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**Spanning Tree**

A *spanning tree* of a connected undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ is a connected subgraph $T = (V, E')$ such that $E' \subseteq E$ and $|E'| = |V| - 1$.

Since $T$ connects all $V$ vertices of the graph and has only $|V| - 1$ edges, $T$ cannot contain a cycle.

The connectivity algorithms can easily be extended to return a spanning tree.

- We simply keep track of edges used for hooking
- Since each edge will hook together two components that are not connected yet, and only one edge will succeed in hooking the components, the collection of these edges across all steps will form a spanning tree (i.e., they will connect all vertices and there will be no cycles)
**Minimum Spanning Tree**

A *minimum spanning tree* of a connected weighted undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with weights $w(e)$ for $e \in E$ is a spanning tree $T = (V, E')$ of $G$ such that $w(T) = \sum_{e \in E'} w(e)$ is minimized.

Let us assume for simplicity that all edge weights are distinct.

**Cut Theorem:** For any $U \subset V$ suppose $e \in E$ is the minimum weight edge connecting $U$ and $V \setminus U$, then $e$ must be in $\text{MST}(G)$.

**Corollary:** For every $u \in V$ the edge $(u, v) \in E$ with the minimum weight must be in $\text{MST}(G)$.

This property can be used to extend the parallel CC algorithms we have seen to output MST.
Randomized Parallel MST with Priority CW

**Input:** $n$ is the number of vertices, $E$ is the set of edges, and $MST[1:|E|]$ are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge $(u,v)$ both $(u,v)$ and $(v,u)$ are included in $E$.

**Output:** For all $i$, $MST[i]$ is set to 1 if edge $E[i]$ is included in the MST.

```plaintext
Par-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW (n, E, MST)
2. sort the edges in $E$ in non-decreasing order of edge weights
3. parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $L[v] ← v$
4. $F ← (|E| > 0)$? True : False
5. while $F = True$ do
6. parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $C[v] ← RANDOM\{Head, Tail\}$
7. parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do $R[E[i].u] ← i$ (priority: $|E| - i$)
8. parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do
9. $u ← E[i].u, v ← E[i].v$
10. if $C[u] = Tail and C[v] = Head and R[u] = i$ then
11. $L[u] ← v, MST[i] ← 1$
12. parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do $E[i] ← (L[E[i].u], L[E[i].v])$
13. $F ← False$
14. parallel for each $(u,v) ∈ E$ do
15. if $u ≠ v$ then $F ← True$
```
Let \( n = \#\text{vertices}, \) and \( m = \#\text{edges} \) in original graph. Then \( m \geq n - 1 \) as graph is connected.

Sorting in step 2 does \( \Theta(m \log n) \) work and has \( \Theta(\log^3 n) \) depth.

Each contraction is still expected to reduce \( \#\text{vertices} \) by a factor of at least \( \frac{1}{4} \). [why?]

So, the expected number of contraction steps, \( D = O(\log n) \).

For each contraction step span is \( \Theta(\log n) \), and work is \( \Theta(n + m) \).

**Work:** \( T_1(n, m) = \Theta(m \log n + D(n + m)) = \Theta(m \log n) \)

**Span:** \( T_\infty(n, m) = \Theta(\log^3 n + D\log n) = \Theta(\log^3 n) \)

**Parallelism:** \( \frac{T_1(n,m)}{T_\infty(n,m)} = \Theta \left( \frac{m}{\log^2 n} \right) \)
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

**Problem:** Consider a set of $n$ processors $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$ of which some are trying to write (not necessarily the same value) to a common location. Devise a parallel strategy to identify the leftmost writer (i.e., the writer with the smallest id) assuming that during concurrent writes to the same location an arbitrary writer may succeed.

**Example:** Suppose among the 16 processors below the red ones are trying to write their ids (i.e., a red $P_i$ is trying to write $i$) to a common location $R$. 

![Diagram](image.png)
Eliminating Priority CW by Sorting (e.g., Using Radix Sort)

**Input:** An array $A$ of $n$ keys, each represented as a $b$ bit integer.

**Output:** Array $A$ with its keys sorted in non-decreasing order. The output is *stable* meaning keys of equal value retain their input order.

