

QUESTION 1 (20pts)

Write the following natural language statement:

One likes to play bridge, or from the fact that the weather is good we conclude the following: one does not like to play bridge or one likes not to play bridge

as a formula of 2 different languages

1. Formula $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ of a language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$, where $\mathbf{L}A$ represents statement "one likes A", "A is liked".

Solution We translate our statement into a formula

$A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ of a language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \mathbf{L}, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$ as follows.

Propositional Variables: a, b

a denotes statement: *play bridge*,

b denotes a statement: *the weather is good*

Translation 1

$$A_1 = (\mathbf{L}a \cup (b \Rightarrow (\neg \mathbf{L}a \cup \mathbf{L}\neg a)))$$

2. Formula $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ of a language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$.

Solution We translate our statement into a formula $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ of a language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$ as follows.

Propositional Variables: a, b, c

a denotes statement: *One likes to play bridge*,

b denotes a statement: *the weather is good*,

c denotes a statement: *one likes not to play bridge*

Translation 2:

$$A_2 = (a \cup (b \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup c)))$$

QUESTION 2 (20pts)

Let A be a formula $((((a \cap \neg c) \Rightarrow \neg b) \cup a) \Rightarrow (c \cup b))$.

1. (5pts) A language \mathcal{L}_{CON} to which the formula A belongs is:

Solution: The language is $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cap, \Rightarrow\}}$.

2. (5pts) Determine the degree of A and write down all its sub-formulas of the degree 2.

Solution: The degree of A is 7. There is only one sub-formula of the degree 2: $(a \cap \neg c)$.

3. (5pts) Determine whether $A \in \mathbf{T}$. Use "proof by contradiction" method and **shorthand** notation.

Solution: of the case $A \in \mathbf{T}$.

Assume $((((a \cap \neg c) \Rightarrow \neg b) \cup a) \Rightarrow (c \cup b)) = F$. This is possible if and only if $((a \cap \neg c) \Rightarrow \neg b) \cup a = T$ and $(c \cup b) = F$. This gives as that $c = F, b = F$. We evaluate $((a \cap \neg F) \Rightarrow \neg F) \cup a = T$. This is possible for $a = T$.

Any truth assignment such that $a = T, b = F, c = F$ is a counter-model for A , hence $A \notin \mathbf{T}$.

4. (5pts) Determine whether $A \in \mathbf{C}$.

Solution: any truth assignment such that $a = T, b = T, c = F$ is a model for A , hence $A \notin \mathbf{C}$. This is not the only model.

QUESTION 3 (20 pts)

1. (10pts) We define: A set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is **consistent** if and only if there is a truth assignment v such that $v \models \mathcal{G}$

Prove that the set \mathcal{G} below is **consistent**. Use **shorthand** notation.

$$\mathcal{G} = \{(a \Rightarrow (a \cup b)), (a \cup b), \neg b, (c \Rightarrow b)\}$$

Solution: We find a restricted model for \mathcal{G} as follows

First observe that the formula $((a \Rightarrow a \cup b))$, is a tautology, hence any v is its model. So we have only to see whether two other formulas have a common model. It means we check if it is possible to find v , such that $v^*(\neg b) = T$, $v^*((a \cup b)) = T$, and $v^*((c \Rightarrow b)) = T$.

We have that $\neg b = T$ if and only if $b = F$.

We evaluate $(a \cup b) = (a \cup F) = T$ if and only if $a = T$.

Consequently, $(c \Rightarrow b) = (c \Rightarrow F) = T$ if and only if $c = F$.

Hence, any v , such that $a = T, b = T$, and $c = F$ is a model for \mathcal{G} .

Observe that $a = T, b = T$, and $c = F$ is the only restricted model for \mathcal{G} .

2. (10pts) We define: a formula $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is called **independent** from a set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ if and only if the sets $\mathcal{G} \cup \{A\}$ and $\mathcal{G} \cup \{\neg A\}$ are both **consistent**.

I.e. when there are truth assignments v_1, v_2 such that $v_1 \models \mathcal{G} \cup \{A\}$ and $v_2 \models \mathcal{G} \cup \{\neg A\}$.

FIND an infinite number of formulas that are **independent** of a set \mathcal{G} . Use shorthand notation.

Solution: We know that $a = T, b = T, \text{ and } c = F$ is the only restricted model for \mathcal{G} .

Let A be any **atomic formula** d , where $d \in VAR - \{a, b, c\}$.

