The Logic of Quantified Statements

CSE 215, Foundations of Computer Science

Stony Brook University

http://www.cs.stonybrook.edu/~cse215

The Logic of Quantified Statements

All men are mortal.

Socrates is a man.

∴ Socrates is mortal.

- ***Propositional calculus***: analysis of ordinary compound statements
- ***Predicate calculus***: symbolic analysis of predicates and **quantified statements ($\forall x$, $\exists x$)**
  - *P* is a *predicate symbol*

    P stands for "*is a student at SBU*"

    P(x) stands for "*x is a student at SBU*"
  - *x* is a *predicate variable*

Predicates and Quantified Statements

- A ***predicate*** is a sentence that contains a finite number of variables and becomes a ***statement*** when specific values are substituted for the variables.
- The ***domain*** of a predicate variable is the set of all values that may be substituted in place of the variable.
- Example:

$P(x)$ is the predicate "$x^2 > x$", x has as a domain the set **R** of all real numbers

$P(2)$: $2^2 > 2$. *True.*

$P(1/2)$: $(1/2)^2 > 1/2$. *False.*

Truth Set of a Predicate

- If $P(x)$ is a predicate and x has domain D, the truth set of $P(x)$, $\{x \in D \mid P(x)\}$, is the set of all elements of D that make $P(x)$ true when they are substituted for x.
- Example:

  $Q(n)$ is the predicate for "n is a factor of 8."

  if the domain of n is the set $\mathbf{Z}$ of all integers

  The truth set is $\{1, 2, 4, 8, -1, -2, -4, -8\}$

The Universal Quantifier: $\forall$

- Quantifiers are words that refer to quantities ("some" or "all") and tell for how many elements a given predicate is true.
- **Universal quantifier:** $\forall$ is "for all"
- Example:

  "All human beings are mortal"

  $\forall$ human beings x, x is mortal.

  - If H is the set of all human beings

  $\forall x \in H$, x is mortal

Universal statements

- A **universal statement** is a statement of the form

"$\forall x \in D, Q(x)$" where $Q(x)$ is a predicate and D is the domain of x.

  - $\forall x \in D, Q(x)$ is true if, and only if, $Q(x)$ is true for every x in D
  - $\forall x \in D, Q(x)$ is false if, and only if, $Q(x)$ is false for at least one x in D (the value for x is a ***counterexample***)

- Example:

$$\forall x \in D, x^2 \geq x \qquad \text{where } D = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

$$1^2 \geq 1, \qquad 2^2 \geq 2, \qquad 3^2 \geq 3, \qquad 4^2 \geq 4, \qquad 5^2 \geq 5$$

  - Hence "$\forall x \in D, x^2 \geq x$" is true.

The Existential Quantifier: ∃

- **Existential quantifier:** ∃ is "there exists" or "for some"
- Example:
  - "There is a student in CSE 215"

∃ a person p such that p is a student in CSE 215

$\exists p \in P$ (a person p), such that, p is a student in CSE 215

where P is the set of all people

The Existential Quantifier: ∃

- An **existential statement** is a statement of the form

"$\exists x \in D$ such that $Q(x)$" where $Q(x)$ is a predicate and D the domain of x

  - $\exists x \in D$ s.t. $Q(x)$ is true if, and only if, $Q(x)$ is true for at least one x in D
  - $\exists x \in D$ s.t. $Q(x)$ is false if, and only if, $Q(x)$ is false for all x in D

- Example:
  - $\exists m \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ such that $m^2 = m$

    $1^2 = 1$ True

- Notation: I will use s.t. for "such that" to be concise

The Relation among ∀, ∃, ∧, and ∨

- $D = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ and

$\forall x \in D, Q(x) \equiv Q(x_1) \wedge Q(x_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge Q(x_n)$

- $D = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ and

$\exists x \in D$ such that $Q(x) \equiv Q(x_1) \vee Q(x_2) \vee \cdots \vee Q(x_n)$

Universal Conditional Statements

- **Universal conditional statement:**

$\forall x$, *if* $P(x)$ *then* $Q(x)$

- Example:

