CSE508 Network Security



2021-03-18 Authentication

Michalis Polychronakis

Stony Brook University

Authentication

The process of verifying someone's identity or role

User, device, service, request, ...

What is identity?

Which characteristics uniquely identify an entity?

Authentication is a critical service

Enables communicating parties to verify the identity of their peers

Many other security mechanisms rely on it

Two main types

Human to computer

Computer to computer

| Stony Brook ID# | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| Password | | |
| | | |
| Sign In | This system is online. | |

SOLAR LOGIN

SOLAR Account & Password Help

Credentials

Evidence used to prove an identity

User Authentication: credentials supplied by a person

Something you know

Something you have

Something you are

Computer authentication: crypto, location

Computers (in contrast to humans) can "remember" large secrets (keys) and perform complex cryptographic operations

Location: evidence that an entity is at a specific place (IP, subnet, switch port, ...)

Authentication can be delegated

The verifying entity accepts that a trusted third party has already established authentication

Something You Know: Password-based Authentication

Passwords, passphrases, pins, key-phrases, access codes, ...

Good passwords are easy to remember and hard to guess

Easy to remember \rightarrow easy to guess

Hard to guess → hard to remember

Bad ideas: date of birth, SSN, zip code, favorite team name, ...

Password space (bits) depends on:

Password length

Character set

Better way to think about strong passwords

Long passphrases

Can be combined with custom variations, symbols, numbers, capitalization, ...



Password Policies (often have the opposite effect)

Password rules (often miss the point)

"At least one special character," "Minimum/Maximum length of 8/12 characters," "Must contain at least one number," "Must contain at least one capital letter"

Make passwords hard to remember! → encourage password reuse

Better: encourage long passphrases, evaluate strength on-the-fly

Periodic password changing

"You haven't changed your password in the last 90 days" Probably too late anyway if password has already been stolen Makes remembering passwords harder → more password resets Hinders the use of password managers (!)

What users do: password1 \rightarrow password2 \rightarrow password3 \rightarrow ...

Attacking Passwords

Offline cracking Online guessing

Brute force attacks

Eavesdropping

Capturing

Password Storage

Storing passwords as plaintext is disastrous

Better way: store a cryptographic hash of the password

Even better: store the hash of a "salted" version of the password

Defend against *dictionary attacks*: prevent precomputation of hash values (wordlists of popular passwords, rainbow tables, ...)

Even if two users happen to have the same password, their hash values will be different → need to be cracked separately

Salting *does not* make brute-force guessing a given password harder!

| Username | Salt | Password hash |
|----------|------|----------------------|
| Bobbie | 4238 | h(4238, \$uperman) |
| Tony | 2918 | h(2918, 63%TaeFF) |
| Mitsos | 6902 | h(6902, zour1da) |
| Mark | 1694 | h(1694, Rockybrook#1 |

Password databases are still getting leaked...

Password Cracking

Exhaustive search > infeasible for large password spaces

Dictionary attacks (words, real user passwords from previous leaks, ...)

Variations, common patterns, structure rules

Prepend/append symbols/numbers/dates, weird capitalization, I33tspeak, visually similar characters, intended misspellings, ...

Target-specific information

DOB, family names, favorite team, pets, hobbies, anniversaries, language, slang, ... Easy to acquire from social networking services and other public sites

Particularly effective against "security questions"

Advanced techniques

Probabilistic context-free grammars, Markov models, ...

example_hashes [hashcat × Secure | https://hashcat.net/wiki/doku.php?id=example_hashes ☆ \leftarrow С : hashcat advanced password recovery hashcat Forums Wiki Tools Events Recent changes Log In Sitemap Example hashes Table of Contents ٠ •Example hashes

If you get a "line length exception" error in hashcat, it is often because the hash mode that you have requested does not match the hash. To verify, you can test your commands against example hashes.

