Concurrency: Deadlock and Starvation

Chapter 6

Deadlock

- Permanent blocking of a set of processes that either compete for system resources or communicate with each other
- Involve conflicting needs for resources by two or more processes

Example of Deadlock



Example of No Deadlock



Reusable, Nonsharable Resources

- Used by <u>one process at a time</u> and not depleted by that use
- Processes obtain resources that they later release for reuse by other processes
- Processor time, I/O channels, main and secondary memory, files, databases, and semaphores
- Deadlock occurs if each process holds one resource and requests the other

Example of Deadlock

 Space is available for allocation of 200K bytes, and the following sequence of events occur



 Deadlock occurs if both processes progress to their second request

Consumable Resources

- Created (produced) and destroyed (consumed) by a process
- Interrupts, signals, messages, and information in I/O buffers
- Deadlock may occur if a Receive message is blocking
- May take a rare combination of events to cause deadlock

Example of Deadlock

✓ Deadlock occurs if receive is blocking



	P2	
• • •		
Receive(P1);	
•••		
Send(P1):	

Conditions for Deadlock

Mutual exclusion

only one process may use a resource at a time

✓ <u>Hold-and-wait</u>

 a process is allowed to hold allocated resources while awaiting assignment of others

✓<u>No preemption</u>

 no resource can be forcibly removed from a process holding it

Conditions for Deadlock

✓<u>Circular wait</u>

- A closed chain of processes exists, such that each process holds at least one resource needed by the next process in the chain
- Necessary for deadlock to happen
- Sufficient under certain circumstances
- Other conditions are necessary but <u>not sufficient</u> for deadlock

Circular Wait





Deadlock Prevention

✓ Disallowing "Mutual Exclusion"

- cannot be achieved, due to the nature of nonsharable resources
- Disallowing "Hold-and-Wait"
- Require that a process request all its required resources <u>at once</u>

Block the process until all requests can be granted simultaneously

Problem:

- process can be held up for a long time waiting for all its requests
- o starvation is possible

Deadlock Prevention

✓ Disallowing "No Preemption"

- If a process is denied a further request, the process must release the original resources
- If a process cannot obtain a resource, the process may have to release the resources it already holds. Process must have capability to restore these resources to current state.
- Practical only only when the state can be easily saved and restored later, such as the CPU or main memory.

Deadlock Prevention

Disallowing "Circular Wait"

- define a linear ordering for resources
- once a resource is obtained, only those resources that have id number higher can be obtained (if a process requests a resource with lower id number than what it has obtained so far, that request is denied)
- Problem: may deny resources for no reason other than the "wrong" id number. Low resource utilization.

Deadlock Avoidance

- Do not start a process if its demands might lead to deadlock
- Do not grant an incremental resource request to a process if this allocation *might* lead to deadlock
- Not necessary to preempt and rollback processes

Deadlock Avoidance

- Maximum resource requirement must be stated in advance
- Processes under consideration must be independent; no synchronization requirements
- There must be a fixed number of resources to allocate
- No process may exit while holding resources

Deadlock Avoidance: The Banker's Algorithm

✓ Assume: M resources R₁, ..., R_M

- o system has several identical copies of each resource: Q1, ..., QM (maximums/resource)
- a process can ask for several copies of each resource type; doesn't care which specific copies are given as long as they are of the requested type
- State: a particular allocation of resources to processes:

 P1
 P2
 P3
 P4



Deadlock Avoidance: Banker's Algorithm

✓ Safe state:

 state where we can order the remaining processes so they can run to completion without a deadlock. A safe state test:
 // Let L be the list of unfinished processes
 while L is non-empty do
 if can find process P in L whose
 outstanding requests can be satisfied
 using available resources then {
 delete P from L

add all resources held by P to the pool of available resources else return(unsafe)

return (safe)

 This is only a *sufficient* - <u>not a</u> <u>necessary</u>, condition for safety!

