

Stable Models Semantics and Answer Set Programming

CSE 505 – Computing with Logic

Stony Brook University

<http://www.cs.stonybrook.edu/~cse505>

General Logic Programs

- A general program is a collection of rules of the form:

$a \leftarrow a_1, \dots, a_n, \text{not } a_{n+1}, \dots, \text{not } a_{n+k}.$

Grounding

- Variables are placeholders for constants.
- Grounding is the process to “replace variables by constants in all possible ways”

- Example:

```
isInterestedinASP (X) :- attendsASP (X) .  
attendsASP (john) . attendsASP (mary) .
```

- After grounding:

```
isInterestedinASP (john) :-  
    attendsASP (john) .
```

```
isInterestedinASP (mary) :-  
    attendsASP (mary) .
```

```
attendsASP (john) . attendsASP (mary) .
```

Gelfond-Lifschitz transformation

- A general program is a collection of rules of the form:

$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n, \text{not } \mathbf{a}_{n+1}, \dots, \text{not } \mathbf{a}_{n+k}.$

- Let Π be a program and I be a set of atoms, by Π^I (*Gelfond-Lifschitz transformation*) we denote the positive program obtained from $\text{ground}(\Pi)$ by:

- Deleting from $\text{ground}(\Pi)$ any rule for that $\{\mathbf{a}_{n+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n+k}\} \cap I \neq \emptyset$, i.e., the body of the rule contains a naf-atom **not** \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{a}_i belongs to I ; and
- Removing all of the naf-atoms from the remaining rules

General Logic Programs

- A set of atoms I is called an answer set of a program Π if I is the minimal model of the program Π^I
- Example: Consider $\Pi_2 = \{ \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ } \mathbf{b} . \mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ } \mathbf{a} . \}$.

We will show that it has two answer sets $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{b}\}$

$S_1 = \emptyset$	$S_2 = \{a\}$	$S_3 = \{b\}$	$S_4 = \{a, b\}$
$\Pi_2^{S_1} :$ $a \leftarrow$ $b \leftarrow$	$\Pi_2^{S_2} :$ $a \leftarrow$	$\Pi_2^{S_3} :$ $b \leftarrow$	$\Pi_2^{S_4} :$
$M_{\Pi_2^{S_1}} = \{a, b\}$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_2}} = \{a\}$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_3}} = \{b\}$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_4}} = \emptyset$
$M_{\Pi_2^{S_1}} \neq S_1$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_2}} = S_2$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_3}} = S_3$	$M_{\Pi_2^{S_4}} \neq S_4$
<i>NO</i>	<i>YES</i>	<i>YES</i>	<i>NO</i>

- Theorem: For every positive program Π , the minimal model of Π , M_Π , is also the unique answer set of Π .

General Logic Programs

- $\Pi_5 = \{\mathbf{p} \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ p.}\}$ does not have an answer set.
 - $S_1 = \emptyset$, then $\Pi^{S_1} = \{\mathbf{p} \leftarrow\}$ whose minimal model is $\{\mathbf{p}\}$. $\{\mathbf{p}\} \neq \emptyset$ implies that S_1 is not an answer set of Π_5 .
 - $S_2 = \{\mathbf{p}\}$, then $\Pi^{S_2} = \emptyset$ whose minimal model is \emptyset . $\{\mathbf{p}\} \neq \emptyset$ implies that S_2 is not an answer set of Π_5 .
 - This shows that this program does not have an answer set.
- A program may have zero, one, or more than one answer sets:
 - $\Pi_1 = \{a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b.}\}$ has a unique answer set $\{a\}$.
 - $\Pi_2 = \{a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b.}\ b \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ a.}\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$.
 - $\Pi_3 = \{p \leftarrow a. a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b.}\ b \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ a.}\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a, p\}$ and $\{b\}$.
 - $\Pi_4 = \{a \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ b.}\ b \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ c.}\ d \leftarrow .\}$ has one answer set $\{d, b\}$.
 - $\Pi_5 = \{p \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ p.}\}$ No answer set.
 - $\Pi_6 = \{p \leftarrow d, \mathbf{not\ p.}\ r \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ d.}\ d \leftarrow \mathbf{not\ r.}\}$ has one answer set $\{r\}$.

Entailment w.r.t. Answer Set Semantics

- For a program Π and an atom a , Π *entails* a , denoted by $\Pi \models a$, if $a \in S$ for every answer set S of Π .
- For a program Π and an atom a , Π entails $\neg a$, denoted by $\Pi \models \neg a$, if $a \notin S$ for every answer set S of Π .
- If neither $\Pi \models a$ nor $\Pi \models \neg a$, then we say that a is *unknown* with respect to Π .
- Examples:
 - $\Pi_1 = \{a \leftarrow \text{not } b.\}$ has a unique answer set $\{a\}$. $\Pi_1 \models a$, $\Pi_1 \models \neg b$.
 - $\Pi_2 = \{a \leftarrow \text{not } b. b \leftarrow \text{not } a.\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$. Both a and b are unknown w.r.t. Π_2 .
 - $\Pi_3 = \{p \leftarrow a. a \leftarrow \text{not } b. b \leftarrow \text{not } a.\}$ has two answer sets: $\{a, p\}$ and $\{b\}$. Everything is unknown.
 - $\Pi_4 = \{p \leftarrow \text{not } p.\}$ No answer set. p is unknown.

