### Equivalence of Pushdown Automata with Context-Free Grammar

# Motivation

- CFG and PDA are equivalent in power: a CFG generates a context-free language and a PDA recognizes a context-free language.
- We show here how to convert a CFG into a PDA that recognizes the language specified by the CFG and vice versa
- Application: this equivalence allows a CFG to be used to specify a programming language and the equivalent PDA to be used to implement its compiler.

## Theorem 2.20

A language is context-free iff some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

#### Note: This means that:

- 1. if a language L is context-free then there is a PDA  $M_L$  that recognizes it
- 2. if a language *L* is recognized by a PDA  $M_L$  then there is a CFG  $G_L$  that generates *L*.



If a language is context-free then some pushdown automaton recognizes it

#### **Proof idea:**

- 1. Let *A* be a CFL. From the definition we know that *A* has a CFG *G*, that generates it
- 2. We will show how to convert G into a PDA P that accepts strings w if G generates w
- 3. P will work by determining a derivation of w.

## What is a derivation?

#### **Recall:** For $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , $w \in L(G)$ :

- A derivation of w is a sequence of substitutions  $S \stackrel{S \to \alpha_1}{\Rightarrow} w_1 \stackrel{A \to \alpha_2}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{B \to \alpha_k}{\Rightarrow} w, S \to \alpha_1, A \to \alpha_2, \dots, B \to \alpha_k \in R,$  $S, A, \dots, B$  not necessarily distinguished
- Each step in the derivation yields an *intermediate string* of variables and terminals
- Hence, *P* will determine whether some series of substitutions using rules in *R* can lead from start variable *S* to *w*

## **Difficulties expected**

 How should we figure out which substitution to make? Nondeterminism allows us to guess.

At each step of the derivation one of the rules for a particular variable is selected nondeterministically.

#### • How does *P* starts?

*P* begins by writing the start variable on the stack and then continues working this string.

## How does P terminate?

If while consuming w, P arrives at a string of terminals that equals w then accept; otherwise reject

## More questions

- The initial string (the start variable) is on the stack. How does *P* store the other intermediate strings?
- Using the stack doesn't quite work because the PDA needs to find the variables in the intermediate string and make substitutions.

**Note:** stack does not support this because only the top is accessible

# The way around

- Try to reconstruct the leftmost derivation of  $\boldsymbol{w}$
- Keep only part of the intermediate string on the stack starting with the first variable in the intermediate string.
- Any terminal symbol appearing before the first variable can be matched with symbols in the input.

An example of graphic image of *P* is in Figure 1

## An intermediate string

•

#### Assume that $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} 01A1A0$ .



Figure 1: P representing OIA1A0

# Informal description of P

- Place the marker symbol \$ and the start variable on the stack
- Repeat

•

- 1. If the top of the stack is a variable symbol A, nondeterministically select a rule r such that lhs(r) = A and substitute A by the string rhs(r).
- 2. If the top of the stack is a terminal symbol, *a*, read the next input symbol and compare it with *a*. If they match pop the stack; if they don't match reject on this branch of nondeterminism
- If the top of the stack is the symbol \$, enter the accept state: accept state: if all text has been read accept, otherwise reject.
   until accept or reject

# **Proof of lemma 2.21**

Now we can give formal details of the construction of the PDA  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_1, F)$ 

- First we introduce an appropriate notation for transition function that provides a way to write an entire string rhs(r) on the stack in one step of the machine
- Simulation: this action can be simulated by introducing additional states to write the string symbol by symbol

## **Formal construction**

- Let  $q, r \in Q$ ,  $a \in \Sigma_{\epsilon}$  and  $s \in \Gamma_{\epsilon}$ .
- Assume that we want *P* to go from *q* to *r* when it reads *a* and pops *s*
- In addition, we want P to push on the stack the string  $u = u_1 \dots u_k$  at the same time

## Implementation

•

This construction can be implemented by introducing the new states  $q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}$  and setting transition function as follows:

# Setting $\delta(q, a, s)$ :

$$(q_1, u_k) \in \delta(q, a, s),$$
  

$$\delta(q_1, \epsilon, \epsilon) = \{(q_2, u_{k-1})\},$$
  

$$\delta(q_2, \epsilon, \epsilon) = \{(q_3, u_{k-2})\},$$
  

$$\dots \qquad \dots$$
  

$$\delta(q_{k-1}, \epsilon, \epsilon) = \{(r, u_1)\}$$

Note: transitions that push  $u_1u_2 \dots u_k$  on the stack operate on the reverse of u.