**Par-Radix-Sort** $(A, n, b )$

2. for $k ← 0$ to $b − 1$ do
3.     parallel for $i ← 1$ to $n$ do
4.         $F_1[i] ← \text{SHIFT-RIGHT}(A[i], k) \mod 2$
5.         $F_0[i] ← 1 − F_1[i]$
6.     $S_0 ← \text{Par-Prefix-Sum}(F_0, +)$
7.     $S_1 ← \text{Par-Prefix-Sum}(F_1, +)$
8.     parallel for $i ← 1$ to $n$ do
9.         if $F_1[i] = 0$ then $B[S_0[i]] ← A[i]$
10.        else $B[S_0[n] + S_1[i]] ← A[i]$
11.    parallel for $i ← 1$ to $n$ do

- find ranks of all keys with bit $k = 0$, and of all keys with bit $k = 1$ by treating them as separate groups
- extract the $k$-th bit and its negation
- use the ranks to order the keys appropriately, and place all keys with bit $k = 0$ ahead of all keys with bit $k = 1$
Par-Radix-Sort (A, n, b)

1. array \(F_0[1:n], F_1[1:n], S_0[1:n], S_1[1:n], B[1:n]\)
2. for \(k \leftarrow 0\) to \(b - 1\) do
3. parallel for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
4. \(F_1[i] \leftarrow \text{SHIFT-RIGHT}(A[i], k) \mod 2\)
5. \(F_0[i] \leftarrow 1 - F_1[i]\)
6. \(S_0 \leftarrow \text{Par-Prefix-Sum}(F_0, +)\)
7. \(S_1 \leftarrow \text{Par-Prefix-Sum}(F_1, +)\)
8. parallel for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
9. if \(F_1[i] = 0\) then \(B[S_0[i]] \leftarrow A[i]\)
10. else \(B[S_0[n] + S_1[i]] \leftarrow A[i]\)
11. parallel for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
12. \(A[i] \leftarrow B[i]\)

The serial for loop in line 2 iterates \(b\) times, and each iteration performs \(\Theta(n)\) work and has \(\Theta(\log^2 n)\) depth.

Work: \(T_1(n) = \Theta(bn)\)

Span: \(T_\infty(n) = \Theta(b \log^2 n)\)

Parallelism: \(\frac{T_1(n)}{T_\infty(n)} = \Theta\left(\frac{n}{\log^2 n}\right)\)
Eliminating Priority CW by Sorting (e.g., Using Radix Sort)

**Input:** $n$ is the number of vertices and $E$ is the set of edges.

**Output:** For $1 \leq u \leq n$, $R[ u ]$ is set to the smallest index $i$ such that $E[ i ].u = u$.

```
Par-Simulate-Priority-CW-using-Radix-Sort ( n, E, R )
1. array A[ 1 : |E| ]
2. $k \leftarrow \lceil \log |E| \rceil + 1$
3. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $|E|$ do $A[ i ] \leftarrow \text{SHIFT-LEFT}( E[ i ].u, k ) + i$
4. Par-Radix-Sort ( A, |E|, $k + \lceil \log n \rceil$ )
5. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $|E|$ do
6. $u \leftarrow \text{SHIFT-RIGHT}( A[ i ], k )$
7. $j \leftarrow A[ i ] - \text{SHIFT-LEFT}( u, k )$
8. if $i = 1$ or $u \neq \text{SHIFT-RIGHT}( A[ i - 1 ], k )$ then $R[ u ] \leftarrow j$
```

Assuming, $m = |E|$. For radix sort $b = \Theta(\log n)$.

**Work:** $\Theta(bm) = \Theta(m \log n)$

**Span:** $\Theta(b \log^2 n) = \Theta(\log^3 n)$
Randomized Parallel MST with Priority CW

Input: $n$ is the number of vertices, $E$ is the set of edges, and $MST[1:|E|]$ are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge $(u,v)$ both $(u,v)$ and $(v,u)$ are included in $E$.

