

**cse352 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MIDTERM SOLUTIONS Spring 2022  
(65pts) +( 5 extra pts)**

**PART 1: SHORT QUESTIONS (10pts)**

**Q1 (5pts)**

1. (2pts) Define classification data.

**Solution**

Classification data is a data table (with key attribute removed ) with one attribute distinguished as a class attribute. Class attribute must have a small number discrete values. We distinguish attribute part of the record and call it sample/ tuple and class part as determined by the class label attribute. Each tuple/sample is assumed to belong to a predefined class, as determined by the class label attribute.

2. (3pts) Define shortly a **Classifier**

**Solution**

CLASSIFIER is a "black box" that had been trained and tested and evaluated. It serves to classify unknown records; i.e tuples for which the class attribute is unknown

**Q2 (5pts)** The **termination conditions** for Decision Tree Algorithm are as follows.

**Solution**

**T1.** All records (samples) for the given **node N** belong to the same class. We convert node into a **leaf** and label it with this class.

**T2.** There are no remaining attributes on which the samples may be further partitioned - a **leaf** is created with **Majority Vote** for training data D.

**T3.** There is no records (samples) left a **leaf** is created with **Majority Vote** for training data D.

**4. Majority Vote** involves converting **node N** into a **leaf** and labeling it with the most common class in the TRAINING data D, which is a set of training tuples and their associated class labels.

**PART 2: PROBLEMS (60 pts)**

**PROBLEM 1 (10pts) Translation to Predicate Logic**

Translate to Predicate Logic the following statement

**” Every student likes good grades”**

**Solution**

Observe that ”good grades” is a short for ”all good grades” and the statement has a hidden universal quantifier ”for all”;  
i.e. we re-write, and translate the statement stated in a more logical way as

**” Every student likes all good grades”**

Domain is a set  $X \neq \emptyset$

**s1** Predicates are:

One argument predicates:  $S(x)$  - for  $x$  is a student,  $D(y)$  - for  $y$  is good, and  $G(y)$  - for  $y$  is a grade

Two argument predicate:  $L(x, y)$  - for  $x$  likes  $y$

**s2** Restricted Quantifiers are: only universal  $\forall_{S(x)}$  - for every student,  $\forall_{(D(y) \cap G(y))}$  - for all good grades

**s3** Restricted Quantifiers Formula is:  $\forall_{S(x)} \forall_{(D(y) \cap G(y))} L(x, y)$

**s4** Logic Formula is:

$$\forall x (S(x) \Rightarrow \forall y ((D(y) \cap G(y)) \Rightarrow L(x, y)))$$

**s5** Logic Formula written in **AI intended interpretation** is:

$$\forall x (student(x) \Rightarrow \forall y ((good(y) \cap grade(y)) \Rightarrow likes(x, y)))$$

**PROBLEM 2 (10pts) Resolution**

**1.** (5pts) Find **all resolvents** of the set  $\mathbf{CL} = \{ C1, C2 \}$  of clauses for  $C1 = \{ a, b, c, \neg d \}$  and  $C2 = \{ \neg a, \neg b, d \}$ .

It means locate all Complementary Pairs in  $\mathbf{CL}$  and resolve them.

**Solution**

$C1(a)$  and  $C2(\neg a)$  resolves on  $\{b, c, \neg d, \neg b, d\}$

$C1(b)$  and  $C2(\neg b)$  resolves on  $\{a, c, \neg d, \neg a, d\}$

$C1(\neg d)$  and  $C2(d)$  resolves on  $\{a, b, c, \neg a, \neg b\}$

**2.** (5pts) Use the Resolution Completeness to prove the set  $\mathbf{CL} = \{ C1, C2, C3 \}$  is **satisfiable**, where

$C1 = \{ a, b \}$ ,  $C2 = \{ \neg a, c \}$  and  $C3 = \{ \neg b, c \}$ .

**Solution**

There are only TWO possible derivations.

**D1** $\{ a, b \}, \{ \neg a, c \}, \{ \neg b, c \}$  $\{ b, c \}, \{ \neg b, c \}$  $\{ c \}$ **D2** $\{ a, b \}, \{ \neg a, c \}, \{ \neg b, c \}$  $\{ a, c \}, \{ \neg a, c \}$  $\{ c \}$ 

This proves that we can never get a derivation of  $\{ \}$ .