  If a real number is greater than 2 then its square is greater than 4.

$$\forall x \in \boldsymbol{R}, \textit{ if } x > 2 \textit{ then } x^2 > 4$$

Vacuous Truth of Universal Statements



All the balls in the bowl are blue True

$\forall x$ in D, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$ is *vacuously true* or *true by default* if, and only if, $P(x)$ is false for every x in D

Equivalent Forms of Universal and Existential Statements

- $\forall x \in U$, *if P(x) then Q(x)* can be rewritten in the form $\forall x \in D$, *Q(x)* by narrowing *U* to be the domain *D* consisting of all values of the variable *x* that make *P(x)* true.
  - Example: $\forall x$, *if x is a square then x is a rectangle*
    $\forall$ *squares x, x is a rectangle.*
- $\exists x$ *such that P(x) and Q(x)* can be rewritten in the form $\exists x \in D$ *such that Q(x)* where *D* consists of all values of the variable *x* that make *P(x)* true

Implicit Quantification

- $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$ means that every element in the truth set of $P(x)$ is in the truth set of $Q(x)$, or, equivalently, $\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$
- $P(x) \Leftrightarrow Q(x)$ means that $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ have identical truth sets, or, equivalently, $\forall x, P(x) \boxed{\leftrightarrow} Q(x)$.

Negations of Quantified Statements

- Negation of a Universal Statement:

The negation of a statement of the form $\forall x \in D, Q(x)$ is logically equivalent to a statement of the form $\exists x \in D, \sim Q(x)$, that is:

$$\sim(\forall x \in D, Q(x)) \equiv \exists x \in D, \sim Q(x)$$

- Example:
  - "All mathematicians wear glasses"
  - Its negation is: "There is at least one mathematician who does not wear glasses"
  - IMPORTANT: Its negation is NOT "No mathematicians wear glasses"!!!!

Negations of Quantified Statements

- Negation of an Existential Statement

The negation of a statement of the form $\exists x \in D, Q(x)$ is logically equivalent to a statement of the form $\forall x \in D, \sim Q(x)$, that is:

$$\sim(\exists x \in D, Q(x)) \equiv \forall x \in D, \sim Q(x)$$

- Example:
  - "Some snowflakes are the same."
  - Its negation is: "No snowflakes are the same" which means: "All snowflakes are different."

Negations of Quantified Statements

- More Examples:
  - ~(∀ primes p, p is odd) ≡ ∃ a prime p such that p is **not** odd
  - ~(∃ a triangle T such that the sum of the angles of T equals 200°) ≡ ∀ triangles T, the sum of the angles of T **does not** equal 200°
  - ~(∀ politicians x, x is **not** honest) ≡ ∃ a politician x such that x is honest **(by double negation)**
  - ~(∀ computer programs p, p is finite) ≡ ∃ a computer program p that is not finite
  - ~(∃ a computer hacker c, c is over 40) ≡ ∀ computer hacker c, c is 40 or under
  - ~(∃ an integer n between 1 and 37 such that 1,357 is divisible by n) ≡ ∀ integers n between 1 and 37, 1,357 is not divisible by n

Negations of Quantified Statements

- $\sim(\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \equiv \exists x$ such that $P(x) \land \sim Q(x)$

Proof:

$\sim(\forall x, P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \equiv \exists x$ such that $\sim(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

$\sim(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \equiv \sim(\sim P(x) \lor Q(x)) \equiv \sim\sim P(x) \land \sim Q(x)) \equiv P(x) \land \sim Q(x)$

- Examples:
  - $\sim$($\forall$ people p, if p is blond then p has blue eyes) $\equiv$
    $\exists$ a person p such that p is blond and p does not have blue eyes
  - $\sim$(If a computer program has more than 100,000 lines, then it contains a bug) $\equiv$ There is at least one computer program that has more than 100,000 lines and does not contain a bug

Variants of Universal Conditional Statements

- Universal conditional statement: $\forall x \in D$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$
- **Contrapositive:** $\forall x \in D$, if $\sim Q(x)$ then $\sim P(x)$

