

**CSE541 PRACTICE FINAL SOLUTIONS FALL 2022
(15pts extra credit)**

PROBLEM 1 (5pts)

1. Let $\mathbf{M} = [U, I]$ be a structure such that

$U = N - \{0\}$ and $P_I : =, f_I(x, y)$ is x^y

For the following formula A

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z P(f(x, f(y, z)), f(f(x, y), z))$$

decide whether $\mathbf{M} \models A$ or $\mathbf{M} \not\models A$.

Do so by examining the corresponding mathematical statement defined by \mathbf{M} .

Solution

The corresponding mathematical statement defined by \mathbf{M} (written with logical symbols) is

$$\forall n \forall m \forall k n^{m^k} = (n^m)^k$$

It is a FALSE statement in the set $N - \{0\}$

For example for $n = 2, m = 3, k = 2$ we get

$$2^{3^2} = 2^9 \text{ and } (2^3)^2 = 2^6 \text{ and } 2^9 \neq 2^6$$

The mathematical statement can also be written as

$$\forall_{n \in N - \{0\}} \forall_{m \in N - \{0\}} \forall_{k \in N - \{0\}} n^{m^k} = (n^m)^k$$

2. Prove that

$$\not\models ((\exists x A(x) \cap \exists x B(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x (A(x) \cap B(x)))$$

Do so by providing an example of particular formulas $A(x), B(x)$ and construct a counter model \mathbf{M} for these particular formulas.

Use the "shorthand" solution, i.e. examine the corresponding mathematical statement defined by \mathbf{M} .

Solution

Let $A(x), B(x)$ be atomic formulas $Q(x, c), P(x, c)$.

We consider the formula F

$$F : ((\exists x Q(x, c) \cap \exists x P(x, c)) \Rightarrow \exists x (Q(x, c) \cap P(x, c)))$$

We define $\mathbf{M} = (R, I)$, where R is the set of real numbers, and the interpretation I is

$$Q_I : >, \quad P_I : <, \quad c_I : 0$$

The formula F becomes an obviously **false** mathematical statement

$$F_I : ((\exists_{x \in R} x > 0 \cap \exists_{x \in R} x < 0) \Rightarrow \exists_{x \in R} (x > 0 \cap x < 0))$$

PROBLEM 2 (5pts)

Given a predicate (first order) language $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$.

1. Show that for any formulas $A(x), B(x)$ with a free variable x the following holds.

$$\vdash_{QRS} (\neg \forall_{B(x)} A(x) \Rightarrow \exists_{B(x)} \neg A(x))$$

You must write comments at each step of decomposition that uses the rules (\exists) and (\forall) .

You treat $A(x), B(x)$ as **atomic formulas**.

Solution

By definition of Restricted Quantifiers,

$$\vdash_{QRS} (\neg \forall_{B(x)} A(x) \Rightarrow \exists_{B(x)} \neg A(x)) \text{ if and only if } \vdash_{QRS} (\neg \forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow A(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)))$$

To construct the decomposition tree \mathcal{T}_A for the formula

$$A : (\neg \forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow A(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)))$$

we proceed as follows.

We put the countably infinite set of all **terms** in a one-to one sequence

$$(*) \quad t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots$$

and use carefully **Condition 1** (\forall) and **Condition 2** (\exists) of the decomposition tree definition and obtain the tree below.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbf{T}_A \\
 (\neg \forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow A(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x))) \\
 | (\Rightarrow) \\
 \neg \neg \forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow A(x)), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)) \\
 | (\neg \neg) \\
 \forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow A(x)), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)) \\
 | (\forall) \\
 (B(x_1) \Rightarrow A(x_1)), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)) \\
 \text{where } x_1 \text{ is a first free variable in the sequence } (*) \text{ of all terms, such that } x_1 \text{ does not appear in } \forall x(B(x) \Rightarrow A(x)), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)) \\
 | (\Rightarrow) \\
 \neg B(x_1), A(x_1), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x)) \\
 | (\exists) \\
 \neg B(x_1), A(x_1), (B(x_1) \cap \neg A(x_1)), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x))
 \end{array}$$

where x_1 is the first term (variables are terms) in the sequence (*) such that $(B(x_1) \cap \neg A(x_1))$ does not appear on a tree above

$$\neg B(x_1), A(x_1), (B(x_1) \cap \neg A(x_1)) \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x))$$

$$\bigwedge(\cap)$$

$$\neg B(x_1), A(x_1), B(x_1), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x))$$

axiom

$$\neg B(x_1), A(x_1), \neg A(x_1), \exists x(B(x) \cap \neg A(x))$$

axiom

PROBLEM 3 (5pts)

