

CSE 332

INTRODUCTION TO VISUALIZATION

DATA WRANGLING AND PREPARATION

**KLAUS MUELLER**

COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT  
STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY

Lecture	Topic	Projects
1	Intro, schedule, and logistics	
2	Intro continued	
3	Applications of visual analytics, data, and basic tasks	
4	Data preparation and reduction	
5	Data reduction and similarity metrics	Project 1 out
6	Dimension reduction	
7	Introduction to D3	Project 2 out
8	Bias in visualization	
9	Perception and cognition	
10	Visual design and aesthetics	
11	Cluster and pattern analysis	
12	High-Dimensional data visualization: linear methods	
13	High-D data vis.: non-linear methods, categorical data	Project 3 out
14	Principles of interaction	
15	Visual analytics and the visual sense making process	
16	VA design and evaluation	
17	Visualization of graphs and hierarchies	
18	Visualization of time-varying and time-series data	Project 4 out
19	Midterm	
20	Maps and geo-vis	
21	Computer graphics and volume rendering	
22	Techniques to visualize spatial (3D) data	Project 4 halfway report due
23	Scientific and medical visualization	
24	Scientific and medical visualization	
25	Non-photorealistic rendering	
26	Memorable visualizations, visual embellishments	Project 5 out
27	Infographics design	
28	Projects Hall of Fame demos	

# RECTANGULAR DATASET

One data item

The variables

→ the attributes or properties we measured

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	Name	Country	Miles Per Gallon	Accceleration	Horsepower	weight	cylinders	year	price
2	Volkswagen Rabbit DI	Germany	43,1	21,5	48	1985	4	78	2400
3	Ford Fiesta	Germany	36,1	14,4	66	1800	4	78	1900
4	Mazda GLC Deluxe	Japan	32,8	19,4	52	1985	4	78	2200
5	Datsun B210 GX	Japan	39,4	18,6	70	2070	4	78	2725
6	Honda Civic CVCC	Japan	36,1	16,4	60	1800	4	78	2250
7	Oldsmobile Cutlass	USA	19,9	15,5	110	3365	8	78	3300
8	Dodge Diplomat	USA	19,4	13,2	140	3735	8	78	3125
9	Mercury Monarch	USA	20,2	12,8	139	3570	8	78	2850
10	Pontiac Phoenix	USA	19,2	19,2	105	3535	6	78	2800
11	Chevrolet Malibu	USA	20,5	18,2	95	3155	6	78	3275
12	Ford Fairmont A	USA	20,2	15,8	85	2965	6	78	2375
13	Ford Fairmont M	USA	25,1	15,4	88	2720	4	78	2275
14	Plymouth Volare	USA	20,5	17,2	100	3430	6	78	2700
15	AMC Concord	USA	19,4	17,2	90	3210	6	78	2300
16	Buick Century	USA	20,6	15,8	105	3380	6	78	3300
17	Mercury Zephyr	USA	20,8	16,7	85	3070	6	78	2425
18	Dodge Aspen	USA	18,6	18,7	110	3620	6	78	2700
19	AMC Concord D1	USA	18,1	15,1	120	3410	6	78	2425
20	Chevrolet MonteCarlo	USA	19,2	13,2	145	3425	8	78	3900
21	Buick RegalTurbo	USA	17,7	13,4	165	3445	6	78	4400
22	Ford Futura	Germany	18,1	11,2	139	3205	8	78	2525
23	Dodge Magnum XE	USA	17,5	13,7	140	4080	8	78	3000
24	Chevrolet Chevette	USA	30	16,5	68	2155	4	78	2100

The data items  
→ the samples  
(observations)  
we obtained  
from the  
population of  
all instances

# RECTANGULAR DATASET

Also called the *Data Matrix*

Car performance metrics

or Survey question responses

or Patient characteristics

One data item

....

Car models

or Survey respondents

or Patients

....

	A	B	C	D	E	F	
1	Name	Country	Miles Per Gallon	Acceleration	Horsepower	weight	cylir
2	Volkswagen Rabbit DI	Germany	43,1	21,5	48	1985	
3	Ford Fiesta	Germany	36,1	14,4	66	1800	
4	Mazda GLC Deluxe	Japan	32,8	19,4	52	1985	
5	Datsun B210 GX	Japan	39,4	18,6	70	2070	
6	Honda Civic CVCC	Japan	36,1	16,4	60	1800	
7	Oldsmobile Cutlass	USA	19,9	15,5	110	3365	
8	Dodge Diplomat	USA	19,4	13,2	140	3735	
9	Mercury Monarch	USA	20,2	12,8	139	3570	
10	Pontiac Phoenix	USA	19,2	19,2	105	3535	
11	Chevrolet Malibu	USA	20,5	18,2	95	3155	
12	Ford Fairmont A	USA	20,2	15,8	85	2965	
13	Ford Fairmont M	USA	25,1	15,4	88	2720	
14	Plymouth Volare	USA	20,5	17,2	100	3430	
15	AMC Concord	USA	19,4	17,2	90	3210	
16	Buick Centurv	USA	20.6	15.8	105	3380	