 Generic hash types Specific hash types Legacy hash types

Unless otherwise noted, the password for all example hashes is hashcat.

Generic hash types

| Hash- Mode | Hash-Name | Example |
|---------------|---|--|
| 0 | MD5 | 8743b52063cd84097a65d1633f5c74f5 |
| 10 | md5(\$pass.\$salt) | 01dfae6e5d4d90d9892622325959afbe:7050461 |
| 20 | md5(\$salt.\$pass) | f0fda58630310a6dd91a7d8f0a4ceda2:4225637426 |
| 30 | md5(utf16le(\$pass).\$salt) | b31d032cfdcf47a399990a71e43c5d2a:144816 |
| 40 | md5(\$salt.utf16le(\$pass)) | d63d0e21fdc05f618d55ef306c54af82:13288442151473 |
| 50 | HMAC-MD5 (key = \$pass) | fc741db0a2968c39d9c2a5cc75b05370:1234 |
| 60 | HMAC-MD5 (key = \$salt) | bfd280436f45fa38eaacac3b00518f29:1234 |
| 100 | SHA1 | b89eaac7e61417341b710b727768294d0e6a277b |
| 110 | <pre>sha1(\$pass.\$salt)</pre> | 2fc5a684737ce1bf7b3b239df432416e0dd07357:2014 |
| 120 | sha1(\$salt.\$pass) | cac35ec206d868b7d7cb0b55f31d9425b075082b:5363620024 |
| 130 | <pre>sha1(utf16le(\$pass).\$salt)</pre> | c57f6ac1b71f45a07dbd91a59fa47c23abcd87c2:631225 |
| 140 | <pre>sha1(\$salt.utf16le(\$pass))</pre> | 5db61e4cd8776c7969cfd62456da639a4c87683a:8763434884872 |
| 150 | HMAC-SHA1 (key = \$pass) | c898896f3f70f61bc3fb19bef222aa860e5ea717:1234 |
| 160 | HMAC-SHA1 (key = \$salt) | d89c92b4400b15c39e462a8caa939ab40c3aeeea:1234 |
| 200 | MySQL323 | 7196759210defdc0 |
| 300 | MySQL4.1/MySQL5 | fcf7c1b8749cf99d88e5f34271d636178fb5d130 |

50 Most-used (Worse) Passwords

| 123456 | 1234567 | 123 | ashley | evite |
|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| 123456789 | qwerty | omgpop | 987654321 | 123abc |
| picture1 | abc123 | 123321 | unknown | 123qwe |
| password | Million2 | 654321 | zxcvbnm | sunshine |
| 12345678 | 000000 | qwertyuiop | 112233 | 121212 |
| 111111 | 1234 | qwer123456 | chatbooks | dragon |
| 123123 | iloveyou | 123456a | 20100728 | 1q2w3e4r |
| 12345 | aaron431 | a123456 | 123123123 | 5201314 |
| 1234567890 | password1 | 666666 | princess | 159753 |
| senha | qqww1122 | asdfghjkl | jacket025 | 0123456789 |

Distribution of 4-digit sequences within RockYou passwords



Wordlists

ce#ebc.dk goddess5 20071002 271075711 zs3cu7za scoopn frygas1411 SL123456s1 12345687ee123 xuexi2010 daigoro 12345614 DICK4080 567891234 tilg80 6z08c861 :zark: ravishsneha 150571611369 661189 passme trolovinasveta abdulkhaleque 007816 **xLDSX** Florida2011 037037 WestC0untry hitsugaiya 955998126 3n3rmax