Hence by the **Resolution Completeness Theorem** we proved that the set  $CL = \{ C1, C2, C3 \}$  of clauses is **satisfiable**.

**PROBLEM 3 (10pts) Rule Based Systems**

Here is a small set of RULES proposed for a simple rule-based system **S** for dealing with a bank.

**R1** IF savings are not adequate, THEN invest in savings

**R2** IF savings are adequate AND income is adequate THEN invest in stocks

**R3** IF there is no children THEN savings are adequate

1. (4pts) Conceptualize the rules **R1 - R3** in **propositional convention** that uses negation.

**Solution**

My propositional variables are:

A - for: "savings are adequate"

B - for: "invest in savings"

C - for: "income is adequate"

D - for: "invest in stocks"

E - for: "there are children"

My rules are:

**R1**  $\neg A \Rightarrow B$

**R2**  $A \cap C \Rightarrow D$

**R3**  $\neg E \Rightarrow A$

2. (6pts) **Follow** the steps below to conceptualize the rules

**R1** IF savings are not adequate, THEN invest in savings

**R2** IF savings are adequate AND income is adequate THEN invest in stocks

**R3** IF there is no children THEN savings are adequate

in **predicate convention**:  $attribute(x, attribute\ value)$ .

s1 (2pt) DEFINE all needed ATTRIBUTES and their values.

USE the intended interpretation NAMES for the ATTRIBUTES

**Solution**

I use the intended interpretation names for ATTRIBUTES - you can use your own names

The ATTRIBUTES and their VALUES are:

savings with values adequate , not adequate

investSavings with values: yes, no

investStocks with values: yes, no

Income with values: adequate, not adequate

hasChildren with values: yes, no

s2 (2pt) WRITE the RULES

**Solution**

R1  $saving(x, notadequate) \Rightarrow investSaving(x, yes)$

R2  $saving(x, adequate) \cap income(x, adequate) \Rightarrow investStocks(x, yes)$

R3  $hasChildren(x, no) \Rightarrow saving(x, adequate)$

s3 (2pt) WRITE a database TABLE with your own example of any **2 records** describing some facts in S

**Solution**

There are 4 of my records, yours can be different!

Obj	savings	investSavings	Income	investStocks	hasChildren
$o_1$	adequate	yes	not adequate	no	yes
$o_2$	not adequate	no	adequate	yes	no
$o_3$	adequate	yes	adequate	no	yes
$o_4$	not adequate	yes	not adequate	no	no

**PROBLEM 4 (10pts) Classification Rules**

For the following **formulas** write and use the proper definitions te following dataset DB

**CLASSIFICATION DB**

O	a1	a2	a3	a4	C
o1	1	1	1	0	1
o2	2	1	2	0	2
o3	0	0	0	0	0
o4	0	0	2	1	0
o5	2	1	1	0	1

to prove whether they are or they are not **discriminant** or **characteristic rules** in the dataset DB.

**Formulas** (2pt) each

**Solution**

**f1**  $a1 = 1 \wedge a2 = 1 \Rightarrow C = 1$

**f1** is a Discriminant Rule because it is a Discriminant Formula and  $\{o_1\}$  is a subset of  $\{o_1, o_5\}$

**f2**  $C = 1 \Rightarrow a1 = 0 \wedge a2 = 1 \wedge a3 = 1$

**f2** is a Characteristic Formula but **is not** a Characteristic Rule because  $\{o : a1 = 0 \wedge a2 = 1 \wedge a3 = 1\} = \emptyset$  and  $\{o_1\} \neq \emptyset$

**f3**  $a1 = 1 \vee \Rightarrow C = 1$

**f3** is a Discriminant Rule because it is a Discriminant Formula and  $\{o_1\}$  is a subset of  $\{o_1, o_5\}$

**f4**  $C = 1 \Rightarrow a1 = 1$

**f4** is a Characteristic Rule because it is a Characteristic Formula and  $\{o_1, o_5\} \cap \{o_1\} \neq \emptyset$

**f5**  $a1 = 2 \wedge a2 = 1 \wedge a3 = 1 \Rightarrow C = 0$

**f5** is **not** Characteristic Rule because it is **not** a Characteristic **Formula**

**Remark** We used the following definitions

**D1.** A characteristic **formula**  $CLASS \Rightarrow DESCRIPTION$  is called a **Characteristic Rule** in the classification dataset **D** if and only if it is TRUE in **D** i.e. when the following holds

$$\{o \in \mathbf{D} : DESCRIPTION\} \cap \{o \in \mathbf{D} : CLASS\} \neq \emptyset$$

