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## From Volume To Surface

- Iso-surface of a volumetric point-based object is represented by a hull of Gaussian kernels
- Flattening the points in direction of the surface normals yields a more exact representation
- In the limit get a surface composed of 2D Gaussians (aka surface points or surfels)


Flattened points
Volumetric points

Iso-contour <br> \title{
Volumetric vs. Surface Points
} <br> \title{
Volumetric vs. Surface Points
}

- Volumetric points:

Most often on a regular lattice
Represent both boundary and interior


Overlapping points reconstruct volumetric object
Different iso-surfaces, shapes, and compositions can be produced via transfer functions on the fly

- Surface points:

Irregular distribution (on the surface)
Usually located only on boundaries

## Points vs. Triangles (1)

- Points are favorable when the geometric detail is less than the size of a pixel (triangle size < 1)

Intricate objects with great geometric detail
Minified objects when reduced detail reaches the resolution of the screen

- In these cases overhead for triangle rasterization and shading is overkill



## Points vs. Triangles (2)

- Polygons are better when the image resolution is greater than the projected geometry resolution

Flat or slightly curved surfaces
Magnified objects

- In these cases triangle rasterization is more efficient and yields better quality


Same \# of vertices
2x the rendering time
Same \# of polygons, same rendering time

## Points vs. Triangles (3)

- Hybrid solutions have been proposed (Chen 01 , Cohen "01)

Use triangles for magnified and original detail
Use points for minified object portions


## Surface Point Generation

- Point cloud output by laser range scanners

Natural source of surface points
No tedious geometry generation required

- Geometry-to-surfel conversion

Replace vertices by overlapping points

- Volume-to-surfel conversion


Go from Marching Cubes directly to surface points Image-Based Visual Hull

From object silhouettes to points


## Surface Points Pioneers

- Geometric subdivision leads to points (Catmull ${ }^{〔} 74$ )
- Particle systems (Reeves '83, '85, Szeliski ‘92)
- Points as a display primitive (Levoy '85)
- Dividing Cubes (Cline et al., 88 )

Used to extract iso-surfaces from volume datasets
Create "surface points" instead of triangles
Trilinearly interpolate normals from grid points
Subdivide volume cells until extracted surface points are pixel-size


## More Recent Work

- Layered Depth Images (Shade ‘98)
- Point-based rendering system (Grossman ‘98)
- Surfels (Pfister '00)
- Qsplat (Rusinkiewicz '00)
- Raytracing of point-based geometry (Schauffler ${ }^{\circ} 00$ )
- Point set surfaces (Alexa ‘01)
- Surface Splatting (Zwicker ${ }^{\circ} 01$ )
- Opacity Hulls (Matusik ‘02)


## Issues

- What shape does a point have?

Small dot, square, rectangle, circle, ellipse,...


- How to deal with the lack of connectivity?

Blending, visibility and occlusion, holes,...

- How to adapt to local resolution?

Hierarchical representation for level-of-detail

## Data Structure

- A popular points data structure is the LDC tree LDC tree $=\underline{\text { Layered }} \underline{\text { Depth }} \underline{\text { Cube tree }}$
- The LDC tree is a hierarchical LDC
- An LDC consists of 3 orthogonal LDIs (Lischinski ‘98)

LDI $=$ Layered Depth Image (Shade ‘96)

- Strategy:

Acquire a set of LDIs
Merge LDIs into one LDC
Calculate the LCD tree

## LDI Acquisition

- For each LDI, cast a set of parallel rays or use visual hull
- Calculate the ray-object intersections (depths)
- Store the depths into the LDI

$\longleftarrow$ LDI 2


## LDC Construction

- Partition the LDC into square blocks 16 LDI pixels large
- Merge all LDIs into the LDC

- This forms level 0 of the LDC tree


## LDC Tree Construction

- Progressively sub-sample the level 0 LDC


Level 0


Level 1


Level 2

- Samples on upper level LDCs are also present in lower levels LDCs


## Alternative Data Structures

Sphere at vertex v = largest bounding

- Qsplat: sphere of the faces sharing v

Start from a triangular mesh and compute a sphere for each vertex


Ensure good overlap among neighboring spheres
Build a hierarchy of spheres with a recursive algorithm (two spheres merge into their bounding sphere) $\longrightarrow$ Quantize both radius and position of spheres
 Store tree in breadth-first order to exploit locality of resolution


## Associated Appearance Data

- Given in the form of images

Textures
Radiance images (object photographs)
Alpha (opacity) hulls (Matusik ‘02)


Reflectance field (for re-illumination) (Debevec ${ }^{\circ} 00$ )

- Distinguish

View-independent point coloring (texture mapping)
View-dependent point coloring (lumigraph, lightfield) (Levoy '96, Gortler, '96, Buehler '01)

## View-Independent Coloring

- The texture function on the object surface given by:

$$
f_{c}(u)=\sum_{k \in N} w_{k} r_{k}\left(u-u_{k}\right)
$$


$r_{k}=$ basis function (reconstruction kernel)

- Find the $w_{k}$ via an optimization procedure: Warp the (isotropic) texture reconstruction kernels from texture space into object surface space
Find the $w_{k}$ through an error minimization procedure


## View-Dependent Coloring (1)

- Unstructured lumigraph:

Collection of radiance images taken from many different viewpoints
Point color $=$ weighted sum of the $n$ closest acquired pixels (rays)


## View-Dependent Coloring (2)

- Reflectance fields (Debevec ' 00 ):

Collection of radiance images taken from

- many different viewpoints and
- under different illumination directions


Two image pixels viewed from a constant location and lit from a number of different light source locations

- Use these "illumination basis functions" to relight the object from a new set of light sources This results in a new set of radiance images


## Point Rendering

- Traverse point hierarchy top to bottom
- Cull point blocks outside the viewing frustum
- Project points from object to screen space

Use fast incremental forward warping (Grossman ‘98)
Visibility cones to cull blocks with backfacing points

- Three-pass algorithm (Surfels, Qsplat):

1. Project points into the z-buffer
2. Blend colors of visible points, fill holes
3. Shade in image space


## Surfels and QSplat

- Traverse point hierarchy from top to bottom

For each block of points, find level where the local resolution of the projected point set matches the screen resolution (oversample for better quality)

- Splat the selected points into the z-Buffer
- Blend visible points, fill holes, shade


hole<br>reconstr. filter

from Zwicker '01


## Surface Splatting - Concepts

- High quality texture reconstruction in screen space
warped basis function
$=$ screen footprint



Filter


## Surface Splatting - Algorithm

- Enable z-buffer, determine front-facing points
- For each point:

Determine resampling kernel =
screen footprint $\otimes$ screen lowpass filter
Rasterize resampling kernel to screen
Perform z-buffer test on each fragment
Accumulate normals and texture colors

- Deferred shading

Shade pixels after all points have been projected Use filtered normals and blended texture colors

## Surface Splatting - EWA Filter

- The resampling kernel is called EWA filter:

It combines the Gaussian footprint with a screen space Gaussian low-pass filter
Analytical formulation: footprint screen space filter

$$
g(x)=(q \otimes h)=\frac{1}{\left|W^{-1}\right|} G\left(W^{T} V^{q} W+V^{(b)}\right)(x-C)
$$



W: Warp and projection matrix
$V q: 3 \mathrm{D}$ reconstruction kernel

## Results

- Surface Splatting:

Transparencies via a layered z-buffer technique


- Surface Splatting with opacity hulls:

Composite semi-transparent points
Use for fuzzy objects, fur and feathers

Questions?

