Java Threads and Synchronization

Overview

The Class <u>Thread</u>

- Several ways to create threads.
 - Using the class Thread
 - Using the interface Runnable
- <u>Runnable</u> is more complex, but also more flexible (sometimes the simple method is insufficient).
- Here we describe only the simple method that uses the class <u>Thread</u>.

Issues

- Two issues:
 - Creation of *threaded code*:
 - Note: in OSP-2, you were only <u>managing</u> threads, i.e., doing only what the OS does.
 - Here we are talking about the programmer's point of view:
 - creation of threaded code, which runs as a bunch of threads.
 - Synchronization:
 - synchronizing different pieces of code in Java.
 - based on the idea of monitors

Threaded Code

- Create a class that <u>extends Thread</u>. As many classes as the application needs.
 - class Consumer extends Thread
 - class Producer extends Thread
- Put the code that is supposed to run as threads inside the method <u>run()</u>.
 - This method overrides what is inherited from class <u>Thread</u>.
 - Application classes (such as Consumer & Producer) can have other methods as well.

Threaded Code

• Have another class that drives the application. It creates instances of the threads and starts them.

```
public class ConsumerProducer {
 private static Vector buffer = new Vector();
 public static void main(String args[]) {
    Consumer c1, c2;
    Producer p1, p2, p3;
    c1 = new Consumer("Bob");
    c2 = new Consumer("Alice");
    p1 = new Producer("Acme");
    . . .
    c1.start();
    c2.start();
```

Driver code

p1.start();

// shared buffer



Code to be called by threads

Threaded Producer & Consumer with Infinite Buffer

```
public class Producer extends Thread {
```

```
public void run( ) {
```

```
while (true) {
```

```
ConsumerProducer.put(getNewItem())
```

```
Myltem getNewItem() {
```

```
Myltem item = new Myltem();
```

```
... put stuff in item ...
```

return item;

```
public class Consumer extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    while (true) {
        ConsumerProducer.take();
    }
}
```

Problems With Our Code

- If *buffer.size() == 0*, *take()* loops bad.
- In case of concurrent consumers, several can fall through the loop

while (buffer.size() == 0) { };

If a producer puts 1 item in the buffer, the first concurrent consumer executes

remove()

but the second will cause an error.

Solution: Java Monitors

• Change the put/take methods as follows:

public synchronized static void put(Object obj) {

buffer.add(obj);

}

}

```
public synchronized static Object take() {
  while (buffer.size() == 0) { };
  return buffer.remove();
```

Busy wait is still a problem!

Declare put/take as mutex entry points into a monitor. The monitor here is the ConsumerProducer class

Eliminating Busy Wait: wait/notify()

Change put/take methods as follows:

public synchronized void put(Object obj) {

buffer.add(obj);

```
ConsumerProducer.class.notify();
```

```
public synchronized Object take( ) {
```

try {

}

```
if (buffer.size() == 0) ConsumerProducer.class.wait();
```

```
return buffer.remove();
```

} catch (InterruptedException ie) {

System.err.println("Someone interrupted my work");

wait/notify() can operate on any object. Here on ConsumerProducer.class
notify() notifies the first

```
waiting thread.
notifyAll() notifies all waiting threads.
```

Additional Features

- The previous technique uses ConsumerProducer as a monitor and calls wait()/notify() on this class-object.
 - In general, wait/notify can work on any object.
 - Thus, objects act as conditional variables of monitors.
- Our monitor is rather coarse the entire class ConsumerProducer.
 - Java lets one declare pretty arbitrary blocks of code as belonging to the same named monitor.