ A(m-1,1) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ A(m-1,A(m,n-1)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Computable functions

Primitive recursive

functions

Ackermann function

Definition	
The μ -recursive functions are the smallest class of functions from	
$\mathbb{W} imes \mathbb{W} imes \cdots imes \mathbb{W}$ to \mathbb{W} that includes:	
1. zero function	
2. successor function	
3. projection function	
and that is closed under the operations:	
4. composition of functions	
5. primitive recursion	▷ halting for-loop
6. minimalization of minimalizable functions	
	halting while-loop

• *µ*-recursive functions are computationally equivalent to algorithms or Turing-computable functions.

What are minimizable functions?

Definition

• Let g be a (k + 1)-ary function, for some $k \ge 0$. The minimalization of g is the k-ary function f defined as follows. $f(X) = \begin{cases} \text{least } m \in \mathbb{W} \text{ such that } g(X,m) = 1 & \text{if } m \text{ exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

 $\mathrm{TM}\text{-}\mathrm{Min}(g,X)$

 $\triangleright f(X)$

- 1. $m \leftarrow 0$ 2. while $g(X,m) \neq 1$ do 3. $m \leftarrow m + 1$
- 4. return m

 $\rm TM\text{-}M\textsc{in}$ might not halt if no value of m exists.

What are minimizable functions?

Definition

• Let g be a (k + 1)-ary function, for some $k \ge 0$. The minimalization of g is the k-ary function f defined as follows. $f(X) = \begin{cases} \text{least } m \in \mathbb{W} \text{ such that } g(X,m) = 1 & \text{if } m \text{ exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

 $\mathrm{TM}\text{-}\mathrm{MIN}(g,X)$

 $\triangleright f(X)$

- 1. $m \leftarrow 0$ 2. while $g(X,m) \neq 1$ do 3. $m \leftarrow m + 1$
- 4. return m

 $\rm TM\text{-}M\textsc{in}$ might not halt if no value of m exists.

• A function g is called minimalizable function iff for every X, there is an m such that g(X,m) = 1. A function g is minimalizable iff TM-MIN always halts.

	Primitive rec. functions	μ -recursive functions
Comparable to	Halting for-loops	Halting while-loops
#Iterations	Known beforehand	Not known beforehand

 $\mu\text{-}\mathrm{Recursive}$ functions

Primitive recursive functions



Equivalent to algorithms or Turing-computable functions

While Programs

What are for and while programs?

Operations	For programs	While programs
Assignments	1	1
e.g. $x \leftarrow y + 5$		
Sequential compositions	1	1
e.g. $p;q$		
Conditionals	1	1
e.g. if $(x < y)$ then p else q		
For loops	1	<i>✓</i>
e.g. for y do p		
While loops	×	1
e.g. while $x < y$ do p		

Difference	For programs	While programs
Definition	For programs are computer programs without the while construct.	While programs are com- puter programs with the while construct.
#Iterations	Known beforehand. Does change after the execution of the loop body.	Might change after the exe- cution of the loop body.
Halting	Always halt.	Might not halt.

Relationship with recursive functions

Time	Formal functions	Computer programs
Finite	Primitive rec. functions	For programs
	μ -recursive functions	Halting while programs
Infinite	Partially rec. functions	Non-halting while programs