Find prenex normal form **PNF** of the following formula A.

$$(\exists x (Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x R(x, y))$$

Reminder

1. We assume that the formula A in **PNF** is always **closed**. If it is not closed you have to form its **closure**.
2. At each step of transformation list Laws of Quantifiers you used

Solution

Equational Laws of Quantifiers

$$\mathbf{Q1} \quad \forall x(A(x) \Rightarrow B) \equiv (\exists x A(x) \Rightarrow B) \quad \mathbf{Q2} \quad \forall x(B \Rightarrow A(x)) \equiv (B \Rightarrow \forall x A(x))$$

$$\mathbf{Q3} \quad \exists x(B \Rightarrow A(x)) \equiv (B \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)) \quad \mathbf{Q4} \quad \exists x(A(x) \Rightarrow B) \equiv (\forall x A(x) \Rightarrow B)$$

where B is a formula such that B does not contain any free occurrence of x .

Here are the following steps for finding the **PNF** of

$$(\exists x (Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x R(x, y))$$

s1. Rename Variables Apart

$$(\exists x (Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow \forall t \exists w R(w, t))$$

s2. Pull out $\exists x$ by **Q1** for $B = \forall t \exists w R(w, t)$

$$\forall x ((Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow \forall t \exists w R(w, t))$$

s3. Pull out $\forall t$ by **Q2** for $B = (Q(x, y) \cap P(z))$

$$\forall x \forall t ((Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow \exists w R(w, t))$$

s4. Pull out $\exists w$ by **Q3** for $B = (Q(x, y) \cap P(z))$

$$\forall x \forall t \exists w ((Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow R(w, t))$$

s5. This is PNF with free variables y, z - we form its bf closure and get:

$$\mathbf{PNF} \quad \forall y \forall z \forall x \forall t \exists w ((Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow R(w, t))$$

Observe that in a similar way we can also get the following another form of PNF formula

$$\mathbf{PNF}' \quad \forall y \forall z \forall t \exists w \forall x ((Q(x, y) \cap P(z)) \Rightarrow R(w, t))$$

PROBLEM 4 (5pts)

Find the **clausal form** of a formula

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w ((Q(x, y) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, w))$$

Solution

Part 1. As our formula is a **closed PNF** formula we can perform Skolem Procedure of Elimination of Quantifiers to obtain its **Skolem form** A^* as follows

s1 We eliminate $\forall x$ in the formula

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w ((Q(x, y) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, w))$$

and get a formula A_1

$$\exists y \forall z \exists w ((Q(x, y) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, w))$$

s2 We eliminate $\exists y$ by replacing y by $f(x)$ where f is a **new** one argument functional symbol **added** to the original language \mathcal{L}

We get a formula A_2

$$\forall z \exists w ((Q(x, f(x)) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, w))$$

s3 We eliminate $\forall z$ and get a formula A_3

$$\exists w ((Q(x, f(x)) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, w))$$

s4 We eliminate $\exists w$ by replacing w by $g(x, z)$ where g is a **new** two argument functional symbol **added** to the original language \mathcal{L}

We get a formula A_4 that is our resulting **open** formula A^* , called the **Skolem form** of A

$$((Q(x, f(x)) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, g(x, z)))$$

Part 2. We use the proof system **QRS*** and construct the decomposition tree T_A of the **Skolem form** of A

T_A

$$((Q(x, f(x)) \cup \neg R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg Q(z, g(x, z)))$$

| (\Rightarrow)

$$\neg(Q(x, f(x)) \cup \neg R(x)), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))$$

$\bigwedge (\cap)$ $\neg Q(x, f(x)), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))$ $\neg\neg R(x), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))$ $| (\neg\neg)$ $R(x), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))$

Part 3. We use the leaves of \mathbf{T}_A to obtain the **clausal form** of the formula A .

The leaves of \mathbf{T}_A are

$$L_1 = \neg Q(x, f(x)), \neg Q(z, g(x, z)) \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = R(x), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))$$

The corresponding clauses are

$$C_1 = \{\neg Q(x, f(x)), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \{R(x), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))\}$$

The **clausal form** of the formula A is

$$\mathbf{C}_A = \{\{\neg Q(x, f(x)), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))\}, \{R(x), \neg Q(z, g(x, z))\}\}$$