Answer Sets of Programs with Constraints

- For a set of ground atoms S and a constraint c

$\leftarrow a_1, \dots, a_n, \text{not } a_{n+1}, \text{not } a_{n+k}.$

we say that c is *satisfied* by S if $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ or $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+k}\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

- Let Π be a program with constraints.
- Let $\Pi_O = \{r \mid r \in \Pi, r \text{ has non-empty head}\}$ (Π_O is the set of normal logic program rules in Π)
- Let $\Pi_C = \Pi \setminus \Pi_O$ (Π_C is the set of constraints in Π)
- A set of atoms S is an answer sets of a program Π if it is an answer set of Π_O and satisfies all the constraints in ground (Π_C)

Answer Sets of Programs with Constraints

- Example:

- $\Pi_1 = \{a \leftarrow \text{not } b. b \leftarrow \text{not } a.\}$ has two answer sets $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$

- But, $\Pi_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \leftarrow \text{not } b. \\ b \leftarrow \text{not } a. \\ \leftarrow \text{not } a. \end{array} \right\}$

has only one answer set $\{a\}$.

- But, $\Pi_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \leftarrow \text{not } b. \\ b \leftarrow \text{not } a. \\ \leftarrow a. \end{array} \right\}$

has only one answer set $\{b\}$.

Computing Answer Sets

- Complexity: The problem of determining the existence of an answer set for finite propositional programs (programs without function symbols) is NP-complete.
- For programs with disjunctions, function symbols, etc. it is much higher.
- A consequence of this property is that there exists no polynomial-time algorithm for computing answer sets.

Answer set solvers

- Programs that compute answer sets of (finite and grounded) logic programs.
- Two main approaches:
 - Direct implementation: Due to the complexity of the problem, most solvers implement a variation of the generate-and-test algorithm
 - Smodels <http://www.tcs.hut.fi/Software/smodels/>
 - DLV <http://www.dbai.tuwien.ac.at/proj/dlv/>
 - deres <http://www.cs.engr.uky.edu/ai/deres.html>
 - Using SAT solvers: A program Π is translated into a satisfiability problem $F\Pi$ and a call to a SAT solver is made to compute solution of $F\Pi$.

The main task of this approach is to write the program for the conversion from Π to $F\Pi$

- Potassco: <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/> (clasp, gringo, ...)
- Cmodels <http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/tag/cmodels.html>
- ASSAT <http://assat.cs.ust.hk/>

Example: Graph Coloring

- Given a (bi-directed) graph and three colors red, green, and yellow. Find a color assignment for the nodes of the graph such that no edge of the graph connects two nodes of the same color.
- Graph representation:
 - The nodes: **node (1) node (n) .**
 - The edges: **edge (i, j) .**
- Each node is assigned one color:
 - the three rules:

color (X, red) ← node (X) , not color (X, green) , not color (X, yellow) .

color (X, green) ← node (X) , not color (X, red) , not color (X, yellow) .

color (X, yellow) ← node (X) , not color (X, green) , not color (X, red) .

- No edge connects two nodes of the same color:

← edge (X, Y) , color (X, C) , color (Y, C) .

Example: Graph Coloring

```
node(1) . node(2) . node(3) .
```

```
edge(1,2) . edge(2,3) . edge(3,1) .
```

```
color(X,red) :- node(X) , not color(X,green) , not color(X, yellow) .
```

```
color(X,green) :- node(X) , not color(X,red) , not color(X, yellow) .
```

```
color(X,yellow) :- node(X) , not color(X,green) , not color(X, red) .
```

```
:- edge(X,Y) , color(X,C) , color(Y,C) .
```

- Try with

```
clingo -n 0 color.lp
```

Answer: 1

```
node(1) node(2) node(3) edge(1,2) edge(2,3) edge(3,1) color(1,red) color(2,green) color(3,yellow)
```

Answer: 2

```
node(1) node(2) node(3) edge(1,2) edge(2,3) edge(3,1) color(1,red) color(2,yellow) color(3,green)
```

Answer: 3

```
node(1) node(2) node(3) edge(1,2) edge(2,3) edge(3,1) color(1,green) color(2,red) color(3,yellow)
```

Answer: 4

```
node(1) node(2) node(3) edge(1,2) edge(2,3) edge(3,1) color(1,yellow) color(2,red) color(3,green)
```

Answer: 5

```
node(1) node(2) node(3) edge(1,2) edge(2,3) edge(3,1) color(1,green) color(2,yellow) color(3,red)
```

Answer: 6

```
node(1) node(2) node(3) edge(1,2) edge(2,3) edge(3,1) color(1,yellow) color(2,green) color(3,red)
```

Models : 6