

## CSE541      EXERCISE 11   SOLUTIONS

**Chapters 10, 11, 12** Read and learn all examples and exercises in the chapters as well!

**QUESTION 1** Use the (complete) proof system **GL** from chapter 11 to prove that

$$\models (\neg(a \cap \neg b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b)).$$

**Solution** By completeness theorem for **GL** we have that  $\models A$  is and only if  $\vdash_{GL} \longrightarrow A$ . We construct a decomposition tree for our formula as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbf{T}_{\rightarrow A} \\
 \longrightarrow (\neg(a \cap \neg b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b)) \\
 \quad | (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \\
 \neg(a \cap \neg b) \longrightarrow (\neg a \cup b) \\
 \quad | (\rightarrow \cup) \\
 \neg(a \cap \neg b) \longrightarrow \neg a, b \\
 \quad | (\rightarrow \neg) \\
 a, \neg(a \cap \neg b) \longrightarrow b \\
 \quad | (\neg \rightarrow) \\
 a \longrightarrow (a \cap \neg b), b \\
 \quad \bigwedge (\rightarrow \cap) \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{cc}
 a \longrightarrow a, b & a \longrightarrow \neg b, b \\
 axiom & | (\rightarrow \neg) \\
 & a, b \longrightarrow b \\
 & axiom
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

All leaves are axioms, hence the tree is a proof of  $A$  in **GL**.

**QUESTION 2**

Find a counter-model determined by a decomposition tree  $\mathbf{T}_{\rightarrow A}$  in **GL** for a formula  $A$  below.

$$A = ((a \cap \neg b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b))$$

**Solution:** We construct a decomposition tree for  $\rightarrow A$  formula as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{T}_{\rightarrow A} \\
\longrightarrow ((a \cap \neg b) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b)) \\
| (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \\
(a \cap \neg b) \longrightarrow (\neg a \cup b) \\
| (\rightarrow \cup) \\
(a \cap \neg b) \longrightarrow \neg a, b \\
| (\rightarrow \neg) \\
a, (a \cap \neg b) \longrightarrow b \\
| (\cap \rightarrow) \\
a, a, \neg b \longrightarrow b, b \\
| (\rightarrow \neg) \\
a, a \longrightarrow b, b, b \\
non - axiom
\end{array}$$

We have one non-axiom leave:  $L = a, a \longrightarrow b, b, b$ . It generates a counter-model:  $a = T, b = F$ .

**QUESTION 3** Prove the COMPLETENESS theorem for GL. Assume that the Soundness has been already proved.

**Solution**

**Formula Completeness** for GL: for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\models A \text{ iff } \vdash_{GL} \rightarrow A$$

**Soundness** for GL: for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\text{If } \vdash_{GL} \rightarrow A, \text{ then } \models A$$

**Completeness part** for GL: for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\text{If } \models A, \text{ then } \vdash_{GL} \rightarrow A$$

**We prove** the logically equivalent form of the Completeness part: for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\text{If } \not\vdash_{GL} \rightarrow A \text{ then } \not\models A,$$

**proof** Assume  $\not\vdash_{GL} \rightarrow A$ , i.e.  $\rightarrow A$  does not have a proof in GL. Let  $\mathcal{T}_A$  be a set of all decomposition trees of  $\rightarrow A$ . As  $\not\vdash_{GL} \rightarrow A$ , each  $T \in \mathcal{T}_A$  has a non-axiom leaf. We choose an arbitrary  $T_A \in \mathcal{T}_A$ . Let  $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta', \Gamma'$  be a non-axiom leaf of  $T_A$ , for  $\Delta' \in VAR^*$  such that  $\{\Gamma'\} \cap \{\Delta'\} = \emptyset$ .

The non-axiom leaf  $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Delta'$  defines a truth assignment  $v : VAR \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  which falsifies  $A$ , as follows:

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } a \text{ appears in } \Gamma' \\ F & \text{if } a \text{ appears in } \Delta' \end{cases}$$

This proves, by soundness of the rules of inference of GL that  $\not\models A$ .

#### QUESTION 4

Show that

$$\vdash_{\mathbf{LI}} ((\neg A \cup B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)).$$

**Solution:** We construct a decomposition tree for  $\rightarrow ((\neg A \cup B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B))$  formula as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{T}_{\rightarrow A} \\
\longrightarrow ((\neg A \cup B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)) \\
| (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \\
(\neg A \cup B) \longrightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \\
| (\rightarrow \Rightarrow) \\
A, (\neg A \cup B) \longrightarrow B \\
| ((exch) \rightarrow) \\
(\neg A \cup B), A \longrightarrow B \\
\bigwedge_{(\cup \rightarrow)} \\
\\
\begin{array}{cc}
\neg A, A \longrightarrow B & B, A \longrightarrow B \\
| (\rightarrow weak) & axiom \\
\neg A, A \longrightarrow & \\
| (\neg \rightarrow) & \\
A \longrightarrow A & \\
axiom & 
\end{array}
\end{array}$$