Output: For all $i$, $MST[i]$ is set to 1 if edge $E[i]$ is included in the MST.

```
Par-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW (n, E, MST)
1. array L[1:n], C[1:n], R[1:n]
2. sort the edges in E in non-decreasing order of edge weights
3. parallel for v ← 1 to n do L[v] ← v
4. F ← (|E| > 0) ? True : False
5. while F = True do
6.    parallel for v ← 1 to n do C[v] ← RANDOM{Head, Tail}
7.    parallel for i ← 1 to |E| do R[E[i].u] ← i (priority: |E| − i)
8.    parallel for i ← 1 to |E| do
9.      u ← E[i].u, v ← E[i].v
10.     if C[u] = Tail and C[v] = Head and R[u] = i then
11.       L[u] ← v, MST[i] ← 1
12.     parallel for i ← 1 to |E| do E[i] ← (L[E[i].u], L[E[i].v])
13.     F ← False
14.    parallel for each (u, v) ∈ E do
15.      if u ≠ v then F ← True
```
Randomized Parallel MST w/o Priority CW

**Input:** $n$ is the number of vertices, $E$ is the set of edges, and $MST[1:|E|]$ are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge $(u,v)$ both $(u,v)$ and $(v,u)$ are included in $E$.

**Output:** For all $i$, $MST[i]$ is set to 1 if edge $E[i]$ is included in the MST.

```plaintext
Par-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW (n, E, MST)
1. array L[1:n], C[1:n], R[1:n]
2. sort the edges in $E$ in non-decreasing order of edge weights
3. parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $L[v] ← v$
4. $F ← (|E| > 0) ? True : False$
5. while $F = True$ do
6.   parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $C[v] ← RANDOM\{Head, Tail\}$
7.   Par-Simulate-Priority-CW-using-Radix-Sort (n, E, R)
8.   parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do
9.     $u ← E[i].u, v ← E[i].v$
10.    if $C[u] = Tail$ and $C[v] = Head$ and $R[u] = i$ then
11.       $L[u] ← v, MST[i] ← 1$
12.   parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do $E[i] ← (L[E[i].u], L[E[i].v])$
13.   $F ← False$
14.   parallel for each $(u, v) ∈ E$ do
15.     if $u ≠ v$ then $F ← True$
```
Let $n = \#\text{vertices}$, and $m = \#\text{edges}$ in original graph. Then $m \geq n - 1$ as graph is connected.

Expected number of contraction steps, $D = \Theta(\log n)$.

For each contraction step span is $\Theta(\log^3 n)$, and work is $\Theta(n + m\log n)$.

**Work:**

$$T_1(n, m) = \Theta(m \log n + D(n + m \log n)) = \Theta(m \log^2 n)$$

**Span:**

$$T_\infty(n, m) = \Theta(\log^3 n + D\log^3 n) = \Theta(\log^4 n)$$

**Parallelism:**

$$\frac{T_1(n,m)}{T_\infty(n,m)} = \Theta \left( \frac{m}{\log^2 n} \right)$$
Ranking integer Keys Using Counting Sort

Input: An array $F[1: n]$ of keys, each represented as an $r$ bit integer.

Output: Stable ranking of the keys in $F$ when sorted in non-decreasing order.

Approach:

- Suppose $P_1, P_2, ..., P_p$ are the available processing elements.
- Split $F$ into $p$ segments of approximately $\frac{n}{p}$ keys each.
  Let $F_i$ denote the $i$-th $(1 \leq i \leq p)$ such segment.
- Assign $F_i$ to $P_i$.
- Since the ranking must be stable, all occurrences of $v$ in $F_i$ must be ranked ahead of all occurrences of $v$ in $F_{i+1}$.
- For $v \in [0, 2^{r} - 1]$, let $S_1[v][i]$ be the frequency of $v$ in $P_1, P_2, ..., P_i$.
- Then $\sum_{u=0}^{v-1} S_1[u][p]$ is the total number of keys in $F$ with value $< v$.
- Clearly, the first occurrence of $v$ in $P_i$ must have a global rank of $1 + \sum_{u=0}^{v-1} S_1[u][p] + S_1[v][i - 1]$ (assuming $S_1[v][0] = 0$).
Ranking integer Keys Using Counting Sort

Input: An array $F[1: n]$ of keys, each represented as an $r$ bit integer.
Output: Array $S[1: n]$ with $S[i]$ giving the rank of $F[i]$ when the keys in $F$ are sorted in non-decreasing order. The ranking is stable.