# PROJECT #1: DATASET EXAMPLE

## Multivariate - Quantitative data and Categorical data

Data Items

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	Name	Country	Miles Per Gallon	Accceleration	Horsepower	weight	cylinders	year	price
2	Volkswagen Rabbit DI	Germany	43,1	21,5	48	1985	4	78	2400
3	Ford Fiesta	Germany	36,1	14,4	66	1800	4	78	1900
4	Mazda GLC Deluxe	Japan	32,8	19,4	52	1985	4	78	2200
5	Datsun B210 GX	Japan	39,4	18,6	70	2070	4	78	2725
6	Honda Civic CVCC	Japan	36,1	16,4	60	1800	4	78	2250
7	Oldsmobile Cutlass	USA	19,9	15,5	110	3365	8	78	3300
8	Dodge Diplomat	USA	19,4	13,2	140	3735	8	78	3125
9	Mercury Monarch	USA	20,2	12,8	139	3570	8	78	2850
10	Pontiac Phoenix	USA	19,2	19,2	105	3535	6	78	2800
11	Chevrolet Malibu	USA	20,5	18,2	95	3155	6	78	3275
12	Ford Fairmont A	USA	20,2	15,8	85	2965	6	78	2375
13	Ford Fairmont M	USA	25,1	15,4	88	2720	4	78	2275
14	Plymouth Volare	USA	20,5	17,2	100	3430	6	78	2700
15	AMC Concord	USA	19,4	17,2	90	3210	6	78	2300
16	Buick Century	USA	20,6	15,8	105	3380	6	78	3300
17	Mercury Zephyr	USA	20,8	16,7	85	3070	6	78	2425
18	Dodge Aspen	USA	18,6	18,7	110	3620	6	78	2700
19	AMC Concord D1	USA	18,1	15,1	120	3410	6	78	2425
20	Chevrolet MonteCarlo	USA	19,2	13,2	145	3425	8	78	3900
21	Buick RegalTurbo	USA	17,7	13,4	165	3445	6	78	4400
22	Ford Futura	Germany	18,1	11,2	139	3205	8	78	2525
23	Dodge Magnum XE	USA	17,5	13,7	140	4080	8	78	3000
24	Chevrolet Chevette	USA	30	16,5	68	2155	4	78	2100
25	Toyota Corona	Japan	27,5	14,2	95	2560	4	78	2975

Data types

Quantitative (Numerical)

Categorical (Ordinal)

Categorical

Quantitative

Categorical (Ordinal)  
Quantitative

# TABLES ON WEBPAGES

If the data are already in a rectangular table

- try cut and paste into Excel

If the data are on one page but cut/paste is not working

- try a web scraper like [Outwit Hub](#)

If the data are spread across multiple webpages

- try Outwit Hub's automators
- use python
- do it by hand (probably not)

Or use ChatGPT



# AFTER DOWNLOADING THE DATA ...

Do you think data are always clean and perfect?

Think again

Real world data are dirty

Data cleaning (wrangling)

- fill in **missing values**
- smooth **noisy data**
- identify or remove **outliers**
- resolve **inconsistencies**
- **standardize/normalize** data
- **fuse/merge** disjoint data



# MISSING VALUES

## Data is not always available

- e. g, many tuples have no recorded value for several attributes, such as customer income in sales data

## Missing data may be due to

- equipment malfunction
- inconsistent with other recorded data and thus deleted
- data not entered due to misunderstanding
- certain data may not be considered important at the time of entry
- many more reasons



# MISSING DATA – EXAMPLE

Assume you get these baseball fan data

Age	Income	Team	Gender
23	24,200	Mets	M
39	50,245	Yankees	F
45	45,390	Yankees	F
22	32,300	Mets	M
52		Yankees	F
27	28,300	Mets	F
48	53,100	Yankees	M

- How would you estimate the missing value for income (imputation)?
  - ignore or put in a default value (will decimate the usable data)
  - manually fill in (can be tedious or infeasible for large data)
  - use the available value of the nearest neighbor
  - average over all incomes
  - average over incomes of Yankee fans
  - average over incomes of female Yankees fans
  - use a probabilistic method (regression, Bayesian, decision tree)
  - use a neural network trained on complete data

