

**QUESTION 1**

**Remark** This question is designed to check if you understand the notion of completeness, monotonicity, application of Deduction Theorem and use of some basic tautologies.

Consider any proof system  $S$ ,

$$S = (\mathcal{L}_{\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}}, AX, (MP) \frac{A, (A \Rightarrow B)}{B})$$

that is **complete** under classical semantics.

**Definition** Let  $X \subseteq F$  be any subset of the set  $F$  of formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}}$  of  $S$ .

We define a set  $Cn(X)$  of all **consequences** of the set  $X$  as follows

$$Cn(X) = \{A \in F : X \vdash_S A\},$$

i.e.  $Cn(X)$  is the set of all formulas that can be proved in  $S$  from the set  $(AX \cup X)$ .

Prove that for any  $A, B \in F$ ,

$$Cn(\{A, B\}) = Cn(\{(A \cap B)\})$$

**Hint:** Use Deduction Theorem and Completeness of  $S$ .

**Solution** Assume  $C \in Cn(\{A, B\})$ , i.e.  $\{A, B\} \vdash_S C$ , what we usually write as  $A, B \vdash_S C$ .

By Deduction Theorem applied twice we get that

$$\vdash_S (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)).$$

We use completeness of  $S$  and the fact (proof by contradiction) that

$$\models (((A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \Rightarrow ((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C)))$$

to construct the following.

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdash_S (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \text{ (assumption and Deduction Theorem),} \\ &\vdash_S (((A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \Rightarrow ((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C))), \text{ (completeness,} \\ &\quad \vdash_S ((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C) \text{ MP,} \\ &\quad (A \cap B) \vdash_S C, \text{ (Deduction Theorem).} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we have proved that  $C \in Cn(\{(A \cap B)\}) = Cn(A \cap B)$ .

**Assume now** that  $C \in Cn(\{(A \cap B)\})$ , i.e.  $(A \cap B) \vdash_S C$ .

By Deduction Theorem,  $\vdash_S ((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C)$ .

We want to prove that  $C \in Cn(\{A, B\})$ , what is equivalent, by the Deduction Theorem applied twice to proving that

$$\vdash_S (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)).$$

The proof as in the previous case. We use completeness of  $S$ , and the fact (proof by contradiction) that

$$\models (((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)))$$

to get that  $\vdash_S(A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C))$  as follows.

$$\vdash_S ((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C) \text{ (assumption and Deduction Theorem),}$$

$$\vdash_S (((A \cap B) \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C))), \text{ (completeness),}$$

$$\vdash_S (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)), \text{ MP,}$$

what ends the proof.

## QUESTION 2

Consider a system **RS3** obtained from **RS** by changing the sequence  $\Gamma'$  into  $\Gamma$  in all of the rules of inference of **RS**.

1. Define SHORTLY Decomposition Tree for any  $A$  in **RS3**

### Solution

The decomposition tree is a slight modification of definition of **RS** tree; now we can decompose any decomposable formula at the decomposable node.

2. Show an example of a formula and its 2 decomposition trees

### Solution

You can use any formula that leads to a node with at least two decomposable formulas.  
item[3.] Prove Completeness Theorem for **RS3**. We assume that the STRONG soundness has been proved.

### Solution

The proof is a gain a modification of **RS** proof.

Assume  $\not\vdash_{RS3} A$ , i.e.  $A$  does not have a proof in RS3 Let  $\mathcal{T}_A$  be a set of **all decomposition trees** of  $A$ . As  $\not\vdash_{RS3} A$ , **each**  $T \in \mathcal{T}_A$  has a non-axiom leaf.

We choose an arbitrary  $T_A \in \mathcal{T}_A$ .

The non-axiom leaf  $L_A$  **defines** a truth assignment  $v$  which falsifies  $A$ , as follows:

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } a \text{ appears in } L_A \\ T & \text{if } \neg a \text{ appears in } L_A \\ \text{any value} & \text{if } a \text{ does not appear in } L_A \end{cases}$$

### QUESTION 3

We know that a classical tautology  $(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b))$  is NOT Intuitionistic tautology and we know by **Tarski Theorem** that  $\neg\neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b))$  is intuitionistically PROVABLE

**FIND** the proof of the formula

$$\neg\neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b))$$

in the Gentzen system **LI** for Intuitionistic Logic.

#### Solution

**T**<sub>→A</sub>

$$\begin{aligned} & \longrightarrow \neg\neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \\ & \quad | (\rightarrow \neg) \\ & \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad | (contr \rightarrow) \\ & \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)), \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad | (\neg \rightarrow) \\ & \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow (\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \\ & \quad | (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \\ & \neg(a \cap b), \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b) \\ & \quad | (\rightarrow \cup)_1 \\ & \neg(a \cap b), \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow \neg a \\ & \quad | (\rightarrow \neg) \\ & a, \neg(a \cap b), \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad | (exch \rightarrow) \\ & \neg(a \cap b), a, \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad | (\neg \rightarrow) \\ & a, \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow (a \cap b) \\ & \quad \bigwedge (\rightarrow \cap) \end{aligned}$$

$$a, \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow a$$

*axiom*

$$a, \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow b$$

| ( $\rightarrow$  weak)

$$a, \neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)) \longrightarrow$$

| (*exch*  $\rightarrow$ )

$$\neg(\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)), a \longrightarrow$$

| ( $\neg \rightarrow$ )

$$a \longrightarrow (\neg(a \cap b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b))$$

| ( $\rightarrow \Rightarrow$ )

$$\neg(a \cap b), a \longrightarrow (\neg a \cup \neg b)$$

| ( $\rightarrow \cup$ )<sub>2</sub>

$$\neg(a \cap b), a \longrightarrow \neg b$$

| ( $\rightarrow \neg$ )

$$b, \neg(a \cap b), a \longrightarrow$$

| (*exch*  $\rightarrow$ )

$$\neg(a \cap b), b, a \longrightarrow$$

| ( $\neg \rightarrow$ )

$$b, a \longrightarrow (a \cap b)$$

$\bigwedge$ ( $\rightarrow \cap$ )

$$b, a \longrightarrow a$$

*axiom*

$$b, a \longrightarrow b$$

*axiom*

All leaves are axioms, the tree is a proof of  $A$  in **LI**.