4637324 bugger825 marmaris jinjin111 170383gp 3484427 f133321 zwqrfg 67070857 432106969 6856 704870704870 pv041886 20060814 512881535 milanimilani 472619 dbyxw888 85717221 cc841215 ariana19321 bbbnnn ang34hehiu wi112358 Brenda85 786525pb shi461988 pingu yeybozip 71477nak stokurew

gea8mw4yz kukumbike 260888 jordi10 lexusis kj011a039 c84bwlrb privanka05 loveneverdies u8Agebj576 FGYfgy77 659397 327296 74748585 19720919 050769585 nicopa 2232566 bearss n0tpublic isitreal00 ashraf19760 48144 22471015 antvzhou115 0167005246 ec13kag 226226226226 6767537/33 mimilebrock gueis8850

fujinshan counter N8mr0n 520057 adc123 bmaster qbjh04zg ueldaa79 EMANUELLI yanjing assynt 62157173 0704224950753 6903293 axaaxa hilall 30091983 2510618981 soukuokpan tosecondlife p4os8m6q 015614117 acw71790 lsyljm2 2xgialdl gaybar9 88203009 MKltyh87 quiggle 2063775206 fr3iH3it

masich pengaiwei coalesce 56402768 thesis aabbcc894 marion&maxime 614850 vdz220105 584521584521 txudecp 84410545 pietro.chiara jman1514 heryarma 39joinmam timelapse mwinkar 251422 willrock YHrtfgDK xys96exa mercadotecnia 8s5sBEx7 0125040344 margitka omaopa dfTi6nh 1314520521 pixma760 pearpear

gothpunksk8er rftaeo48 8d7R0K 5172032 aics07 34mariah dongqinwei samarica cap1014 0167387943 AE86Trueno 19700913 mcsuap bu56mpbu danbee passw<> money521 conan83 nxfjpl rateg143 kojyihen 058336257 sarah4444 7363437 freindship JvtmvW0848 sb inbau 30907891 0515043111 1973@ati wlxgjf

20081010 leelou44 8UfjeGb0 200358808 dellede liang123. captainettekt kwiki-mart mdovydas tigmys2001 denial 678ad5251 woaiwuai 1591591591212 hNbDGN cardcap 13985039393 001104 desare11 412724198 nibh1kab asferg hqb555 xgames7 muckerlee choqui67 12130911 lierwei120 skvtdvn milena1995 kambala11

🔣 Hashes.org - Leaked Lists 🗙 🗸

1014 HA 1407 5 07 (1405)

← → C 🔒 Secure | https://hashes.org/public.php#

LEAKED LISTS

| Complete left lists from public leaks | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|--|
| ID | Name | Last Update | Num of Hashes | Progress | Left Hashes | Found | |
| 6505 | H4v3 1 b33n pwn3d (SHA1) | 02.10.2017 - 02:03:24 | 320'294'464 | 319'837'535 (99.86%) | Get | Get | |
| 5638 | P4y4sUGym (MD5) | 02.10.2017 - 02:04:19 | 241'266 | 221'152 (91.66%) | Get | Get | |
| 4920 | L1nk3d1n (SHA1) | 02.10.2017 - 03:24:58 | 61'829'262 | 60'147'825 (97.28%) | Get | Get | |
| 3282 | 4mzr3v13w7r4d3r.c0m (MYSQL5) | 02.10.2017 - 03:25:32 | 41'823 | 39'166 (93.65%) | Get | Get | |
| 3186 | X5pl17 (SHA1) | 02.10.2017 - 03:32:38 | 2'227'254 | 2'162'101 (97.07%) | Get | Get | |
| 2499 | Hashkiller 32-hex left total | 02.10.2017 - 11:48:14 | 9'976'651 | 1'723'709 (17.28%) | Get | Get | |
| 2498 | Hashkiller 40-hex left total | 02.10.2017 - 13:22:34 | 1'739'204 | 350'788 (20.17%) | Get | Get | |
| 1619 | 4m4t3urc0mmuni7y.c0m | 02.10.2017 - 13:33:26 | 197'302 | 57'407 (29.1%) | Get | Get | |
| 1535 | b73r.c0m (MD5) | 02.10.2017 - 13:34:43 | 63'070 | 32'543 (51.6%) | Get | Get | |
| 1427 | 4v17r0n.fr | 02.10.2017 - 13:34:43 | 2'405 | 2'334 (97.05%) | Get | Get | |
| 1366 | v0d4f0n3 (MD5(\$pass."s+(_a*)") | 02.10.2017 - 13:34:44 | 322 | 307 (95.34%) | Get | Get | |