  $\forall x \in D$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x) \equiv \forall x \in D$, if $\sim Q(x)$ then $\sim P(x)$

  Proof: for any x in D by the logical equivalence between statement and its contrapositive
- **Converse:** $\forall x \in D$, if $Q(x)$ then $P(x)$.
- **Inverse:** $\forall x \in D$, if $\sim P(x)$ then $\sim Q(x)$.
- Example:

  $\forall x \in R$, if $x > 2$ then $x^2 > 4$

  Contrapositive: $\forall x \in R$, if $x^2 \leq 4$ then $x \leq 2$

  Converse: $\forall x \in R$, if $x^2 > 4$ then $x > 2$

  Inverse: $\forall x \in R$, if $x \leq 2$ then $x^2 \leq 4$

Necessary and Sufficient Conditions

- Necessary condition:

"$\forall x$, r (x) is a **necessary condition** for s(x)" means

"$\forall x$, if ~r (x) then ~s(x)" $\equiv$ "$\forall x$, if s(x) then r(x)" (*)

(*)(by contrapositive and double negation)

- Sufficient condition:

"$\forall x$, r (x) is a **sufficient condition** for s(x)" means

"$\forall x$, if r (x) then s(x)"

Necessary and Sufficient Conditions

- Examples:
  - Squareness is a **sufficient condition** for rectangularity;

  Formal statement: $\forall$x, if x is a square, then x is a rectangle
  - Being at least 35 years old is a **necessary condition** for being President of the United States

  $\forall$ people x, if x is younger than 35, then x cannot be President of the United States $\equiv$

  $\forall$ people x, if x is President of the United States then x is at least 35 years old (by contrapositive)

Only If

- Only If:

"$\forall x$, r(x) **only if** s(x)" means

"$\forall x$, if ~s(x) then ~r (x)" $\equiv$ "$\forall x$, if r(x) then s(x)."

- Example:

A product of two numbers is 0 **only if** one of the numbers is 0.

If neither of two numbers is 0, then the product of the numbers is not 0 $\equiv$

If a product of two numbers is 0, then one of the numbers is 0 (by contrapositive)

Statements with Multiple Quantifiers

- Example:

"There is a person supervising every detail of the production process"

  - What is the meaning?

"There is one single person who supervises all the details of the production process"?

OR

"For any particular production detail, there is a person who supervises that detail, but there might be different supervisors for different details"?

NATURAL LANGUAGE IS AMBIGUOUS

LOGIC IS CLEAR

Statements with Multiple Quantifiers

- Quantifiers are performed in the order in which the quantifiers occur.
- Example:

  $\forall$x in set D, $\exists$y in set E such that x and y satisfy property P(x, y)

Tarski's World

- Blocks of various shapes, and colors located on a grid



- $\forall t$, Triangle(t) $\rightarrow$Blue(t) TRUE
- $\forall x$, Blue(x) $\rightarrow$Triangle(x). FALSE
- $\exists z$ such that Square(z)$\wedge$ Gray(z). FALSE

Statements with Multiple Quantifiers in Tarski's World



∀∃

- For all triangles x, there is a square y such that x and y have the same color

TRUE

Given $x =$	**choose $y =$**	**and check that y is the same color as x.**
d	e	yes ✓
f or i	h or g	yes ✓

Statements with Multiple Quantifiers in Tarski's World



$\exists\forall$

- There is a triangle x such that for all circles y, x is to the right of y

TRUE

Choose x =	**Then, given y =**	**check that x is to the right of y.**
d or i	a	yes ✓
	b	yes ✓
	c	yes ✓

Interpreting Statements with Two Different Quantifiers

- $\forall x$ in D, $\exists y$ in E such that P(x, y)
  - for whatever element x in D you must find an element y in E that "works" for that particular x
- $\exists x$ in D such that $\forall y$ in E, P(x, y)
  - find one particular x in D that will "work" no matter what y in E anyone might choose

