CSE 304 **Compiler** Design Intermediate Code Generation II

TONY MIONE

Topics

- Intermediate Code
 - Translating Expressions
 - Translating Array Elements and Array References
 - Control Flow
 - Boolean Expressions and Short circuiting
 - Avoiding Redundant gotos
 - Backpatching

Translating Expressions

- •Expression evaluation can be coded by adding 2 attributes to nonterminals comprising expression:
 - .addr Address of result
 - .code Code to generate result
- •Add primitive operations to help create intermediate code:
 - gen() This generates an instruction (which is added to .code)
 - **newTemp()** This creates a new temporary register for results
 - Concatenation operator || This is used to append .code attributes and other text for code generation

Translating Expressions

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES
$S \rightarrow \mathrm{id} = E$;	S.code = E.code gen(top.get(id.lexeme) '=' E.addr)
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	E.addr = new Temp() $E.code = E_1.code E_2.code $ $gen(E.addr'=' E_1.addr'+' E_2.addr)$
- <i>E</i> ₁	E.addr = new Temp() $E.code = E_1.code $ $gen(E.addr'=''minus' E_1.addr)$
(<i>E</i> ₁)	$E.addr = E_1.addr$ $E.code = E_1.code$
id	E.addr = top.get(id.lexeme) $E.code = ''$

- $E -> E_1 + E_2$
- 1. Generate a new Temporary
- Append code for E₁ (generated for some subexpression)
- 3. Append code for E_2
- 4. Generate an add instruction
 - a. result field is E.addr
 - b. operands are E₁.addr (the temp created for E₁) and E₂.addr (temp created for E₂)

Incremental Translation

- •The .code attributes in the previous translation scheme can get very long
 - It is possible to generate the code 'on the fly'
 - Instead of having gen() write the instruction into a code attribute, write it...
 - directly to memory that is holding generated code or...
 - to a file

$$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2 \{ E.addr = \mathbf{new} \ Temp(); \\ gen(E.addr'=' E_1.addr'+' E_2.addr); \}$$

No code attributes needed since the instructions to compute the values in E_1 .addr and E_2 .addr have already been generated.

Translating Array References

•Array elements are stored consecutively in

- Row-major order
 - Elements across a row are stored in order, then the order moves to the next row
 - Rightmost subscript changes quickest (like a car odometer)
- Column-major order
 - Consecutive elements move down a column then continue at the top of the next column
 - Left most subscript changes the quickest

•Elements in most languages are numbered 0->n-1 where n is dimension size

Translating Array References

- •To generate the location (l-value) of an element in a 1 dimensional array:
 - If *base* is the location of element 0
 - *w* is the width of an element in bytes
 - *i* is the element index
 - Eff addr = base + i * w
- •Example: A is an array of integers (4 bytes each) and starts at memory location 0x800000. The location of element with index 5 is:

•0x800000 + 5 * 4 = 0x800014

Translating Array References (2 or more dimensions)

•Given:

- If base is the location of element 0
- w_r is the width of a row in bytes
- w_e is the width of an element in bytes
- *i, j are the indices for row/element*
- *Eff addr of A[i][j] = base + i * w_r + j * w_e*

•Example: A is a 3x5 array of integers at memory location 0x800000:

• A[1][2] is at 0x800000 + 1 * 20 + 2 * 4 = 0x80001C

Translating Array References (2 or more dimensions)

•General formula for k-dimensional array:

- Eff addr of $A[i_1][i_2]...[i_k] = base + i_1 x w_1 + i_2 * w_2 + ... + i_k * w_k$
- •Also, for k dimensions, can use element counts per row/column rather than width.
 - n_i is the number of elements in the row or plane
 - w is the width of the base element
 - base is the base address of the array

• Eff addr of $A[i_1][i_2]...[i_k] = base + ((...(i_1 * n_2 + i_2) * n_3 + i_3)...)*n_k + i_k) * w$

Semantic Actions for Array References

$S \rightarrow id = E$;	$\{ gen(top.get(id.lexeme) '=' E.addr); \}$
L = E;	$\{ gen(L.addr.base \ '[' \ L.addr \ ']' \ '=' \ E.addr); \ \}$
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	$\{ E.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp(); \\ gen(E.addr'='E_1.addr'+'E_2.addr); \}$
id	$\{ E.addr = top.get(id.lexeme); \}$
<i>L</i>	$ \{ \begin{array}{l} E.addr = \mathbf{new} \ Temp(); \\ gen(E.addr'=' \ L.array.base'[' \ L.addr']'); \end{array} \} $
$L \rightarrow \operatorname{id} [E]$	{ L.array = top.get(id.lexeme); L.type = L.array.type.elem; L.addr = new Temp(); gen(L.addr '=' E.addr '*' L.type.width); }
L ₁ [E]	{ $L.array = L_1.array;$ $L.type = L_1.type.elem;$ $t = \mathbf{new} Temp();$ $L.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp();$ $gen(t'=' E.addr'*' L.type.width);$ }