00/50 5700

00400047 400444 47C

⊕ ☆ :

G

ŵ

…☆ △ \\ 🗉 👪 ≫ 🗏

518

pwned websites

pwned accounts

10,624,652,379

113,998 pastes 199,730,234

paste accounts

Largest breaches

 \bigcirc

- 772,904,991
 Collection #1 accounts

 763,117,241
 Verifications.io accounts
- 711,477,622 Onliner Spambot accounts
- 622,161,052 Data Enrichment Exposure From PDL Customer accounts
- 593,427,119 Exploit.In accounts
- 457,962,538 Anti Public Combo List accounts
- 393,430,309 River City Media Spam List accounts
- myspace 359,420,698 MySpace accounts
- 268,765,495 Wattpad accounts



234,842,089 NetEase accounts

Recently added breaches

 Image: Weight of the second state
 11,7

 Image: Weight of the second state
 465,1

 Image: Weight of the second state
 637,2

 Image: Weight of the second state
 66,5

 Image: Weight of the second state
 1,834,0

 Image: Weight of the second state
 1,921,7

VPN

- 11,788 WeLeakInfo accounts
- 465,141 Liker accounts
- 637,279 Travel Oklahoma accounts
- 66,521 Gab accounts
- 1,834,006 Oxfam accounts
- 1,921,722 Ticketcounter accounts
- 20,339,937 SuperVPN & GeckoVPN accounts
- 645,786 Filmai.in accounts
- NurseryCam 10,585 NurseryCam accounts
- PEOPLE's 358,822 People's Energy accounts

16

Password Hashing Functions

Hash functions are very fast to evaluate → facilitate fast password cracking

Solution: slow down the guessing process (password "stretching")

Benefit: cracking becomes very inefficient (e.g., 10-100ms per check)

Drawback: increased cost for the server if it must authenticate many users

Make heavy use of available resources

Fast enough computation to validate honest users, but render password guessing infeasible Adaptable: flexible cost (time/memory complexity) parameters

Bcrypt [Provos and Mazières, 1999]

Cost-parameterized, modified version of the Blowfish encryption algorithm Tunable cost parameter (exponential number of loop iterations)

Alternatives: Scrypt (memory-hard), PBKDF2 (PKCS standard)

Online Guessing

Similar strategy to offline guessing, but rate-limited

Connect, try a few passwords, get disconnected, repeat...

Prerequisite: know a valid user name

Credential stuffing: try username + password combinations from previous breaches

Many failed attempts can lead to a system reaction

Introduce delay before accepting future attempts (exponential backoff) Shut off completely (e.g., ATM capturing/disabling the card after 3 tries) Ask user to solve a CAPTCHA

Very common against publicly accessible SSH, VPN, RDP, and other servers

Main reason people move sshd to a non-default port

Fail2Ban: block IP after many failed attempts → attackers may now be able to lock you out

Better: disable password authentication and use a key pair → cumbersome if having to log in from many/others' computers



| LOGIN: mitch | LOGIN: carol | LOGIN: carol |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| PASSWORD: FooBar!-7 | INVALID LOGIN NAME | PASSWORD: Idunno |
| SUCCESSFUL LOGIN | LOGIN: | INVALID LOGIN |
| | | LOGIN: |
| (a) | (b) | (c) |

(a) Successful login

(b) Login rejected after name is entered

(c) Login rejected after name and password are typed \rightarrow less information makes guessing harder

