## CSE/MAT371 QUIZ 6 SOLUTIONS Fall 2016

**QUESTION 1** Consider a strongly sound system **RS1** obtained from **RS** by changing the sequence  $\Gamma'$  into  $\Gamma$  in all of the rules of inference of **RS**.

- **1.** Construct **all** decomposition trees of a formula A:  $((a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow (\neg b \Rightarrow a))$ .
- 2. Use one of your trees to define a counter model for A determined by the tree

Solution 1. Here it decomposition tree T1 with the possible decomposition choices marked and chosen.

$\mathbf{T1}_{A}$		
$((a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow (\neg b \Rightarrow a))$		
one choice		
(	⇒)	
$\neg(a \Rightarrow b),$	$(\neg b \Rightarrow a)$	
two choices : first formula choice		
$\bigwedge(\neg \Rightarrow$		
$a, (\neg b \Rightarrow a)$	$\neg b, \ (\neg b \Rightarrow a)$	
one choice	one choice	
$ (\Rightarrow)$	$ (\Rightarrow)$	
$a, \neg \neg b, a$	$\neg b, \ \neg \neg b, a$	
one choice	one choice	
(¬¬)	(¬¬	
a, b,a	$\neg b, b, a$	
non axiom	axiom	

а

The tree contains a non- axiom leaf, hence it is not a proof.

**Solution 2.** The system is **strongly sound**, so it is enough to find a counter model for a non axiom leaf as in strong sound systems "F climbs" the tree and the leaf counter model is also a counter model for all sequences on the branch that ends with this leaf. In particular it is a counter model for the tree, i.e. the formula A.

The **counter model** for the leaf *a*, *b*, *a* and hence for the **formula** A is any  $v : VAR \longrightarrow \{T, F\}$  such that v(a) = v(b) = F.

Solution 1. Here it decomposition tree T2 with the possible decomposition choices marked and chosen.

$$\mathbf{T2}_{A}$$

$$((a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow (\neg b \Rightarrow a))$$
*one choice*

$$|(\Rightarrow)$$

$$\neg(a \Rightarrow b), (\neg b \Rightarrow a)$$
second formula choice
$$|(\Rightarrow)$$

$$\neg(a \Rightarrow b), \neg \neg b, a$$

two choices : first formula choice

$$\bigwedge (\neg \Rightarrow$$

$a, \neg \neg b, a)$	$\neg b, \ \neg \neg b, a$
(¬¬)	(¬¬)
a, b,a	$\neg b, b, a$
non axiom	axiom

The tree contains a **non- axiom** leaf, hence it is **not a proof**.

We have explored the two of first two choices and one of the second second choices, so the only choice now is the second formula of the second two choices. It is out tree **T3**.

Solution 1. Here it decomposition tree T3.

$$\mathbf{T2}_{A}$$

$$((a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow (\neg b \Rightarrow a))$$

$$| (\Rightarrow)$$

$$\neg (a \Rightarrow b), (\neg b \Rightarrow a)$$

$$| (\Rightarrow)$$

$$\neg (a \Rightarrow b), \neg \neg b, a$$

second formula choice

$$|(\neg \neg)$$
$$\neg(a \Rightarrow b), b, a$$
$$\bigwedge(\neg \Rightarrow$$

<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>a</i>	$\neg b, b, a$
non axiom	axiom

We have explored all choices. All possible trees are **T1**, **T2**, **T3**.

- **QUESTION 2** Consider a **strongly sound** system **RS2** obtained from **RS** by changing the sequence  $\Gamma'$  into  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  into  $\Delta'$  in all of the rules of inference of **RS**.
- 1. Define in your own words, for any A, the decomposition tree  $T_A$  in **RS2**.
- **Solution** The definition of the decomposition tree  $\mathbf{T}_A$  is in its essence similar to the one for **RS**, except for the changes which reflect the **difference** in the corresponding rules of decomposition. It means now given a node  $\Gamma$  on a tree, we traverse it from **right** to **left** and **find** the first decomposable formula. The tree  $\mathbf{T}_A$  is, as in the case of **RS** uniquely determined by the formula A.

We follow now the following steps

- Step 1 Decompose A using a rule defined by its main connective.
- **Step 2** Traverse resulting sequence  $\Gamma$  on the new node of the tree from **right** to **left** and **find** the first decomposable formula.

Step 3 Repeat Step 1 and Step 2 until no more decomposable formulas

## **End of Tree Construction**

2. Prove Completeness Theorem for RS2.

## Solution

We know that **RS2** is strongly sound, so we have to prove only the completeness part of the **Completeness Theorem**. We prove the opposite implication

If 
$$\nvdash_{\mathbf{RS2}} A$$
 then  $\not\models A$ .

Assume that A is any formula is such that  $r_{RS2}$  A. The unique  $T_A$  contains a non-axiom leaf  $L_A$ . It **defines** a truth assignment v which **falsifies** it as follows:

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} F & \text{if a appears in } L_A \\ T & \text{if } \neg a \text{ appears in } L_A \\ \text{any value} & \text{if a does not appear in } L_A \end{cases}$$

**RS2** is **strongly sound**, so it is enough to find a counter model for a non axiom leaf as in strong sound systems "F climbs" the tree and the leaf counter model is also a counter model for all sequences on the branch that ends with this leaf. In particular it is a counter model for the tree, i.e. the formula A, i.e. we proved that

## $\not\models A$