 $gen(L.addr'='L_1.addr'+'t); \}$

Semantic Actions for Array References

$S \rightarrow \mathbf{id} = E$;	$\{ gen(top.get(id.lexeme) '=' E.addr); \}$		
L = E;	{ $gen(L.addr.base'[' L.addr']' '=' E.addr);$ }	1.	Get symbol info into L ₁ .array
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	$\{ E.addr = \mathbf{new} \ Temp(); \}$	Ζ.	Get type into L ₁ .type.elem
	$gen(E.addr'='E_1.addr'+'E_2.addr); \}$	3.	Laddr is a new temporary
id	$\{ E.addr = top.get(id.lexeme); \}$	4.	Compute address into L.addr (note: L.type.width is size of
<i>L</i>	$ \{ E.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp(); \\ gen(E.addr'=' L.array.base'[' L.addr']'); \} $		whole row, plane, etc)
$L \rightarrow \operatorname{id} [E]$	$ \{ L.array = top.get(\mathbf{id}.lexeme); \\ L.type = L.array.type.elem; \\ L.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp(); \\ gen(L.addr'=' E.addr'*' L.type.width); \} $		
L ₁ [E]	{ $L.array = L_1.array;$ $L.type = L_1.type.elem;$ $t = \mathbf{new} Temp();$ $L.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp();$		

 $gen(t'='E.addr'*'L.type.width); \}$ $gen(L.addr'='L_1.addr'+'t); \}$

Semantic Actions for Array References

$S \rightarrow \mathbf{id} = E$;	$\{ gen(top.get(id.lexeme) '=' E.addr); \}$
L = E;	$\{ gen(L.addr.base '[' L.addr ']' '=' E.addr); \}$
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	$\{ E.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp(); \\ gen(E.addr'='E_1.addr'+'E_2.addr); \}$
id	$\{ E.addr = top.get(id.lexeme); \}$
<i>L</i>	$\{ E.addr = \mathbf{new} Temp(); \\ gen(E.addr'=' L.array.base'[' L.addr']'); \}$
$L \rightarrow \operatorname{id} [E]$	{ L.array = top.get(id.lexeme); L.type = L.array.type.elem; L.addr = new Temp(); gen(L.addr '=' E.addr '*' L.type.width); }
<i>L</i> ₁ [<i>E</i>]	$ \{ L.array = L_1.array; \\ L.type = L_1.type.elem; \\ t = \mathbf{new} \ Temp(); \\ L.addr = \mathbf{new} \ Temp(); \\ gen(t'=' E.addr'*' L.type.width); \} \\ gen(L.addr'=' L_1.addr'+' t); \} $

- 1. Copy L₁.array to L.array
- Get type of subarray (from L₁.type.elem)
- 3. Generate a new temp (t)
- 4. Generate a new temp (L.addr)
- Create code to calculate t and add it to L.addr (the offset from the base)

Control Flow

Boolean expressions can be used

- To compute a logical value (true/false)
- To alter control flow

•Here we are concerned with the latter

•Consider the following grammar:

B-> B||B | B&&B | !B | (B) | E rel E | true | false

- rel is one of the relational operators (<, <=, ==, !=, >, >=)
- | | is logical OR, && is logical AND, ! Is NOT

Control Flow

- •Depending on language semantics, Boolean expressions may NOT need to be completely evaluated
- •Most languages allow 'short circuit' evaluation (quit once you know the result
 - $B_1 \mid B_2 If B_1$ is true, we know the whole expression is true so skip B_2 eval
 - $B_1 \&\& B_2 If B_1$ is false, we know expression is false so skip B_2 eval

Flow of Control Statements

Here is a small grammar of Flow of Control Statements

S	\rightarrow	if (B) S_1
S	\rightarrow	if (B) S_1 else S_2
S	\rightarrow	while (B) S_1

B represents a Boolean expression S represents statements in the language

Need to create semantic actions that generate the following patterns of code:



Flow of Control Statements

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES	
$P \rightarrow S$	S.next = newlabel() $P.code = S.code \mid\mid label(S.next)$	 In this and following slides: B.true, B.false, S.next, S₁.next, etc
$S \rightarrow assign$	S.code = assign.code	 are labels for branch transfers B.true – Branch here when B is
$S \rightarrow \mathbf{if} (B) S_1$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	 B.false – Branch here when B is false
$S \rightarrow \mathbf{if} (B) S_1 \mathbf{else} S_2$	B.true = newlabel() B.false = newlabel() $S_1.next = S_2.next = S.next$ S.code = B.code $ \ label(B.true) \ \ S_1.code$ $ \ gen('goto' \ S.next)$ $ \ label(B.false) \ \ S_2.code$	 S.next, S₁.next – This is the target of the next statement after S, S1, etc