Tanenbaum, Modern Operating Systems 3 e, (c) 2008 Prentice-Hall, Inc. All rights reserved. 0-13-6006639

| ult Router Passwoi | rds × | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---|
| C 🗋 www. | routerpass | words.com | | | | | 5 |
| Ro | uter | Passwor | ds.c | om | Hor | ne Add Password About | |
| Welcom | e to the inte | rnets largets and most | updated | default router pass | words database, | | |
| Select F | Router Man | ufacturer: | | | | | |
| CISC | 0 | | - | | | | |
| Find | Passwor | rd | Iryt | ine aeraur | <i>t TIPST</i> | | |
| Manu | facturer | Model | | Protocol | Username | Password | |
| CISCO | D | CACHE ENGINE | | CONSOLE | admin | diamond | |
| CISCO | C | CONFIGMAKER | | | cmaker | cmaker | |
| CISCO | D | CNR Rev. ALL | | CNR GUI | admin | changeme | |
| CISCO | D | NETRANGER/SECU IDS | IRE | MULTI | netrangr | attack | |
| CISCO | D | BBSM Rev. 5.0 AND | 5.1 | TELNET OR NAMED PIPES | bbsd-client | changeme2 | |
| CISCO | D | BBSD MSDE CLIEN 5.0 AND 5.1 | T Rev. | TELNET OR NAMED | bbsd-client | NULL | |

Eavesdropping and Replay

Physical world

Watch user type password (shoulder surfing) Cameras (e.g., ATM skimmers) Lift fingerprints (e.g., Apple Touch ID) Post-it notes, notebooks, ...

Network makes things easier

Sniffing (LAN, WiFi, ...)

Man-in-the-Middle attacks

Defenses

Encryption

One-time password schemes

Kerberos

Long-lived vs. session keys

Use long-lived key for authentication and negotiating session keys

Use "fresh," ephemeral session keys (prevent replay, cryptanalysis, old compromised keys) for encrypted communication, MACs, ...



Kerberos: most widely used (non-web) single sign-on system

Originally developed at MIT, now used in Unix, Windows, ...

Authenticate users to services: using their password as the initial key, without having to retype it for every interaction

A Key Distribution Center (KDC) acts as a trusted third party for key distribution

Online authentication: variant of Needham-Schroeder protocol

Assumes a non-trusted network: prevents eavesdropping

Assumes that the Kerberos server and user workstations are secure...

Use cases: workstation login, remote share access, printers, ...

Password Capture

Hardware bugs/keyloggers

Software keyloggers/malware

Cameras

Phishing

Social engineering











emetresserin

Press Ctrl-Alt-Delete to begin.

Requiring this key combination at startup helps keep computer secure. For more information, click Help.



(a) Correct login screen(b) Phony login screen

Something You Have: Authentication Tokens

One-time passcode tokens

Time-based or counter-based

Various other authentication tokens

Store certificates, encryption keys, challenge–response, ...

Smartcards (contact or contactless)

Identification, authentication, data storage, limited processing

Magnetic stripe cards, EMV (chip-n-pin credit cards), SIM cards, RFID tags, ...

USB/NFC tokens, mobile phones, watches, ...

Can be used as authentication devices





Multi-factor Authentication

Must provide several separate credentials of different types

Most common: two-factor authentication (2FA)

Example: Password + hardware token/SMS message/authenticator app, ... Example: ATM card + PIN

Motivation: a captured/cracked password is not enough to compromise a victim's account **→ not always true**

Man-in-the-Middle: set up fake banking website, relay password to real website, let the user deal with the second factor...

Man-in-the-Browser: hijack/manipulate an established session after authentication has completed (banking Trojans)

Dual infection: compromise both PC and mobile device

More importantly: the most commonly used 2nd factor (SMS) is the least secure

SMS Is Not a Secure 2nd Factor

(but still better than no 2nd factor)

Social engineering

Call victim's mobile operator and hijack the phone number SIM swap, message/call forwarding, ...

Message interception

Rogue cell towers: IMSI catchers, StingRays,...

