

**CSE541 Practice Midterm 1 SOLUTIONS**  
**SUBMITTED by a STUDENT**  
**Spring 2015**

**QUESTION 1**

Write the following natural language statement:

**One likes to play bridge, or from the fact that the weather is good we conclude the following: one does not like to play bridge or one likes not to play bridge**

as a formula of 2 different languages

1. Formula  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$  of a language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, L, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$ , where  $L A$  represents statement "one likes A", "A is liked".
2. Formula  $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$  of a language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$ .

*Solution.* 1. We translate the statement into a formula  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, L, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  as follows:

Propositional variables:  $a, b$  where

- $a$  denotes the statement: play bridge
- $b$  denotes the statement: the weather is good.

Propositional model connectives:  $L, \neg, \cup, \Rightarrow$  where

- $\neg$  denotes the statement: not
- $L$  denotes the statement: one likes, it is liked
- $\cup$  denotes the statement: and
- $\Rightarrow$  denotes the statement: from the fact ... we conclude ...

Now  $A_1$  becomes

$$A_1 = (La \cup (b \Rightarrow (\neg La \cup L\neg a))) \quad (1)$$

2. We translate the statement into a formula  $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  as follows:

Propositional variables:  $a, b, c$  where

- $a$  denotes that one likes to play bridge
- $b$  denotes that one likes not to play bridge
- $c$  denotes that the weather is good

Propositional model connectives:  $\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow$  where

- $\neg$  denotes not
- $\cup$  denotes and

- $\Rightarrow$  denotes from the fact of ... we conclude that ...

Then

$$A_2 = (a \cup (c \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b))) \quad (2)$$

□

## QUESTION 2

Write the formal definition of the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  and give examples if is formulas of the degrees 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4.

*Solution.* 1. We give the definition of language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  in following steps.

- $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}} = \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}\}$  where  $\mathcal{A} = VAR \cup CON \cup PAR$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of formulae.  $CON = \{\neg, \mathbf{L}\} \cup \{\cup, \Rightarrow\}$ .  $VAR$ ,  $PAR$  are defined the same as in classical semantics and  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be the smallest set such that

(a)  $VAR \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,

(b) For all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\neg A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $LA \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

(c) For all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- Given the nonempty set of logical values  $V$ , we can define a mapping  $v : VAR \rightarrow V$ , which is called a truth assignment. Now we define the extension  $v^* : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow V$  of  $v$  by

(a) for any  $a \in VAR$ ,

$$v^*(a) = v(a) \quad (3)$$

(b) for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$v^*(\neg A) = \neg v^*(A)$$

$$v^*(LA) = Lv^*(A)$$

$$v^*((A \cup B)) = \cup(v^*(A), v^*(B)) \quad (4)$$

$$v^*((A \Rightarrow B)) = \Rightarrow(v^*(A), v^*(B))$$

- Since the set  $V$  is nonempty, we can pick one and denote it as  $T$ , the value of true. Given a truth assignment  $v : VAR \rightarrow V$  and a formula  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $v^*(A) = T$  then we say  $v$  satisfies  $A$ , denoted as  $v \models A$ . And if  $v^*(A) \neq T$  then we say  $v$  does not satisfy  $A$ . In addition, if  $v$  satisfies  $A$  we say  $v$  is a model for  $A$ , and if  $v$  does not satisfy  $A$  then  $v$  is a counter-model for  $A$ .
- Given  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , we say it is a tautology if for all truth assignment  $v$ ,

$$v \models A. \quad (5)$$

And we denote this by  $\models A$ .

2. To write formulae of degree 0,1,2,3,4 we can set  $A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  as follows: Suppose  $a \in VAR$ ,

- (a)  $A_0 = a$
- (b)  $A_1 = \neg a$
- (c)  $A_2 = \neg\neg a$
- (d)  $A_3 = \neg\neg\neg a$
- (e)  $A_4 = \neg\neg\neg\neg a$

are five formulae that satisfy the desired property.

□

### QUESTION 3

**Define formally** your OWN 3 valued extensional semantics  $\mathbf{M}$  for the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  under the following assumptions

1. **Assume** that the third value is **intermediate** between truth and falsity, i.e. the set of logical values is **ordered** and we have the following

**Assumption 1**  $F < \perp < T$

**Assumption 2**  $T$  is the **designated value**

2. **Model** the situation in which one "likes" only truth; i.e. in which  $\mathbf{L}T = T$  and  $\mathbf{L}\perp = F, \mathbf{L}F = F$
3. The connectives  $\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow$  can be defined as you wish, but you have to define them in such a way to make sure that

$$\models_{\mathbf{M}} (\mathbf{L}A \cup \neg\mathbf{L}A)$$

### REMINDER

**Formal definition** of many valued extensional semantics follows the pattern of the classical case and consists of giving **definitions** of the following main components:

1. Logical Connectives
2. Truth Assignment
3. Satisfaction Relation, Model, Counter-Model
4. Tautology

*Solution.*  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}} = \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}\}$  where  $\mathcal{A} = VAR \cup CON \cup PAR$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of formulae.  $CON = \{\neg, \mathbf{L}\} \cup \{\cup, \Rightarrow\}$ ,  $VAR, PAR$  are defined same as the classical semantics and  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be the smallest set such that

1.  $VAR \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ,
2. For all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\neg A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbf{L}A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
3. For all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $(A \cup B) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $(A \Rightarrow B) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Given the nonempty set of logical values  $V$ , we can define a mapping  $v : VAR \rightarrow V$ , which is called a truth assignment. Now we define the extension  $v^* : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow V$  of  $v$  by

$$1. \text{ for any } a \in VAR, \quad v^*(a) = v(a) \quad (6)$$

2. for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v^*(\neg A) &= \neg v^*(A) \\ v^*(LA) &= Lv^*(A) \\ v^*((A \cup B)) &= \cup(v^*(A), v^*(B)) \\ v^*((A \Rightarrow B)) &= \Rightarrow (v^*(A), v^*(B)) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where on the right-hand side  $\neg$  and  $L$  are mappings  $V \rightarrow V$  and  $\cup, \Rightarrow$  are mappings  $V \times V \rightarrow V$ .

In particular if  $x, y$  are two arbitrary elements in  $V$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} \neg F &= T, \quad \neg \perp = T, \quad \neg T = F \\ LT &= T, \quad L\perp = F, \quad LF = F \\ x \cup y &= T \\ x \Rightarrow y &= T \quad \text{if } x \leq y \\ x \Rightarrow y &= F \quad \text{if } x > y \end{aligned}$$

Since the set  $V$  is nonempty, we can pick one and denote it  $T$ , the value of true. Given a truth assignment  $v : VAR \rightarrow V$  and a formula  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $v^*(A) = T$  then we say  $v$  satisfies  $A$ , denoted as  $v \models_M A$ . Similarly if  $v^*(A) \neq T$  then we say  $v$  does not satisfy  $A$ . In addition, we say that if  $v$  satisfies  $A$  then  $v$  is a model for  $A$ , and if  $v$  does not satisfy  $A$  then  $v$  is a counter-model for  $A$ . Given  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , we say it is a tautology if for all truth assignment  $v$ ,

$$v \models_M A. \quad (8)$$

And we denote this by  $\models_M A$ . From the above definition we can see the three valued semantics  $M$  for  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathcal{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  satisfies the requirement in the questions, especially

$$\models_M (LA \cup \neg LA)$$

since no matter what values  $v^*(LA)$  and  $v^*(\neg LA)$  are, the combination of them by  $\cup$  will always be  $T$ .  $\square$

#### QUESTION 4

1. Verify whether the formulas  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  from the **QUESTION 1** have a model/ counter model under your semantics **M**. You can use **shorthand notation**

2. Verify whether the following set  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $\mathbf{M}$ -consistent. You can use **shorthand notation**

$$\mathbf{G} = \{ \mathbf{L}a, (a \cup \neg \mathbf{L}b), (a \Rightarrow b), b \}$$

3. Give an **example** on an infinite,  $\mathbf{M}$ -consistent set of formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$

*Solution.* 1. Recall that

$$A_1 = (\mathbf{L}a \cup (b \Rightarrow (\neg \mathbf{L}a \cup \mathbf{L}\neg a))) \quad (9)$$

and

$$A_2 = (a \cup (c \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b))) \quad (10)$$

In  $A_1$  if we set (using shorthand notation)  $a = T, b = T$  then

$$A_1 = (\mathbf{L}T \cup (T \Rightarrow (\neg \mathbf{L}T \cup \mathbf{L}\neg T))) = (\mathbf{L}T \cup (T \Rightarrow T)) = (T \cup T) = T \quad (11)$$

Thus  $A_1$  has a model. Similarly in  $A_2$

$$v^*(A_2) = v^*(a \cup (c \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b))) = v^*(a) \cup v^*(c \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b)) = T \quad (12)$$

since no matter what values  $v^*(a)$  and  $v^*(c \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b))$  take the result of their  $\cup$  is always  $T$  under  $M$ .

2. This set has a model if we set  $v^*(a) = T$  and  $v^*(b) = T$ . Actually (using shorthand notation)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}a &= \mathbf{L}T = T \\ (a \cup \neg \mathbf{L}b) &= T \cup F = T \\ (a \Rightarrow b) &= T \Rightarrow T = T \\ b &= T. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

3. Consider the set  $\mathbf{G}$  of formulae

$$\mathbf{G} = \{(a \cup b) : a, b \in VAR\}$$

It is  $M$ -consistent since whatever logical value  $a$  and  $b$  takes,  $v^*(a \cup b) = v^*(a) \cup v^*(b) = T$  by the definition of  $\cup$ . Also this set is infinite since the set  $VAR$  is infinite.