Flow of Control Statements

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES
$S \rightarrow$ while (B) S_1	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$	$S_1.next = newlabel()$ $S_2.next = S.next$ $S.code = S_1.code \mid\mid label(S_1.next) \mid\mid S_2.code$

Boolean Expressions

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES	
$B \rightarrow B_1 \mid \mid B_2$	$B_1.true = B.true$	
	$B_1.false = newlabel()$	B B
	$B_2.true = B.true$	1. Target true result of B₁ to overall result
	$B_2.false = B.false$	(chart circuit)
	$B.code = B_1.code \mid\mid label(B_1.false) \mid\mid B_2.code$	(Short circuit)
$B \rightarrow B_1 \&\& B_2$	$B_1.true = newlabel()$	2. False result needs a label
	$B_1.false = B.false$	3. B ₂ .true goes to overall true result target
3	$B_2.true = B.true$	A P false goes to false target of P
	$B_2.false = B.false$	4. D ₂ . Iaise goes to faise target of D
	$B.code = B_1.code \mid\mid label(B_1.true) \mid\mid B_2.code$	5. Code is B_1 eval code, the label B1.false,
		and the B_2 eval code
$B \rightarrow ! B_1$	$B_1.true = B.false$	
	$D_1.juse = D.true$ $B_1.code = B_1.code$	
	$D.coae = D_1.coae$	B && B
$B \rightarrow E_1 \operatorname{rel} E_2$	$B.code = E_1.code \parallel E_2.code$	
	$ gen('if' E_1.addr rel.op E_2.addr'goto' B.$	true)
	gen('goto' B.false)	Similar to B B but reverse faise and true
		evaluation targets
$B \rightarrow \mathbf{true}$	B.code = gen('goto' B.true)	5
$B \rightarrow false$	$B \ code = aen(' \ goto' \ B \ false)$	

Boolean Expressions

PRODUCTION	SEMANTIC RULES	
$B \rightarrow B_1 \mid \mid B_2$	$B_1.true = B.true$	
	$B_1.false = newlabel()$ $B_2.true = B.true$ $B_2.false = B.false$ $B_1.code = B_1.code \parallel label(B_1.false) \parallel B_2.code$	
$B \rightarrow B_1 \&\& B_2$	$B_1.true = newlabel()$ $B_1.false = B.false$ $B_2.true = B.true$ $B_2.false = B.false$ $B.code = B_1.code \ label(B_1.true) \ B_2.code$!B
$B \rightarrow ! B_1$	$B_1.true = B.false$ $B_1.false = B.true$ $B.code = B_1.code$	Just reverse true and false labels from B ₁ !
$B \rightarrow E_1 \operatorname{\mathbf{rel}} E_2$	$B.code = E_1.code \mid\mid E_2.code \\ \mid\mid gen('if' E_1.addr rel.op E_2.addr 'goto' B.true) \\ \mid\mid gen('goto' B.false)$	-
$B \rightarrow \mathbf{true}$	B.code = gen('goto' B.true)	
$B \rightarrow \mathbf{false}$	B.code = gen('goto' B.false)	

Avoiding Redundant Gotos

•Can reduce gotos by clever reorganization of tests and control transfers

```
Consider: S -> if (B) then S<sub>1</sub> => Actions are:
B.true = newlabel()
B.false = S<sub>1</sub>.next = S.next
B.code II label(B.true) II S<sub>1</sub>.code
```

Now, use a new operator *fall* meaning *do not generate a goto*

```
S-> if (B) then S<sub>1</sub> => actions are now:
B.true = fall
B.false = S<sub>1</sub>.next = S.next
B.code II S<sub>1</sub>.code
```

Avoiding Redundant Gotos

•Can reduce gotos by clever reorganization of tests and control transfers

```
Consider: S -> if (B) then S<sub>1</sub> else S<sub>2</sub> => actions are:
B.true = newlabel()
B.false = newlabel()
S<sub>1</sub>.next = S<sub>2</sub>.next = S.next
S.code = B.code II label(B. true) II S<sub>1</sub>.code II gen('goto' S.next) II label(B.false) II S<sub>2</sub>.code
```

Now, use a new operator *fall* meaning *do not generate a goto*

```
S-> if (B) then S<sub>1</sub> else S<sub>2</sub> => actions are now:
B.true = fall
B.false = newlabel()
S.next = newlabel()
S.code = B.code II S<sub>1</sub>.code II gen('goto' S.next) II label(B.false) II S<sub>2</sub>.code
```

Backpatching

- •Generating jump instructions on the fly may require a second pass to determine the address of labels generated.
- •Backpatching allows 1 pass translation by keeping lists of jump targets created as synthesized attributes
- •Need 3 lists:
 - **B.truelist** instructions that need a target when B is *true*
 - **B.falselist** instructions that need a target when B is *false*
 - **S.nextlist** instructions that need to jump to the instruction after the code in S.
- •3 functions are used:
 - makelist(i) Creates a new list containing only i, an index into the instruction list
 - merge(p1,p2) merges two lists and returns the merged lists
 - backpatch(p, i) Patches (fixes) the targets of all conditional and unconditional jumps in the locations found in list p to point at instruction i.