# DATA TRANSFORMATION

Can help reduce influence of extreme values

See our discussion last lecture

# DATA NORMALIZATION

Sometimes we like to have all variables on the same scale


- min-max normalization

$$v' = \frac{v - \min}{\max - \min}$$



- standardization / z-score normalization

$$v' = \frac{v - \bar{v}}{\sigma_v}$$

- clipping tails and outliers
  - set all values beyond  $\pm 3\sigma$  to value at  $3\sigma$
  - set values  $<5\%$  and  $>95\%$  to value at 5/95%





# STANDARDIZATION




Standardisation

Standardisation




comparable distributions  
( $m = 0.0, s = 1.0$ )

# NORMALIZATION




# STANDARDIZATION

Is standardization less or more sensitive to outliers?



without outlier



with outlier (just slightly extended)

But you need to set a reasonable cut-off point on each side

- normal distributions are infinite


# NOISY DATA

Noise = Random error in a measured variable

- faulty data collection instruments
- data entry problems
- data transmission problems
- technology limitation
- inconsistency in naming convention

Other data problems which require data cleaning

- duplicate records
- incomplete data
- inconsistent data




# NOISY DATA – WHAT TO DO

## Binning method

- discussed last lecture

## Clustering

- detect and remove outliers




## Semi-automated method

- combined computer and human inspection
- detect suspicious values and check manually (need visualization)

## Regression

- smooth by fitting the data to a regression function






# NOISE REMOVAL – A WORD OF CAUTION

An outlier may not be noise

- it may be an anomaly that is very valuable (e.g., the Higgs particle)



# RESOLVE INCONSISTENCIES


Inconsistencies in naming conventions or data codes

- e.g., 2/5/2002 could be 2 May 2002 or 5 Feb 2002


Redundant data

- duplicate tuples, which were received twice should be removed

# DATA AGGREGATION




Raw parallel coordinate display



Aggregate parallel coordinate display

- 4 clusters shown in different colors
- means are visualized as opaque polylines
- cluster extents are mapped to semi-transparent shapes between each axis pair
- semi-transparencies determined by linear distance from cluster center to (clipped) extent



# DATA INTEGRATION

## Data integration/fusion

- multiple databases
- data cubes
- files
- notes

## Produces new opportunities

- can gain more comprehensive insight (value > sum of parts)
- but watch out for *synonymy and polysemy*
- attributes with different labels may have the same meaning
  - “comical” and “hilarious”
- attributes with the same label may have different meaning
  - “jaguar” can be a cat or a car

# DATA FUSION – HOW TO (1)

Goal is to add new thematic aspects

- enable deeper and more far-fetching insights
- can open valuable opportunities for research and \$\$\$

How to do it

- start off with a first dataset, such as a set of listings of houses
- ask, what would house buyers be interested in?
  - education for children (school quality, pre-K, ...)
  - quality of life (entertainment, socializing, fitness, clean air, ...)
  - infrastructure (shopping, airport, roads, ...)
  - what else?
- make Google (or ChatGPT) your best friend
  - determine a good attribute to link to other datasets (e.g. zip code)
  - then ask “primary education by zip code” or “livability by zip code”

# EXAMPLE: FUSING DIFFERENT THEMATIC DATASETS

Address	Size	Bedrooms	Baths	Price	Zip Code	House listing data
5 Nut Str.	2,345 sqft	3	1	\$564k	11794	

Education by zip code	Zip Code	School Name	Avg. SAT	Class Size	Cost
	11794	Tree Top	1060	34	Public

Quality of life by zip code	Zip Code	Livability Score	Distance to Airport	Air Quality Score	Electricity Cost
	11794	63	45 miles	89	\$0.34/KW

Make sure that all data are from the same/similar year (when time matters)

Might need different keys for linking different thematic datasets

- for example zip code, state, county, and so on
- find associations for each in all tables and fuse
- duplicate information for coarse grained tables in finer-grained tables

BUT DATA INTEGRATION CAN ALSO BRING  
ETHICAL PROBLEMS

# PRIVACY

Can you identify a person from these medical records?