Par-Counting-Rank ($F, n, r, S$)  \{ $p =$ #processing elements \}

1. array $S_1[0 : 2^r - 1][1 : p], S_2[0 : 2^r - 1][1 : p],$
   $j_s[1 : p], j_e[1 : p], ofs[1 : p]$
2. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $p$ do
3. for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $2^r - 1$ do $S_1[j][i] \leftarrow 0$
4. $j_s[i] \leftarrow (i - 1)\lfloor n / p \rfloor + 1, j_e[i] \leftarrow (i < p) ? (i \lfloor n / p \rfloor) : n$
5. for $j \leftarrow j_s[i]$ to $j_e[i]$ do $S_1[F[j]][i] \leftarrow S_1[F[j]][i] + 1$
6. for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $2^r - 1$ do
7. $S_1[j][1 : p] \leftarrow Par-Prefix-Sum (S_1[j][1 : p], + )$
8. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $p$ do
9. $ofs[i] \leftarrow 1$
10. for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $2^r - 1$ do
11. $S_2[j][i] \leftarrow (i = 1) ? ofs[i] : (ofs[i] + S_1[j][i - 1])$
12. $ofs[i] \leftarrow ofs[i] + S_1[j][p]$
13. for $j \leftarrow j_s[i]$ to $j_e[i]$ do
14. $S[j] \leftarrow S_2[F[j]][i]$
15. $S_2[F[j]][i] \leftarrow S_2[F[j]][i] + 1$

for each key $j \in [0, 2^r - 1]$ count the frequency of $j$ in processors $\leq i$

find the ranks of all occurrences of each key based on the ranks of their first occurrences

processor $i$ counts frequency of each key $\in [0, 2^r - 1]$  

processor $i$ finds the overall rank of the first occurrence of each key $\in [0, 2^r - 1]$ in its segment
Ranking integer Keys Using Counting Sort

Par-Counting-Rank \( (F, n, r, S) \) \{ p = \#proc elements \}

1. array \( S_1[0 : 2^r - 1][1 : p] \), \( S_2[0 : 2^r - 1][1 : p] \),\
   \( j_s[1 : p] \), \( j_e[1 : p] \), \( ofs[1 : p] \)
2. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \( p \) do
3. for \( j \leftarrow 0 \) to \( 2^r - 1 \) do \( S_1[j][i] \leftarrow 0 \)
4. \( j_s[i] \leftarrow (i - 1)\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil + 1 \)
   \( j_e[i] \leftarrow (i < p) ? \left( i\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil \right) : n \)
5. for \( j \leftarrow j_s[i] \) to \( j_e[i] \) do
   \( S_1[F[j]][i] \leftarrow S_1[F[j]][i] + 1 \)
6. for \( j \leftarrow 0 \) to \( 2^r - 1 \) do
7. \( S_1[j][1 : p] \leftarrow \text{Par-Prefix-Sum} \( S_1[j][1 : p], + \) \)
8. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \( p \) do
9. \( ofs[i] \leftarrow 1 \)
10. for \( j \leftarrow 0 \) to \( 2^r - 1 \) do
11. \( S_2[j][i] \leftarrow (i = 1) ? ofs[i] \)
   \( : (ofs[i] + S_1[j][i - 1]) \)
12. \( ofs[i] \leftarrow ofs[i] + S_1[j][p] \)
13. for \( j \leftarrow j_s[i] \) to \( j_e[i] \) do
14. \( S[j] \leftarrow S_2[F[j]][i] \)
15. \( S_2[F[j]][i] \leftarrow S_2[F[j]][i] + 1 \)

We will analyze running time on \( p \) processing elements.

\[
T'_p(n, r) = \Theta \left( \log(p + 1) + 2^r + \frac{n}{p} \right) \quad [L: 2-5]
\]
\[
+ \Theta(2^r \log^2(p + 1)) \quad [L: 6-7]
\]
\[
+ \Theta \left( \log(p + 1) + 2^r + \frac{n}{p} \right) \quad [L: 8-15]
\]
\[
= \Theta \left( \frac{n}{p} + 2^r \log^2(p + 1) \right)
\]
Radix Sort with Ranking Using Counting Sort

**Input:** An array $A$ of $n$ keys, each represented as a $b$ bit integer.

**Output:** Array $A$ with its keys sorted in non-decreasing order. The output is *stable* meaning keys of equal value retain their input order.

```
Par-Radix-Sort-with-Counting-Rank ( A, n, b )
2. $r \leftarrow \lceil \log( n / ( p \log n ) ) \rceil$
3. for $k \leftarrow 0$ to $b - 1$ by $r$
do
4. $q \leftarrow ( k + r \leq b ) \, ? \, r : ( b - k )$
5. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n$
do
6. $F[i] \leftarrow EXTRACT-BIT-SEGMENT( A[i], k, k + q - 1 )$
7. $Par-Counting-Rank ( F, n, q, S )$
8. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n$
do
9. $B[S[i]] \leftarrow A[i]$
10. parallel for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n$
do
11. $A[i] \leftarrow B[i]$
```
We assume that \( 1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2 \log n} \),

and \( b = \Theta(\log n) \).