Deadlock Avoidance: Banker's Algorithm

✓ Request granting algorithm req(P,Qty,Rsrc):

if safe(resulting state) then

grant request;

else

suspend P on Rsrc;

Deadlock Detection

- Operating system checks for deadlockWhen:
 - Check at resource request time

 early detection of deadlock
 frequent checks consume processor time

 Check periodically

 deadlocks stay undetected between checks

Strategies once Deadlock Detected

Abort all deadlocked processes

- Back up each deadlocked process to some previously defined checkpoint, and restart all processes
 - original deadlock may occur once again
- Successively abort deadlocked processes until deadlock no longer exists
- Successively preempt resources until deadlock no longer exists (if resource preemption is feasible and cost-effective)

Selection Criteria Deadlocked Processes

- Least amount of processor time consumed so far (so the least amount of work will be wasted)
- Least number of lines of output produced so far (so cheaper to preempt file resources)
- Most estimated time remaining (presumably, this process is most deadlock-prone)
- Least total resources allocated so far

Lowest priority

Deadlock Detection Algorithms

✓ *Single instance* of each resource

- draw a <u>resource request graph</u> as follows:
 - o arc Proc --> Res exists if Proc requested Res
 - arc Proc <-- Res exists if Proc holds Res
- The system has a deadlock iff the resource request graph has a cycle
 - o Why?
 - Multiple resource instances:
 - is the cycle condition necessary for the existence of a deadlock?
 - Is it sufficient?

Deadlock Detection Algorithms

Multiple resource instances similar to the safety test:

```
// Let L be the list of all unfinished processes
while nonempty(L) do{
    if found a process P in L all of whose requests
        can be satisfied with available resources do {
        delete P from L;
        add all resources held by P to the pool
            of available resources;
    } else return(deadlock);
}
return(nodedlock);
```

The algorithm ensures that if none of the processes issues additional resource requests, then there is no deadlock.

Dining Philosophers Problem



Dining Philosophers Solutions

// 5 philosophers
// & forks
semaphore fork[4];

With starvation & deadlock:

repeat

```
<think>
```

```
wait(fork[I]);
```

```
wait(fork[(I+1)mod 5]);
```

<eat>

```
signal(fork[I]);
```

```
signal(fork[(I+1)mod 5]);
```

forever

Give a scenario for deadlock.Give a scenario for starvation.

// at most 4 out of 5
// philosophers eat simultaneously
semaphore room = 4;

No starvation or deadlock:

repeat

```
<think>
wait(room);
wait(fork[I]);
wait(fork[(I+1)mod 5]);
<eat>
signal(fork[(I+1)mod 5]);
signal(fork[I]);
signal(room);
forever
```

Interprocess Concurrency Mechanisms



- buffer allowing two processes to communicate
- queue written by one process and read by another
- operating system enforces mutual exclusion for writing and reading the pipe
- write requests are immediately executed if there is room in the pipe, otherwise the process is blocked
- read request is blocked if attempts to read more bytes than currently available in the pipe

Inter-<u>process</u> Concurrency Mechanisms

√ <u>Messages</u>

- block of text with accompanying type
- receiver can either retrieve messages in FIFO order or by type
- process suspends when trying to send a message to a queue that is full
- process suspends when reading from an empty queue
- process trying to read a certain type of messages *fails*, <u>not</u> *suspended*

Inter-process Concurrency Mechanisms

✓ <u>Shared memory</u>

- common block of virtual memory shared by multiple processes
- fast form of interprocess communication
- mutual exclusion must be provided by the processes, not the operating system

Inter-process Concurrency Mechanisms

√ <u>Semaphores</u>

- wait and signal and much more
- operating system handles all these requests

Signals (UNIX-derived OS only)

- software mechanism that informs a process of the occurrence of asynchronous events
- e.g. interrupt process, quit process, kill process, floating point exception, ...

Solaris *Thread* Synchronization Primitives

- Mutual exclusion lock
 - prevents more than one thread from proceeding when the lock is acquired
- Semaphores
 - used for incrementing and decrementing
- Unlike the general synchronization primitives (which operate on resources shared by all threads of a process), these synchronization resources aren't shared by threads in the same process!

Solaris Thread Synchronization Primitives

- Multiple readers, single writer locks
 - multiple threads have simultaneous read-only access
 - only one thread has access for writing
- Condition variables
 - used to wait until a particular condition is true

Windows NT Concurrency Mechanisms

Synchronization Objects

- process
- thread
- file
- console input
- file change notification
- mutex
- semaphore (counting)
- event
- waitable timer

Each synchronization object can be in either signaled or un-signaled state

∺ <u>Un-signaled state</u>:

thread can be suspended on synchronization objects that are un-signaled

Signaled state:

when object is in signaled state, all threads waiting on this object wake up