Backpatching – Boolean Expressions

1)	$B \to B_1 \mid \mid M \mid B_2$	{	$back patch(B_1.falselist, M.instr);$ $B.truelist = merge(B_1.truelist, B_2.truelist);$ $B.falselist = B_2.falselist; \}$	Marker non terminal picks up location of next instruction generated (see production 8)
2)	$B \rightarrow B_1 \&\& M B_2$	{	$backpatch(B_1.truelist, M.instr);$ $B.truelist = B_2.truelist;$	Backpatching done during
3)	$B \rightarrow ! B_1$	{	$B.falselist = merge(B_1.falselist, B_2.falselist); \}$ $B.truelist = B_1.falselist;$ $B.falselist = B_1.truelist; \}$	compound expression evaluation
4)	$B \to (B_1)$	{	$B.truelist = B_1.truelist;$ $B.falselist = B_1.falselist;$	Lists are either reversed or copied in productions 3 and 4
5)	$B \to E_1 \text{ rel } E_2$	{	B.truelist = makelist(nextinstr); B.falselist = makelist(nextinstr + 1); emit('if' E ₁ .addr rel.op E ₂ .addr 'goto _'); emit('goto _'); }	makelist() is needed for productions 5, 6, and 7
6)	$B \rightarrow \mathbf{true}$	{	B.truelist = makelist(nextinstr); emit('goto _'); }	
7)	$B \rightarrow \mathbf{false}$	{	B.falselist = makelist(nextinstr); emit('goto _'); }	
8)	$M \to \epsilon$	{	$M.instr = nextinstr; $ }	

Backpatching – Boolean Expressions

Consider

1) $B \rightarrow B_1 \mid \mid M \mid B_2$ { $back patch(B_1.falselist, M.instr);$ $B.truelist = merge(B_1.truelist, B_2.truelist);$ $B.falselist = B_2.falselist;$ }

If B_1 is true, control can jump past the test in B_2

However, if it is false, it must jump to the test in B_2 in order to test the complete conditional.

- So the backpatch() operation causes all jumps on the false list to point at M (M.instr) which will be the start of the code in B₂
- Meanwhile, the truelist is set to the combination of truelists for B₁ and B₂ since both of those mean the overall expression is true.
- Finally, B's synthesized falselist should be wherever the falselist for B₂ points.

Backpatching Example

Parse tree for: x < 100 | | x > 200 && x ! = Y



Generates: 100: if x < 100 goto – 101: goto – 102: if x > 200 goto – 103: goto – 104: if x != y goto – 105: goto –

Backpatching Flow of Control

1)	$S \rightarrow if (B) M S_1 \{ backpatch(B.truelist, M.instr); \\ S.nextlist = merge(B.falselist, S_1.nextlist); \}$	4) $S \rightarrow \{L\}$	$\{ S.nextlist = L.nextlist; \}$
2)	$S \rightarrow \mathbf{if}(B) M_1 S_1 N \mathbf{else} M_2 S_2$	5) $S \to A$;	$\{ S.nextlist = null; \}$
	$\{ backpatch(B.truelist, M_1.instr); \\ backpatch(B.falselist, M_2.instr); \\ \}$	6) $M \to \epsilon$	$\{ M.instr = nextinstr; \}$
	$temp = merge(S_1.nextlist, N.nextlist);$ $S.nextlist = merge(temp, S_2.nextlist);$	7) $N \to \epsilon$	{ N.nextlist = makelist(nextinstr); emit('goto _'); }
3)	$S \rightarrow \text{ while } M_1 (B) M_2 S_1 \\ \{ \begin{array}{l} backpatch(S_1.nextlist, M_1.instr); \\ backpatch(B.truelist, M_2.instr); \\ S.nextlist = B.falselist; \end{array} $	8) $L \rightarrow L_1 M S$	<pre>{ back patch(L₁.nextlist, M.instr); L.nextlist = S.nextlist; }</pre>
	<pre>emit('goto' M1.instr); }</pre>	9) $L \rightarrow S$	$\{ L.nextlist = S.nextlist; \}$

Questions?