Some phones even display text messages on the lock screen (!)

SS7 attacks

The protocol used for inter-provider signaling is severely outdated and vulnerable Allows attackers to spoof change requests to users' phone numbers and intercept calls or text messages







G

ſ۵.

۸

…☆ △ \\ 🗉 👪 ≫



A Hacker Got All My Texts for \$16

A gaping flaw in SMS lets hackers take over phone numbers in minutes by simply paying a company to reroute text messages.



March 15, 2021, 1:10pm 📑 Share 🎔 Tweet 🌲 Snap

I hadn't been SIM swapped, where hackers trick or bribe telecom employees to port a target's phone number to their own SIM card. Instead, the hacker used a service by a company called Sakari, which helps businesses do SMS marketing and mass messaging, to reroute my messages to him. This overlooked attack vector shows not only how unregulated commercial SMS tools are but also how there are gaping holes in our telecommunications infrastructure, with a hacker sometimes just having to pinky swear they

Better Alternative: Authenticator App

Six/eight digit code provided after successful password validation

Time-based one-time password (TOTP)

Code computed from a shared secret key and the current time (using HMAC)

The key is negotiated during registration

Requires "rough" client-server synchronization

Code constantly changes in 30-second intervals

Phishing is still possible!

The attacker just needs to proxy the captured credentials in real time (rather than collecting them for later use)



Evilginx2 https://github.com/kgretzky/evilginx2

Man-in-the-middle attack framework for phishing login credentials along with session cookies

Bypasses 2-factor authentication

No need for HTML templates: just a web proxy

Victim's traffic is forwarded to the real website

TLS termination at the proxy (e.g., using a LetsEncrypt certificate)





Google

Sign in

with your Google Account

Email or phone

Forgot email?

English (United States) 🔻

Not your computer? Use Guest mode to sign in privately. Learn more

Create account



NEXT



Justin Warner @sixdub

Follow

 \sim

I love digging through certificate transparency logs. Today, I saw a fake Google Drive landing page freshly registered with Let's Encrypt. It had a hardcoded picture/email of presumably the target. These can be a wealth of info that I recommend folks checking out.



5:21 PM - 22 Jul 2018

Evilginx2's Tokenized phishing URLs

Scanners look into public certificate transparency logs for newly registered domains

"For some phishing pages, it took usually one hour for the hostname to become banned and blacklisted by popular anti-spam filters"

Solution: create unique phishing URLs

Response to scanner: benign page

https://totally.not.fake.linkedin.foo.com/auth/signin

Response to victim: malicious page

https://totally.not.fake.linkedin.foo.com/auth/signin?tk=secret_token

Additional countermeasure: temporarily hide the phishing page While submitting it to bit.ly, sending it through email, appearing on CT log, ...

Modlishka <u>https://github.com/drk1wi/Modlishka</u>

Phishing reverse proxy

Support for the majority of 2FA authentication schemes

No website templates

User credential harvesting (with context based on URL parameter passed identifiers)

Web panel with a summary of collected credentials and user session impersonation



CredSniper https://github.com/ustayready/CredSniper

Exact login form clones for realistic phishing

Supports TLS via Let's Encrypt, and phishing 2FA tokens

| .com/signin/v2/identifier?flowName=GlifWebSignIn&flowEntry=ServiceLogin | | com/signin/v2/challenge/ipp?flowName=GlifWebSignIn&flowEntry=ServiceLogin&cic |
|--|-------|--|
| Google Welcome @ mike@linux.edu | Real | Google Welcome e mike@linux.edu |
| 2-Step Verification A text message with a 6-digit verification code was just sent to (***) *****63 | Or | 2-Step Verification A text message with a 6-digit verification code was just sent to (***) ***-*63 |
| G- Enter the code | Eako? | G- Enter the code |
| Don't ask again on this computer | Ianti | Remember this computer for 30 days |
| More options NEXT | | More options NEXT |
| English (United States) 👻 Help Privacy Terms | | English (United States) - Help Privacy Terms |
| Fake | | Real |

Even Better Alternative: U2F Tokens

Universal Second Factor (U2F)

FIDO (Fast IDentity Online) alliance: Google, Yubico, ...