# Notation

 $(r, u) \in \delta(q, a, s)$  means that when *P* is in state *q*, *a* is the next input symbol, and *s* is the symbol on top of the stack, *P* reads *a*, pop *s*, pushes *u* on the stack, and go to state *r*, as seen in Figure 2

Hence:  $(r, u) \in \delta(q, a, s)$  is equivalent with  $q \stackrel{a, s \to u}{\to} r$ 

# Graphic

•



**Figure 2:** Implementing the shorthand  $(r, xyz) \in \delta(q, a, s)$ 

# **Construction of** *P*

- The states of P are
  - $Q = \{q_{start}, q_{loop}, q_{accept}\} \cup E$  where *E* is the set of states that we need to implement the shorthands.
- The transition function is defined as follows:
- Initialize the stack to contain \$ and \$ , i.e.,  $\delta(q_{start}, \epsilon, \epsilon) = \{(q_{loop}, S\$)\}$
- Construct transitions for the main loop

## Main loop transitions

- 1. First we handle the case where the top of the stack is a variable, by setting:  $\delta(q_{loop}, \epsilon, A) = \{(q_{loop}, w) | A \rightarrow w \in R\}$  where *R* is the set of rules of CFG generating the language
- 2. Then we handle the case where the top of the stack is a terminal, setting:

 $\delta(q_{loop},a,a) = \{(q_{loop},\epsilon)\}$ 

3. Finally, if the top of stack is \$ we set:  $\delta(q_{loop}, \epsilon, \$) = \{(q_{accept}, \epsilon)\}$ 

#### The state diagram of P is in Figure 3

## **State diagram of** *P*

•



#### Figure 3: State transition diagram of *P*

# Example

We use the procedure developed during the proof of Lemma 2.21 to construct the PDA  $P_G$  that recognizes the language generated by the CFG G with the rules:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} S & \to & aTb|b \\ T & \to & Ta|\epsilon \end{array}$$

A direct application of the construction in Figure 3 leads us to the PDA in Figure 4

## State diagram of $P_G$

•



#### Figure 4: State transition diagram of $P_G$



•

If a language is recognized by a pushdown automaton then that language is a context-free language.

## **Proof idea**

- Here we have a PDA  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  and want to construct a CFG *G* that generates all strings recognized by *P*.
- For this we design *G* to do somewhat more:

For each pair of states  $p, q \in Q$ , G will have a variable  $A_{pq}$  that generates all strings that can take P from p with an empty stack to q with an empty stack.

Assume that  $F = \{q_a\}$ . Then  $A_{q_0q_a}$  is the start symbol of the grammar

Note: such strings can take P from p to q regardless of stack contents at p, leaving the stack at q the same as it was at p

# Assumptions on P

- 1. *P* has a single accept state denoted  $q_a$
- 2. *P* empties its stack before accepting
- 3. At each transition *P* either pushes a symbol on the stack or pops of a symbol from the stack, but does not do both at the same time

# Note 1

#### Giving features (1) and (2) to P is easy.

- 1. To give feature (1) to *P* add a new state say  $q_a$  to *Q*, set  $\{q_a\}$  the set of final states, and add the new transsitions:  $\forall q \in F \bullet \delta(q, \epsilon, \epsilon) = \{(q_a, \epsilon)\}$
- 2. To guive feature (2) to *P* just add the transitions:  $\forall b \in (V \cup \Sigma) \bullet \delta(q_a, \epsilon, b) = \{(q_r, \epsilon)\}$ where  $q_r$  is a new reject-state in *Q*.

# Nopte 2

#### To give feature (3) to P

- Replace each transition that simultaneously pops and pushes with a two transitions sequence that goes through a new state;
- In addition, replace each transition which neither pop nor push with a two transitions sequence that pushes and then pops an arbitrary stack symbol

See Figure 5

## Making it uniform

•



#### Figure 5: Giving feature 3 to P

# Making it happens

How is P working on a string x while moving from p with an empty stack to q with an empty stack?

- 1. *P*'s first move on *x* must be a push, because each move is either a push or a pop, and the stack is empty.
- 2. Similarly, last move must be a pop because stack ends up empty.
- 3. Two possibilities occur during P's computation on x:
  - (a) symbol popped at the end is the symbol pushed at the beginning;
  - (b) symbol popped at the end is not the symbol pushed at the beginning

## Note

- In case (a), the stack is empty only at the beginning and end of *P*'s computation;
- In case (b) initially pushed symbol must get popped before end and thus stack becomes empty at that point.

## **Grammar simulation of** *P*

- We simulate the case (a) of *P*'s computation by the rule  $A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b$  where *a* is the input symbol read at the first move, *b* is the symbol read at the last move, *r* is the state following *p*, and *s* is the state preceding *q*
- We simulate the case (b) of *P*'s computation by the rule  $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$  where *r* is the state where the stack becomes empty

# The formal proof

Let  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \{q_a\})$ . Construct  $G = (\{A_{pq} | p, q \in Q\}, \Sigma, R, A_{q_0q_a})$  where R is constructed as follows:

- 1. For each  $p, q, r, s \in Q, t \in \Gamma, a, b \in \Sigma_{\epsilon}$ , if  $(r, t) \in \delta(p, a, \epsilon)$  (i.e.,  $p \xrightarrow{a, \epsilon \to t} r$ ) and  $(q, \epsilon) \in \delta(s, b, t)$  (i.e.,  $s \xrightarrow{b, t \to \epsilon} q$ ) then put  $A_{pq} \to aA_{rs}b$  in R
- 2. Fort each  $p, q, r \in Q$  put the rule  $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$  in R
- 3. For each  $p \in Q$  put the rule  $A_{pp} \to \epsilon$  in R