□

## QUESTION 5

Let  $S$  be the following **proof system**

$$S = (\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{A2}\}, \{r1, r2\})$$

for the logical axioms and rules of inference defined for any formulas  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  as follows

**Logical Axioms**

**A1**  $(\mathbf{L}A \cup \neg \mathbf{L}A)$

**A2**  $(A \Rightarrow \mathbf{L}A)$

**Rules** of inference:

$$(r1) \frac{A ; B}{(A \cup B)}, \quad (r2) \frac{A}{\mathbf{L}(A \Rightarrow B)}$$

1. Write a proof in  $S$  with 2 applications of rule (r1) and one application of rule (r2)

You must write comments how each step of the proof was obtained

2. Show, by constructing a formal proof that

$$\vdash_S ((\mathbf{L}b \cup \neg \mathbf{L}b) \cup \mathbf{L}((\mathbf{L}a \cup \neg \mathbf{L}a) \Rightarrow b))$$

3. Verify whether the inference rules r1, r2 are **M**-sound. You can use **shorthand notation**
4. Verify whether the system  $S$  is **M**-sound. You can use **shorthand notation**

**EXTRA QUESTION**

If the system  $S$  is **not sound** under your semantics **M** then **re-define the connectives** in a way that such obtained new semantics **N** would make  $S$  sound.

You can use **shorthand notation**

Here are the **solutions**

1. Write a proof in  $S$  with 2 applications of rule (r1) and one application of rule (r2)

You must write comments how each step of the proof was obtained

*Solution.* 1. Below we present a proof S1, S2, S3, S4 with two application of rule (r1) and one application of rule (r2), where  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is a formula.

S1:  $(A \Rightarrow \mathbf{L}A)$

Axiom A1

S2:  $((A \Rightarrow \mathbf{L}A) \cup (A \Rightarrow \mathbf{L}A))$

Application of rule (r1) to S1 and S1

S3:  $(L((A \Rightarrow LA) \cup (A \Rightarrow LA)) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow LA))$

Application rule (r2) to S2 and B = S1

S4:  $((L((A \Rightarrow LA) \cup (A \Rightarrow LA)) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow LA)) \cup (LA \cup \neg LA))$

Application of rule (r1) to S3 and S1

2. Show, by constructing a formal proof that

$$\vdash_S ((\mathbf{L}b \cup \neg \mathbf{L}b) \cup \mathbf{L}((\mathbf{L}a \cup \neg \mathbf{L}a) \Rightarrow b))$$

We construct a proof S1, S2, S3, S4 as follows:

S1:  $(Lb \cup \neg Lb)$

Axiom A1 for  $A = b$

S2:  $(La \cup \neg La)$

Axiom A1 for  $A = a$

S3:  $L((La \cup \neg La) \Rightarrow b)$

Application of rule (r2) to S2 and S2 for B=b

S4:  $((Lb \cup \neg Lb) \cup L((La \cup \neg La) \Rightarrow b))$

Application of rule (r1) to S1 and S3

3. Verify whether the inference rules r1, r2 are **M**-sound. You can use **shorthand notation**

To verify (r1) is sound we first assume all its premises, i.e  $A = T$  and  $B = T$  and observe that

$$(A \cup B) = T \cup T = T.$$

To prove (r2) is not sound, first we assume its premises,  $A = T$ , but also assume  $B = F$ , then we have

$$L(A \Rightarrow B) = L(T \Rightarrow F) = LF = F.$$

which means although we assume all its premises true, the conclusion of it could still not be true.

2. If the system  $S$  is  $M$ -sound then all its axioms must be tautologies and all its rules must be sound. In previous question we have seen that rule (r2) is not sound. Thus  $S$  is **not sound**.

EXTRA Credit We redefine the binary connective " $\Rightarrow$ " to be a mapping

$$V \times V \rightarrow V$$

such that for any  $x, y \in V$

$$x \Rightarrow y = T.$$

Now we can verify both axioms A1 and A2 are tautologies and both rules (r1) and (r2) are sound. For A1 we see that

$$(LA \cup \neg LA) = T$$

by the definition of  $\cup$ . For A2 we see that

$$(A \Rightarrow LA) = T$$

by the definition of  $\Rightarrow$ . For (r1) we have

$$A \cup B = T$$

and for (r2) we have

$$L(A \Rightarrow B) = LT = T.$$

Therefore under this new definition, system  $S$  is a sound system.

□