Interpreting Statements with Two Different Quantifiers



- ∃ an item I such that ∀ students S, S chose I . TRUE
- ∃ a student S such that ∀ stations Z, ∃ an item I in Z such that S chose I TRUE
- ∀ students S and ∀ stations Z, ∃ an item I in Z such that S chose I . FALSE

Statements with Multiple Quantifiers in Tarski's World



∀∃

- For all triangles x, there is a square y such that x and y have the same color

TRUE

Given $x =$	choose $y =$	and check that y is the same color as x.
d	e	yes ✓
f or i	h or g	yes ✓

Statements with Multiple Quantifiers in Tarski's World



∃∀

- There is a triangle x such that for all circles y, x is to the right of y

TRUE

Choose x =	**Then, given y =**	**check that x is to the right of y.**
d or i	a	yes ✓
	b	yes ✓
	c	yes ✓

Negations of Multiply-Quantified Statements

- Apply negation to quantified statements from left to right:

$\sim(\forall x \text{ in } D, \exists y \text{ in } E \text{ such that } P(x, y))$

$\equiv \exists x \text{ in } D \text{ such that } \sim(\exists y \text{ in } E \text{ such that } P(x, y))$

$\equiv \exists x \text{ in } D \text{ such that } \forall y \text{ in } E, \sim P(x, y).$

$\sim(\exists x \text{ in } D \text{ such that } \forall y \text{ in } E, P(x, y))$

$\equiv \forall x \text{ in } D, \sim(\forall y \text{ in } E, P(x, y))$

$\equiv \forall x \text{ in } D, \exists y \text{ in } E \text{ such that } \sim P(x, y).$

Negating Statements in Tarski's World



- For all squares x, there is a circle y such that x and y have the same color

Negation:

∃ a square x such that ∼(∃ a circle y such that x and y have the same color)

≡ ∃ a square x such that ∀ circles y, x and y do not have the same color

TRUE: Square e is black and no circle is black.

Negating Statements in Tarski's World



- There is a triangle x such that for all squares y, x is to the right of y

Negation:

∀ triangles x,~ (∀ squares y, x is to the right of y)

≡ ∀ triangles x, ∃ a square y such that x is not to the right of y

TRUE

Quantifier Order in Tarski's World



- For every square x there is a triangle y such that x and y have different colors

TRUE

- There exists a triangle y such that for every square x, x and y have different colors

FALSE

Formalizing Statements in Tarski's World



- Triangle(x) means "x is a triangle"
- Circle(x) means "x is a circle"
- Square(x) means "x is a square"
- Blue(x) means "x is blue"
- Gray(x) means "x is gray"
- Black(x) means "x is black"
- Above(x, y) means "x is above y" (strictly above)
- RightOf(x, y) means "x is to the right of y" (strictly)
- SameColorAs(x, y) means "x has the same color as y"
- x = y denotes the predicate "x is equal to y"

Formalizing Statements in Tarski's World

- For all circles x, x is above f

$\forall x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Above}(x, f))$

- Negation:

$\sim(\forall x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Above}(x, f)))$

$\equiv \exists x \sim (\mathrm{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Above}(x, f))$

$\equiv \exists x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \wedge \sim\mathrm{Above}(x, f))$



Formalizing Statements in Tarski's World

- There is a square x such that x is black

$\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \wedge \text{Black}(x))$



- Negation:

$\sim(\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \wedge \text{Black}(x)))$

$\equiv \forall x \sim (\text{Square}(x) \wedge \text{Black}(x))$

$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \vee \sim\text{Black}(x))$

Formalizing Statements in Tarski's World



- For all circles x, there is a square y such that x and y have the same color

$\forall x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\mathrm{Square}(y) \wedge \mathrm{SameColor}(x, y)))$

- Negation:

$\sim(\forall x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\mathrm{Square}(y) \wedge \mathrm{SameColor}(x, y))))$

$\equiv \exists x \sim (\mathrm{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\mathrm{Square}(y) \wedge \mathrm{SameColor}(x, y)))$