**D2.** A discriminant **formula**  $DESCRIPTION \Rightarrow CLASS$  is called a **Discriminant Rule** in the classification dataset **D** if and only if it is TRUE in **D** i.e. when the following conditions hold

1.  $\{o \in \mathbf{D} : DESCRIPTION\} \neq \emptyset$
2.  $\{o \in \mathbf{D} : DESCRIPTION\} \subseteq \{o \in \mathbf{D} : CLASS\}$

**PROBLEM 5 (20pts) Classification by Decision Tree**

Given a Classification DB

O	a1	a2	C
o1	1	1	1
o2	0	0	0
o3	0	1	0
o4	0	0	0
o5	1	1	1
o6	1	1	0
o7	0	0	0
o8	1	0	1

**GOAL:** use the above DB and **two - fold cross validation holdout** to build a CLASSIFIER using the Decision Tree

BASIC Algorithm with **a1** as the root.

Remember applying correctly the TERMINATION CONDITIONS.

Remember that division into 2-folds is an ARBITRARY partition of records into 2 disjoint sets; so there may be many answers depending on the partitions.

**Build** your CLASSIFIER using the following fold **F**

**F** = {o1, o2, o3, o4} for training - and rest for testing.

Here are steps you must follow.

**STEP 1** (5pts) Build (draw) the Decision Tree with **a1** as the root .

The correct Tree is unique. No partial credit

**Solution**

**Observe** that the MAJORITY VOTING class is  $C = 0$  - as it is a majority class in the Training set.

FOLD **F** = {o1, o2, o3, o4} is used for **TRAINING**

a1	a2	C
1	1	1
0	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

**TRAIN Tree** with root **a1** is:

**a1 = 1 branch** is:

a2	C
1	1

By Termination Condition **T1.**, the **leaf** on this branch is  $C = 1$  and we have a rule  $R1 : a1(x, 1) \Rightarrow C(x, 1)$

**a1 = 0 branch** is:

a2	C
1	0
1	0

By **T1**, the **leaf** on this branch is  $C = 0$  and we have  $R2 : a1(x, 0) \Rightarrow C(x, 0)$

**STEP 2** (5pts) Write the set of RULES resulting from your tree in a predicate form.

**Solution**

The set of rules is:

$$RULES = \{ R1 : a1(x, 1) \Rightarrow C(x, 1), \quad R2 : a1(x, 0) \Rightarrow C(x, 0) \}$$

**STEP 3** (5pts) Evaluate your **rules accuracy** and **predictive accuracy**

**Solution**

FOLD **F1** = {o5, o6, o7, o8} is used for **TESTING**

a1	a2	C
1	1	1
1	1	0
0	0	0
1	0	1

Tuple o5 is classified by R1, o6 is misclassified by R1, o7 is classified by R2, o8 is classified by R1.

**Predictive accuracy** for **f1** = 75% .

**Rules Accuracy** - testing with Training Data {o1, o2, o3, o4}

Tuple o1 is classified by R1, o2 is classified by R1, o3 is classified by R1, o4 is classified by R2

**Rules accuracy** for **F1** is 100%

**STEP 4** (5pts) Write your answer as "**My Classifier is:**" and Justify your decision.

**Solution 1**

Predictive accuracy for obtained rules is 75% and Rules accuracy is 100% and we **ACCEPT** the results as a classifier, hence "**My Classifier**" is

$$C = \{ R1 : a1(x, 1) \Rightarrow C(x, 1), \quad R2 : a1(x, 0) \Rightarrow C(x, 0) \}$$

**Solution 2**

Even of the rules accuracy is 100%, I fund predictive accuracy too low and decided to TRAIN with another training sets with hope to would obtain a higher predictive accuracy, so my answer at this stage is "**there is no classifier**".