

Stable Models Semantics and Answer Set Programming

CSE 595 – Semantic Web

Instructor: Dr. Paul Fodor

Stony Brook University

<http://www3.cs.stonybrook.edu/~pfodor/courses/cse595.html>

General Logic Programs

- A general program is a collection of rules of the form

$$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{not} \mathbf{a}_{n+1}, \dots, \mathbf{not} \mathbf{a}_{n+k}.$$

- Let Π be a program and X be a set of atoms, by Π^X (*Gelfond-Lifschitz transformation*) we denote the positive program obtained from $\mathbf{ground}(\Pi)$ by:

- Deleting from $\mathbf{ground}(\Pi)$ any rule for that $\{\mathbf{a}_{n+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n+k}\} \cap X \neq \emptyset$, i.e., the body of the rule contains a naf-atom $\mathbf{not} \mathbf{a}_i$ and \mathbf{a}_i belongs to X ; and
- Removing all of the naf-atoms from the remaining rules.

General Logic Programs

- A set of atoms X is called an *answer set* of a program Π if X is the minimal model of the program Π^X
- Theorem: For every positive program Π , the minimal model of Π , M_Π , is also the unique answer set of Π .
- Example: Consider $\Pi_2 = \{\mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ } \mathbf{b.} \ \mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ } \mathbf{a.}\}$. We will show that it has two answer sets $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{b}\}$

$S_1 = \emptyset$	$S_2 = \{a\}$	$S_3 = \{b\}$	$S_4 = \{a, b\}$
$\Pi_2^{S_1}$:	$\Pi_2^{S_2}$:	$\Pi_2^{S_3}$:	$\Pi_2^{S_4}$:
$a \leftarrow$ $b \leftarrow$	$a \leftarrow$	$b \leftarrow$	
$M_{\Pi_2^{S_1}} = \{a, b\}$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_2}} = \{a\}$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_3}} = \{b\}$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_4}} = \emptyset$
$M_{\Pi_2^{S_1}} \neq S_1$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_2}} = S_2$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_3}} = S_3$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_4}} \neq S_4$
<i>NO</i>	<i>YES</i>	<i>YES</i>	<i>NO</i>

General Logic Programs

- $\Pi_5 = \{\mathbf{p} \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ p}.\}$ does not have an answer set.
 - $S_1 = \emptyset$, then $\Pi^{S_1} = \{\mathbf{p} \leftarrow\}$ whose minimal model is $\{\mathbf{p}\}$. $\{\mathbf{p}\} \neq \emptyset$ implies that S_1 is not an answer set of Π_5 .
 - $S_2 = \{\mathbf{p}\}$, then $\Pi^{S_2} = \emptyset$ whose minimal model is \emptyset . $\{\mathbf{p}\} \neq \emptyset$ implies that S_2 is not an answer set of Π_5 .
 - This shows that this program does not have an answer set.
- A program may have zero, one, or more than one answer sets.
 - $\Pi_1 = \{a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b}.\}$ has a unique answer set $\{a\}$.
 - $\Pi_2 = \{a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b}.\ b \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ a}.\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$.
 - $\Pi_3 = \{p \leftarrow a.\ a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b}.\ b \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ a}.\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a, p\}$ and $\{b\}$.
 - $\Pi_4 = \{a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b}.\ b \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ c}.\ d \leftarrow .\}$ has one answer set $\{d, b\}$.
 - $\Pi_5 = \{p \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ p}.\}$ No answer set.
 - $\Pi_6 = \{p \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ p}, d.\ r \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ d}.\ d \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ r}.\}$ has one answer set $\{r\}$.

Entailment w.r.t. Answer Set Semantics

- For a program Π and an atom a , Π entails a , denoted by $\Pi \models a$, if $a \in S$ for every answer set S of Π .
- For a program Π and an atom a , Π entails $\neg a$, denoted by $\Pi \models \neg a$, if $a \notin S$ for every answer set S of Π .
- If neither $\Pi \models a$ nor $\Pi \models \neg a$, then we say that a is *unknown* with respect to Π .
- Examples:
 - $\Pi_1 = \{a \leftarrow \text{not } b.\}$ has a unique answer set $\{a\}$. $\Pi_1 \models a$, $\Pi_1 \models \neg b$.
 - $\Pi_2 = \{a \leftarrow \text{not } b. b \leftarrow \text{not } a.\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$. Both a and b are unknown w.r.t. Π_2 .
 - $\Pi_3 = \{p \leftarrow a. a \leftarrow \text{not } b. b \leftarrow \text{not } a.\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a, p\}$ and $\{b\}$. Everything is unknown.
 - $\Pi_4 = \{p \leftarrow \text{not } p.\}$ No answer set. p is unknown.

Answer Sets of Programs with Constraints

- For a set of ground atoms S and a constraint c

$$\leftarrow a_1, \dots, a_n, \text{ not } a_{n+1}, \text{ not } a_{n+k}$$

we say that c is satisfied by S if $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ or $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+k}\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

- Let Π be a program with constraints.
- Let $\Pi_O = \{r \mid r \in \Pi, r \text{ has non-empty head}\}$ (Π_O is the set of normal logic program rules in Π)
- Let $\Pi_C = \Pi \setminus \Pi_O$ (Π_C is the set of constraints in Π)
- A set of atoms S is an answer sets of a program Π if it is an answer set of Π_O and satisfies all the constraints in ground (Π_C).