Figures 6 and 7 provide the intuition of this construction

## P's computation corresponding to $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$

•



Figure 6: *P*'s computation for  $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$ 

## **P's computation** corresponding to $A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b$

•



#### Figure 7: *P*'s computation for $A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b$

# **Claim 2.30**

•

If  $A_{pq}$  generates x then x brings P from p to q with empty stack

**Proof:** by induction on the number of steps in the derivation of x from  $A_{pq}$ 

## **Induction basis**

#### Derivation has 1 step

- 1. A derivation with a single step must use a rule whose rhs contains no variables
- 2. The only rules in R whose rhs contain no variables are  $A_{pp} \rightarrow \epsilon$
- 3. Clearly, the input  $\epsilon$  takes P from p with empty stack to p with empty stack

# **Induction step**

Assume claim 2.30 true for derivations of length  $k, k \ge 1$ , and prove it for k + 1

- 1. Suppose  $A_{pq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$  with k + 1 steps. The first step of this derivation is either  $A_{pq} \Rightarrow aA_{rs}b$  or  $A_{pq} \Rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$
- 2. In the first case consider y portion of x generated by  $A_{rs}$ , i.e., x = ayb.
- 3. Because  $A_{rs} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} y$  with k steps, induction hypothesis tells us that P can go from r to s with empty stack
- 4. Because  $A_{pq} \to aA_{rs}b \in R$ , it follows that  $(r,t) \in \delta(p,a,\epsilon)$  $(p \xrightarrow{a,\epsilon \to t} r)$  and  $(q,\epsilon) \in \delta(s,b,t)$   $(s \xrightarrow{b,t \to \epsilon} q)$

## Induction step continuation

- 5. Hence, if *P* starts at *p* with empty stack, after reading *a* it can go to *r* and push *t* on the stack
- 6. Then, reading y it can go to s and leave t on the stack
- 7. At q, because  $(q, \epsilon) \in \delta(s, b, t)$ , after reading b can go to state q and pop t off the stack.
- 8. Hence, x brings P from p to q with an empty stack

## Induction step, second case

- 1. Consider the portions y and z of x that are generated by  $A_{pr}$  and  $A_{rq}$ , respectively, i.e., x = yz,  $A_{pr} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} y$ ,  $A_{rq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} z$ .
- 2. Because  $A_{pr} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} y$  and  $A_{rq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} z$  are derivations containing at most k steps, y and z respectively bring P from p to r, and from r to q respectively, with empty stack
- 3. Hence, x can bring P from p to q with empty stack.

# **Claim 2.31**

If x brings P from p to q with empty stack, then  $A_{pq}$  generates x

**Proof:** by induction on the number of steps in the computation of P going from p to q with empty stack on the input x

## **Induction basis**

#### Computation has 0 steps

- 1. With 0 steps, computation starts and ends with the same state p.
- 2. So, we must show that  $A_{pp} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$  in 0 steps.
- 3. In zero steps P has only time to read the empty string, i.e.,  $x = \epsilon$
- 4. By construction, *R* contains the rule  $A_{pp} \rightarrow \epsilon$ , hence  $A_{pp} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$

# **Induction step**

# Assume claim 2.31 true for computations of length at most $k, k \ge 0$ , and show that it remains true for computations of length k + 1

Two cases:

- **Case a:** *P* has a computation of length k + 1 wherein *x* brings *P* from *p* to *q* with empty stack.
- **Case b:** *P* has a computation of length k + 1 wherein the stack is empty at the begin and end, and stacks may become empty also during computation

# Case (a)

#### stack is empty only at the beginning and end.

- 1. The symbol that is pushed at the first move must be the same as the symbol popped at the last move. Let it be t
- Let a be the input read in the first move, b the input read at the last move, r be the state after the first move, and s be the state before the last move
- 3. Then  $(r,t) \in \delta(p,a,\epsilon)$  and  $(q,\epsilon) \in \delta(s,b,t)$ , and  $A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b \in R$
- 4. Let *y* be the portion of *x* without *a* and *b*, i.e., x = ayb. Using induction we know that *y* brings *P* from *r* to *s* without touching *t* because we can remove the first and the last step of computation, hence  $A_{rs} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} y$ .

5. But then 
$$A_{pq} \Rightarrow aA_{rs}b \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} ayb = x$$

# Induction step, case (b)

Let r be the state where the stack becomes empty other than at the beginning or end of computation on the input x

- 1. The portions of the computation from p to r and from r to q, both contain at most k steps
- 2. Let y be the input read during the computation from p to r and z be the input read during the computation from r to q
- 3. Using induction hypothesis we have  $A_{pr} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} y$  and  $A_{rq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} z$ .

4. Because 
$$A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq} \in R$$
 is result that  
 $A_{pq} \Rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} yz = x$ 

# Note

- We have proved that pushdown automata recognize the class of context free languages
- Every regular language is recognized by a finite automaton
- Every finite automaton is a pushdown automaton that ignores its stack

**Conclusion**: every regular language is a context-free language.