$\equiv \exists x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \wedge \sim(\exists y(\mathrm{Square}(y) \wedge \mathrm{SameColor}(x, y))))$

$\equiv \exists x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \wedge \forall y(\sim(\mathrm{Square}(y) \wedge \mathrm{SameColor}(x, y))))$

$\equiv \exists x(\mathrm{Circle}(x) \wedge \forall y(\sim\mathrm{Square}(y) \vee \sim\mathrm{SameColor}(x, y)))$

Formalizing Statements in Tarski's World



- There is a square x such that for all triangles y, x is to right of y

$\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \land \forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y)))$

- Negation:

$\sim(\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \land \forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y))))$

$\equiv \forall x \sim (\text{Square}(x) \land \forall y(\text{Triangle}(x) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y)))$

$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \lor \sim(\forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y))))$

$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \lor \exists y(\sim(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y))))$

$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \lor \exists y(\text{Triangle}(y) \land \sim\text{RightOf}(x, y)))$

Arguments with Quantified Statements

- ***Universal instantiation***: if some property is true of everything in a set, then it is true of any particular thing in the set.
- Example:

All men are mortal.

∴ If Socrates is a man, then Socrates is mortal.

Universal Modus Ponens

Formal Version	*Informal Version*
$\forall x$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$.	If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.
$P(a)$ for a particular a.	a makes $P(x)$ true.
$\therefore Q(a)$.	$\therefore$ a makes $Q(x)$ true.

- Example:

$\forall x$, if $E(x)$ then $S(x)$.	If an integer is even, then its square is even.
$E(k)$,for a particular k.	k is a particular integer that is even.
$\therefore S(k)$.	$\therefore k^2$ is even.

Universal Modus Tollens

Formal Version	*Informal Version*
$\forall x$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$.	If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.
$\sim Q(a)$, for a particular a.	a does not make $Q(x)$ true.
$\therefore \sim P(a)$.	$\therefore$ a does not make $P(x)$ true.

- Example:

$\forall x$, if $H(x)$ then $M(x)$	All human beings are mortal.
$\sim M(Z)$	Zeus is not mortal.
$\therefore \sim H(Z)$.	$\therefore$ Zeus is not human.

Validity of Arguments with Quantified Statements

- An argument form is **valid**, if and only if, for any particular predicates substituted for the predicate symbols in the premises **if the resulting premise statements are all true, then the conclusion is also true**
- <u>Using Diagrams to Test for Validity</u>

Using Diagrams to Test for Validity

All human beings are mortal.

Zeus is not mortal.

∴ Zeus is not a human being.



Using Diagrams to Show Invalidity

All human beings are mortal.

Felix is mortal.

∴ Felix is a human being.



Using Diagrams to Test for Validity

- Universal modus tollens Example:

No polynomial functions have horizontal asymptotes.

This function has a horizontal asymptote.

∴ This function is not a polynomial function



Universal Transitivity

Formal Version	*Informal Version*
$\forall x\ P(x) \rightarrow Q(x).$	Any x that makes P(x) true makes Q(x) true.
$\forall x Q(x) \rightarrow R(x).$	Any x that makes Q(x) true makes R(x) true.
$\therefore \forall x\ P(x) \rightarrow R(x).$	∴ Any x that makes P(x) true makes R(x) true.

- Example from Tarski's World:

∀x, if x is a triangle, then x is blue.

∀x, if x is blue, then x is to the right of all the squares.

∴ ∀x, if x is a triangle, then x is to the right of all the squares

Converse Error (in Quantified Form)

Formal Version	*Informal Version*
$\forall x$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$.	If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.
$Q(a)$ for a particular a.	a makes $Q(x)$ true.
$\therefore P(a)$.	$\therefore$ a makes $P(x)$ true.
invalid conclusion	

Inverse Error (in Quantified Form)

Formal Version	*Informal Version*
$\forall x$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$.	If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.
$\sim P(a)$, for a particular a.	a does not make $P(x)$ true.
$\therefore \sim Q(a)$.	$\therefore$ a does not make $Q(x)$ true.

invalid conclusion