We will analyze running time on \( p \) processing elements.

\[
T_p(n) = \Theta \left( \frac{b}{r} \left( \frac{n}{p} + T'_{p}(n, r) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \Theta \left( \frac{b}{r} \left( \frac{n}{p} + 2^r \log^2(p + 1) \right) \right)
\]

Then \textbf{work:} \( T_1(n) = \Theta \left( \frac{b}{\log n - \log \log n} \left( n + \frac{n}{\log n} \right) \right) = \Theta \left( \frac{bn}{\log n} \right) = O(n) \)

and \textbf{span:} \( T_{\infty}(n) = T \frac{n}{2 \log n} (n) = \Theta(b(\log n + \log^2 n)) = \Theta(b \log^2 n) = O(\log^3 n) \)

Then \textbf{parallelism:} \( \frac{T_1(n)}{T_{\infty}(n)} = \Theta \left( \frac{n}{\log^3 n} \right) \)

\textbf{Radix Sort with Ranking Using Counting Sort}

\[\text{Par-Radix-Sort-with-Counting-Rank}(A, n, b)\]

1. array \( F[1:n], S[1:n], B[1:n] \)
2. \( r \leftarrow \left\lceil \log(n / (p \log n)) \right\rceil \)
3. \( \text{for } k \leftarrow 0 \text{ to } b - 1 \text{ by } r \text{ do} \)
4. \( q \leftarrow (k + r \leq b) ? r : (b - k) \)
5. \( \text{parallel for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \)
6. \( F[i] \leftarrow \text{EXTRACT-BIT-SEGMENT}(A[i], k, k + q - 1) \)
7. \( \text{Par-Counting-Rank}(F, n, q, S) \)
8. \( \text{parallel for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \)
9. \( B[S[i]] \leftarrow A[i] \)
10. \( \text{parallel for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do} \)
11. \( A[i] \leftarrow B[i] \)
Eliminating Priority CW by Sorting (Using Radix Sort with Ranking by Counting Sort)

Input: \( n \) is the number of vertices and \( E \) is the set of edges.
Output: For \( 1 \leq u \leq n \), \( R[ u ] \) is set to the smallest index \( i \) such that \( E[ i ].u = u \).

\[
\text{Par-Simulate-Priority-CW-using-Radix-Sort-2} ( n, E, R )
\]

1. array \( A[ 1 : |E| ] \)
2. \( k \leftarrow \lceil \log |E| \rceil + 1 \)
3. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \( |E| \) do \( A[ i ] \leftarrow \text{SHIFT-LEFT}( E[ i ].u, k ) + i \)
4. \text{Par-Radix-Sort-with-Counting-Rank} ( A, \( |E| \), \( k + \lceil \log n \rceil \) )
5. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \( |E| \) do
6. \( u \leftarrow \text{SHIFT-RIGHT}( A[ i ], k ) \)
7. \( j \leftarrow A[ i ] - \text{SHIFT-LEFT}( u, k ) \)
8. if \( i = 1 \) or \( u \neq \text{SHIFT-RIGHT}( A[ i - 1 ], k ) \) then \( R[ u ] \leftarrow j \)

Assuming, \( m = |E| \). For radix sort \( b = \Theta(\log n) \).

Work: \( \Theta(m) = \Theta(m) \)
Span: \( \Theta(\log^3 n) \)
Randomized Parallel MST with Priority CW

**Input:** $n$ is the number of vertices, $E$ is the set of edges, and $MST[1:|E|]$ are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge $(u, v)$ both $(u, v)$ and $(v, u)$ are included in $E$.