Answer Sets of Programs with Constraints

- Example:

- $\Pi_1 = \{a \leftarrow \text{not } b. b \leftarrow \text{not } a.\}$ has two answer sets $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$.

- But, $\Pi_2 = \{ \begin{array}{l} a \leftarrow \text{not } b. \\ b \leftarrow \text{not } a. \\ \leftarrow \text{not } a \end{array} \}$

has only one answer set $\{a\}$.

- But, $\Pi_3 = \{ \begin{array}{l} a \leftarrow \text{not } b. \\ b \leftarrow \text{not } a. \\ \leftarrow a \end{array} \}$

has only one answer set $\{b\}$.

Computing Answer Sets

- Complexity: The problem of determining the existence of an answer set for finite propositional programs (programs without function symbols) is NP-complete.
- For programs with disjunctions, function symbols, etc. it is much higher.
- A consequence of this property is that there exists no polynomial-time algorithm for computing answer sets.

Answer set solvers

- Programs that compute answer sets of (finite and grounded) logic programs.
- Two main approaches:
 - Direct implementation: Due to the complexity of the problem, most solvers implement a variation of the generate-and-test algorithm.
 - Smodels <http://www.tcs.hut.fi/Software/smodels/>
 - DLV <http://www.dbai.tuwien.ac.at/proj/dlv/>
 - deres <http://www.cs.engr.uky.edu/ai/deres.html>
 - Using SAT solvers: A program Π is translated into a satisfiability problem $F\Pi$ and a call to a SAT solver is made to compute solution of $F\Pi$. The main task of this approach is to write the program for the conversion from Π to $F\Pi$.
 - Potassco: <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/> (clasp, gringo, ...)
 - Cmodels <http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/tag/cmodels.html>
 - ASSAT <http://assat.cs.ust.hk/>

Example: Graph Coloring

- Given a (bi-directed) graph and three colors red, green, and yellow. Find a color assignment for the nodes of the graph such that no edge of the graph connects two nodes of the same color.
- Graph representation:
 - The nodes: **node (1) node (n) .**
 - The edges: **edge (i, j) .**
- Each node is assigned one color:
 - the weighted rule

1{color(X, red), color(X, yellow), color(X, green)}1 ← node(X) .

- or the three rules:

color(X, red) ← node(X), not color(X, green), not color(X, yellow) .

color(X, green) ← node(X), not color(X, red), not color(X, yellow) .

color(X, yellow) ← node(X), not color(X, green), not color(X, red) .

- No edge connects two nodes of the same color:

← edge(X, Y), color(X, C), color(Y, C) .

Example: Graph Coloring

```
%% representing the graph
```

```
node(1). node(2). node(3). node(4). node(5).
```

```
edge(1,2). edge(1,3). edge(2,4). edge(2,5). edge(3,4).
```

```
edge(3,5).
```

```
%% each node is assigned a color
```

```
color(X,red):- node(X), not color(X,green), not color(X,  
yellow).
```

```
color(X,green):- node(X), not color(X,red), not color(X,  
yellow).
```

```
color(X,yellow):- node(X), not color(X,green), not color(X,  
red).
```

```
%% constraint checking
```

```
:- edge(X,Y), color(X,C), color(Y,C).
```

- Try with

```
clingo -n 0 color.lp
```

and see the result.

Example: n-queens

- Place n queens on a $n \times n$ chess board so that no queen is attacked by another one.
- the chess board can be represented by a set of cells **cell**(i , j) and the size **n**.
- Since two queens can not be on the same column, we know that each column has to have one and only one queen

$$1\{\mathbf{cell}(I, J) : \mathbf{row}(J)\}1 \leftarrow \mathbf{col}(I).$$

- No two queens on the same row
 $\leftarrow \mathbf{cell}(I, J1), \mathbf{cell}(I, J2), J1 \neq J2.$
- No two queens on the same column (not really needed)
 $\leftarrow \mathbf{cell}(I1, J), \mathbf{cell}(I2, J), I1 \neq I2.$
- No two queens on the same diagonal

$$\leftarrow \mathbf{cell}(I1, J1), \mathbf{cell}(I2, J2),$$

$$|I1 - I2| = |J1 - J2|, I1 \neq I2.$$

Example: n-queens

```
%% representing the board, using n as a constant
col(1..n). % n column
row(1..n). % n row
%% generating solutions
1 {cell(I,J) : row(J)}:- col(I).
% two queens cannot be on the same row/column
:- col(I), row(J1), row(J2), neq(J1,J2), cell(I,J1),
cell(I,J2).
:- row(J), col(I1), col(I2), neq(I1,I2), cell(I1,J),
cell(I2,J).
% two queens cannot be on a diagonal
:- row(J1), row(J2), J1 > J2, col(I1), col(I2), I1 > I2,
cell(I1,J1), cell(I2,J2), eq(I1 - I2, J1 - J2).
:- row(J1), row(J2), J1 > J2, col(I1), col(I2), I1 < I2,
cell(I1,J1), cell(I2,J2), eq(I2 - I1, J1 - J2).
```