Any v , such that $a = T, b = T, c = F, \text{ and } d = T$ is a **model** for $\mathcal{G} \cup \{d\}$.

Any v , such that $a = T, b = T, c = F, \text{ and } d = F$ is a **model** for $\mathcal{G} \cup \{\neg d\}$.

There is countably infinitely many atomic formulas $A = d$, where $d \in VAR - \{a, b, c\}$.

QUESTION 4 (15 pts)

We define a 3 valued extensional semantics \mathbf{M} for the language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$ by **defining the connectives** \neg, \cup, \Rightarrow on a set $\{F, \perp, T\}$ of logical values by the following truth tables.

L Connective

L	F	⊥	T
	F	F	T

Negation :

¬	F	⊥	T
	T	F	F

Implication

⇒	F	⊥	T
F	T	T	T
⊥	T	⊥	T
T	F	F	T

Disjunction :

∪	F	⊥	T
F	F	⊥	T
⊥	⊥	T	T
T	T	T	T

1. (5pts) Verify whether $\models_{\mathbf{M}} (\mathbf{L}A \cup \neg \mathbf{L}A)$. You can use **shorthand notation**.

Solution

We verify

$$\mathbf{L}T \cup \neg \mathbf{L}T = T \cup F = T, \quad \mathbf{L}\perp \cup \neg \mathbf{L}\perp = F \cup \neg F = F \cup T = T, \quad \mathbf{L}F \cup \neg \mathbf{L}F = F \cup \neg F = T$$

2. (5pts) Verify whether your formulas A_1 and A_2 from QUESTION 1 have a model/ counter model under the semantics **M**. You can use **shorthand notation**.

Solution

The formulas are: $A_1 = (\mathbf{L}a \cup (b \Rightarrow (\neg \mathbf{L}a \cup \mathbf{L}\neg a)))$, and $A_2 = (a \cup (b \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup c)))$.

Any v , such that $v(a) = T$ is a **M model** for A_1 and for A_2 directly from the definition of \cup .

3. (5pts) Verify whether the following set **G** is **M-consistent**. You can use **shorthand notation**

$$\mathbf{G} = \{ \mathbf{L}a, (a \cup \neg \mathbf{L}b), (a \Rightarrow b), b \}$$

Solution

Any v , such that $v(a) = T, v(b) = T$ is a **M model** for **G** as

$$\mathbf{L}T = T, \quad (T \cup \neg \mathbf{L}T) = T, \quad (T \Rightarrow T) = T, \quad b = T.$$

QUESTION 5 (15pts)

1. (10pts) Given a formula $A = ((a \cap \neg c) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b))$ of a language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cap, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$.

Find a formula B of a language $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \Rightarrow\}}$, such that $A \equiv B$. **List** all proper logical equivalences used at each step.

Solution :

$$A = ((a \cap \neg c) \Rightarrow (\neg a \cup b)) \equiv ((a \cap \neg c) \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow b)) \equiv (\neg(a \Rightarrow \neg \neg c) \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow b)) \equiv (\neg(a \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow b)) = B$$

Equivalences used: **1.** $(\neg A \cup B) \equiv (A \Rightarrow B)$, **2.** $(A \cap B) \equiv \neg(A \Rightarrow \neg B)$, **3.** $\neg \neg A \equiv A$.

2. (5pts) Prove that $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cap, \cup, \Rightarrow\}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \Rightarrow\}}$

Solution We have to prove that $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \Rightarrow\}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cap, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$.

Condition **C1** holds because $\{\neg, \Rightarrow\} \subseteq \{\neg, \cap, \cup, \Rightarrow\}$.

Condition **C2** holds because of the **Substitution Theorem** and because of the following **logical equivalences** for any for any formulas A, B

$$(A \cap B) \equiv \neg(A \Rightarrow \neg B) \quad \text{and} \quad (A \cup B) \equiv (\neg A \Rightarrow B)$$

Reminder We define the **equivalence of languages** as follows:

Given two languages: $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_{CON_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_{CON_2}$, for $CON_1 \neq CON_2$, we say that they are **logically equivalent**, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_1 \equiv \mathcal{L}_2$ if and only if the following conditions **C1**, **C2** hold.

C1: For every formula A of \mathcal{L}_1 , there is a formula B of \mathcal{L}_2 , such that $A \equiv B$,

C2: For every formula C of \mathcal{L}_2 , there is a formula D of \mathcal{L}_1 , such that $C \equiv D$.