Supported by all popular browsers and many online services



A different key pair is generated for each origin during registration

Origin = <protocol, hostname, port>

Private key stored re-generated on device

Public key sent to server

Additions to the authentication flow:

Origin (URI): *prevents phishing* TLS Channel ID (optional): *prevents MitM*







U2F tokens

Benefits

Easy: just tap the button (no typing)
Works out of the box (no drivers to install)
USB, NFC, Bluetooth communication
No shared secret between client and server
Origin checking → effective against phishing!

Drawbacks

Can be lost → a fallback is needed (second U2F token, Authenticator App, ...) Cumbersome: have to pull keychain out and plug token in (or have an always pugged-in token, in which case though it can be stolen along with the device) Cost (\$10-\$70)



2FA Recap – What threats does it prevent?

SMS: useful against two main threats

Credential stuffing (people tend to reuse passwords across different services) Leaked passwords (post-it, hardware keyloggers, cameras, shoulder surfing, ...) Introduces new security/privacy issues: SIM swapping, SMS forwarding, SMS spam...

Authenticator Apps/Push Auth: much better alternative than SMS

Protects against the same threats without relying on phone numbers

U2F: additional protection against phishing

Modern phishing toolkits bypass SMS/Authenticator/Push 2FA through MitM Humans fall for typosquatting, but U2F's origin check doesn't

None of the above protect against session hijacking and Man-in-the-Browser Game over anyway if the host is compromised after the user has successfully logged in

Password Managers

Have become indispensable

Encourage the use of complex/non-memorable passwords

Obviate the need for password reuse: unique passwords per site/service

Protection against phishing: auto-fill won't work for incorrect domains As long as users don't copy/paste passwords out of the password manager (!)

Various options: third-party applications, OS-level, in-Browser

Password synchronization across devices

Can the service provider access all my passwords or not?

Preferable option: passwords should be encrypted with master password never visible to the cloud service

WebAuthn

W3C Web Authentication standard (FIDO2): Successor of FIDO U2F

Use cases

Low friction and phishing-resistant 2FA (in conjunction with a password)

Passwordless, biometrics-based re-authorization

2FA without a password (passwordless logins)

Authenticators: devices that can generate private/public key pairs and gather consent (simple tap, fingerprint read, ...)

Built-in: fingerprint readers, cameras, ... External: USB, BLE, NFC, ...



Single Sign-on/Social Login

Pros

Convenience: fewer passwords to remember Easier development: outsource user registration/management Rich experience through social features

Cons

Same credentials for multiple sites: single point of failure

Access to user's profile

User tracking

| Sign In | × |
|---|---|
| Email Password Forgot? Sign In Keep me signed in Don't have an account? Create One. | Or use your existing account from Login with Facebook Sign in with Twitter Sign in with Google |



Biometrics

Fingerprint reader

Face recognition

Depth sensing, infrared cameras, ...

"liveness" detection (pulse, thermal) to foil simple picture attack

Retina/iris scanner

Voice recognition

• • •

Related concept: continuous authentication

Keystroke timing, usage patterns, ...



Crypto-based Authentication

Rely on a cryptographic key to prove a user's identity

User performs a requested cryptographic operation on a value (challenge) that the verifier supplies

Usually based on knowledge of a key (secret key or private key)

Can use symmetric (e.g., Kerberos) or public key (e.g., U2F) schemes

How can we trust a key? Why is it authentic?

Need to establish a level of trust

Different approaches: TOFU, PKI, Web of Trust

Emerging approach: PKI based on blockchain distributed ledger

Trust on First Use (aka Key Continuity)

Use case: SSH

Performs mutual authentication

Server always authenticates the client

password, key pair, ...