SSN	Name	Race	Date Of Birth	Sex	ZIP	Marital Status	Health Problem
		asian	9/27/64	female	94139	divorced	hypertension
		asian	9/30/64	female	94139	divorced	obesity
		asian	4/18/64	male	94139	married	chest pain
		asian	4/15/64	male	94139	married	obesity
		black	3/13/63	male	94138	married	hypertension
		black	3/18/63	male	94138	married	shortness of breath
		black	9/13/64	female	94141	married	shortness of breath
		black	9/7/64	female	94141	married	obesity
		white	5/14/61	male	94138	single	chest pain
		white	05/08 61	male	94138	single	obesity
		white	9/15/61	female	94142	widow	shortness of breath



# PRIVACY

What if you had a voter list

Name	Address	City	ZIP	DOB	Sex	Party	
Sue J. Carlson	900 Market St.	San Francisco	94142	9/15/61	female		

SSN	Name	Race	Date Of Birth	Sex	ZIP	Marital Status	Health Problem
		asian	9/27/64	female	94139	divorced	hypertension
		asian	9/30/64	female	94139	divorced	obesity
		asian	4/18/64	male	94139	married	chest pain
		asian	4/15/64	male	94139	married	obesity
		black	3/13/63	male	94138	married	hypertension
		black	3/18/63	male	94138	married	shortness of breath
		black	9/13/64	female	94141	married	shortness of breath
		black	9/7/64	female	94141	married	obesity
		white	5/14/61	male	94138	single	chest pain
		white	05/08 61	male	94138	single	obesity
		white	9/15/61	female	94142	widow	shortness of breath


# DATA FUSION VS. DATA PRIVACY

Data fusion can bring insight

- the purpose is not always good
- but often it is (criminal justice, market analysis, ...)


Visualization can bring insight

- the 94142 zip code would have been an outlier
- your visualization would have shown that nicely
- then you could have dug for complementary data



How to obfuscate for protection?


- k-anonymity (generalize)
- make data less specific → use binning
- age *groups*, zip code *groups*, etc...
- make blobs instead of points




# DATA PRIVACY WITH PARALLEL COORDINATES USING K-ANONYMITY

Cluster records are aggregated into  $k$ -sized bins for each variable/dimension


- Dasgupta and Kosara show this for parallel coordinates [TVCG, 2011]
- see slides for data aggregation discussed before




(a) Original View of the raw dataset



(b) Anonymization with  $k=2$



(c) Anonymization with  $k=3$



(d) Anonymization with  $k=4$

# THE NEED FOR DATA REDUCTION

## Purpose

- reduce the data to a size that can be feasibly stored
- reduce the data so a mining algorithm can be feasibly run

## Alternatives

- buy more storage
- buy more computers or faster ones
- develop more efficient algorithms (look beyond O-notation)

In practice, all of this is happening at the same time

- but the growth of data and complexities is faster
- and so data reduction is important

# DATA REDUCTION

## Sampling

- random
- stratified



## Data summarization

- binning (already discussed)
- clustering (see a future lecture)
- dimension reduction (see a future lecture)


# SAMPLING

## The goal

- pick a representative subset of the data

## Random sampling


- pick sample points at random
- will work if the points are distributed uniformly
- this is usually not the case
- outliers will likely be missed
- so the sample will not be representative



# ADAPTIVE SAMPLING

Pick the samples according to some knowledge of the data distribution

- create a binning of some sort (outliers will form bins as well)
- also called *strata* (stratified sampling)
- the size of each bin represents its percentage in the population
- it guides the number of samples – bigger bins get more samples



sampling rate  $\sim$  cluster size

# WHAT'S WHEN YOUR DATA IS TOO SMALL

Can you “hallucinate” or “invent” realistic data?

And if so, how would you go about this?



# HOW TO HALLUCINATE MORE DATA...



# DATA AUGMENTATION

- Strategy to artificially synthesize new data from existing data
- go from small data to big data



# DATA AUGMENTATION IN MACHINE LEARNING

Important topic in deep learning

Common techniques are (for images)


- rotations
- translations
- zooms
- flips
- color perturbations
- crops
- add noise by *jittering*



# WHAT'S JITTERING?

## Definition from dictionary

- act nervously
- "an anxious student who jittered at any provocation"




- small random noise about a steady signal

# DATA AUGMENTATION FOR VISUALIZATION & VISUAL ANALYTICS

Generate new samples according to the data distributions

- cluster the data (outliers will form clusters as well)
- the size of each cluster represents its percentage in the population
- randomize new samples – bigger clusters get more samples
- add a small randomized value to either the mean or an existing sample
- do this for every dimension of the chosen mean or sample



augmentation rate  $\sim$  cluster size

# TODAY'S TAKE AWAYS

## How to deal with

- missing data
- noisy data and outliers
- uneven and diverse data ranges

## Various strategies for segmenting data to

- visualize overall trends and groups
- reduce data
- augment/enrich data
- enable techniques to ensure privacy

## Enrich datasets by adding other thematic aspects

- obtained by additional attributes from other sources
- determine proper key attributes helpful for linking