Client almost always authenticates the server – *except the first time!*

First connection: server presents its public key

No other option for the user but to accept it: MitM opportunity

Subsequent connections: client remembers server's key, and triggers an alert on key mismatch

Pragmatic solution, but shifts the burden to users

Users must determine the validity of the presented key

Accepting a key change without verifying the new key offers no protection against MitM (unfortunately, that's what most users do)



1:07

<

Verify security code You, Alice

4



| 56890 | 59295 | 61701 | 15415 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 38897 | 13310 | 80072 | 75067 |
| 50646 | 41640 | 61012 | 94324 |

Scan the code on your contact's phone, or ask them to scan your code, to verify that your messages and calls to them are end-to-end encrypted. You can also compare the number above to verify. This is optional. Learn more.

SCAN CODE

Certificates

How can we distribute "trusted" public keys?

Public directory → risk of forgery and tampering More practical solution: "certified" public keys

A certificate is a digitally signed message that contains an identity and a public key

Makes an association between a user/entity and a private key

Valid until a certain period

Why trust a certificate?

Because it is signed by an "authority"

Requiring a signature by a third party prevents straightforward tampering



Public Key Infrastructures (PKI)

Facilitate the authentication and distribution of public keys with the respective identities of entities

People, organizations, devices, applications, ...

Set of roles, policies, hardware, software, and procedures to create, mange, distribute, use, store, and revoke digital certificates and manage public key encryption

An issuer signs certificates for subjects

Trust anchor

Methods of certification

Certificate authorities (hierarchical structure – root of trust) **Web of trust** (decentralized, peer-to-peer structure)

Certificate Authorities

Trusted third-parties responsible for certifying public keys

Most CAs are tree-structured

Single point of failure: CAs can be compromised!

Why should we trust an authority?

How do we know the public key of the Certificate Authority (CA)?

CA's public key (trust anchor) must somehow be provided out of band Trust has to start somewhere

<u>Operating systems</u> and <u>browsers</u> are pre-configured with tens/hundreds of trusted root certificates

A public key for any website in the world will be accepted without warning if it has been certified by any of these CAs (more on that in the TLS lecture)



Web of Trust (mainly used in PGP – more in the email lecture)

Entirely decentralized authentication

- No single point of failure
- No need to buy certs from CAs

Users sign other users' keys

- Only if they deem them trustworthy
- Certificate signings can form an arbitrarily complex graph
- Users can verify path to as many trust anchors as they wish

Drawbacks

Hard to use, requires in-person verification: key signing parties! Hard to know what trust level to assign transitively

WoT Alternative: Online Social "Tracking"



Keybase.io

In essence, a directory associating public keys with names

Identity established through *public signatures*

Identity proofs: "I am Joe on Keybase and MrJoe on Twitter" Follower statements: "I am Joe on Keybase and I just looked at Chris's identity" Key ownership: "I am Joe on Keybase and here's my public key" Revocations: "I take back what I said earlier"

Keybase identity = sum of public identities

Twitter, Facebook, Github, Reddit, domain ownership, ...



michalis @polychronakis · 28 Aug 2014 Verifying myself: I am mikepo on **Keybase**.io. NpbEbc8BJOrT4k70TcmM2o-A4G24IXVNt89R /

An attacker has to compromise all connected identities

The more connected identities, the harder to impersonate a user

Best Practices

Use long passphrases instead of passwords

Never reuse the same password on different services

Use two-factor authentication when available

Avoid SMS if possible! Use an authenticator app or U2F instead Remove phone number from account after authenticator/U2F setup Store your backup codes in a safe location

Use a password manager

Pick non-memorable passwords and avoid copy/pasting them Password auto-fill helps against phishing (auto-fill won't work if the domain is wrong) Not only for passwords! Also for "security" questions

Use SSH keys instead of passwords