**Output:** For all $i$, $MST[i]$ is set to 1 if edge $E[i]$ is included in the MST.

```plaintext
Par-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW (n, E, MST)
1. array L[1:n], C[1:n], R[1:n]
2. sort the edges in $E$ in non-decreasing order of edge weights
3. parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $L[v] ← v$
4. $F ← (|E| > 0) ? True : False$
5. while $F = True$ do
6.   parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $C[v] ← RANDOM\{Head, Tail\}$
7.   parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do $R[E[i].u] ← i$ (priority: $|E| - i$)
8.   parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do
9.     $u ← E[i].u, v ← E[i].v$
10. if $C[u] = Tail$ and $C[v] = Head$ and $R[u] = i$ then
11.   $L[u] ← v, MST[i] ← 1$
12. parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do $E[i] ← (L[E[i].u], L[E[i].v])$
13. $F ← False$
14. parallel for each $(u, v) ∈ E$ do
15.   if $u ≠ v$ then $F ← True$
```
Randomized Parallel MST w/o Priority CW

**Input:** \( n \) is the number of vertices, \( E \) is the set of edges, and \( MST[1:|E|] \) are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge \((u, v)\) both \((u, v)\) and \((v, u)\) are included in \( E \).

**Output:** For all \( i \), \( MST[i] \) is set to 1 if edge \( E[i] \) is included in the MST.

\[
Par\text{-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW}\ (n, E, MST)
\]

1. **array** \( L[1:n], C[1:n], R[1:n] \)
2. **sort the edges in** \( E \) **in non-decreasing order of edge weights**
3. **parallel for** \( v \leftarrow 1 \) **to** \( n \) **do** \( L[v] \leftarrow v \)
4. \( F \leftarrow (|E| > 0) ? True : False \)
5. **while** \( F = True \) **do**
6. **parallel for** \( v \leftarrow 1 \) **to** \( n \) **do** \( C[v] \leftarrow RANDOM\{Head, Tail\} \)
7. **Par-Simulate-Priority-CW-using-Radix-Sort-2\ (n, E, R)\**
8. **parallel for** \( i \leftarrow 1 \) **to** \(|E|\) **do**
9. \( u \leftarrow E[i].u, v \leftarrow E[i].v \)
10. **if** \( C[u] = Tail \) **and** \( C[v] = Head \) **and** \( R[u] = i \) **then**
11. \( L[u] \leftarrow v, MST[i] \leftarrow 1 \)
12. **parallel for** \( i \leftarrow 1 \) **to** \(|E|\) **do** \( E[i] \leftarrow (L[E[i].u], L[E[i].v]) \)
13. \( F \leftarrow False \)
14. **parallel for each** \((u, v) \in E\) **do**
15. **if** \( u \neq v \) **then** \( F \leftarrow True \)
Randomized Parallel MST w/o Priority CW

Let $n$ = #vertices, and $m$ = #edges in original graph. Then $m \geq n - 1$ as graph is connected.

Expected number of contraction steps, $D = \Theta(\log n)$.

For each contraction step span is $\Theta(\log^2 n)$, and work is $\Theta((n + m) \log n)$.

**Work:**

$$T_1(n, m) = \Theta(m \log n + D(n + m)) = \Theta(m \log n)$$

**Span:**

$$T_\infty(n, m) = \Theta(\log^3 n + D\log^3 n) = \Theta(\log^4 n)$$

**Parallelism:**

$$\frac{T_1(n, m)}{T_\infty(n, m)} = \Theta \left( \frac{m}{\log^2 n} \right)$$
Solution: Use binary search.

- **Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins**
- **Solution:** Use binary search.

![Diagram showing concurrent writes where the leftmost writer wins](image)

- **$B$** (initialized to 0)
- **$P_1$ to $P_8$:** Writers write 1 to $B$
- **$P_9$ to $P_{16}$:** No one writes to $B$
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

Solution: Use binary search.

After stage 1: $B = 1$, and so processors $P_9, \ldots, P_{16}$ are eliminated.
Solution: Use binary search.

\[
B
\]

(initialized to 0)

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

Solution: Use binary search.

\[
B \quad (\text{initialized to 0})
\]

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.
**Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins**

**Solution:** Use binary search.

\[ B \]

(initialized to 0)

0

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.

After stage 2: \( B = 0 \), and so processors \( P_1, \ldots, P_4 \) are eliminated.
**Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins**

**Solution:** Use binary search.

After stage 1: $B = 1$, and so processors $P_9, \ldots, P_{16}$ are eliminated.

After stage 2: $B = 0$, and so processors $P_1, \ldots, P_4$ are eliminated.
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

Solution: Use binary search.

After stage 1: $B = 1$, and so processors $P_9, ..., P_{16}$ are eliminated.

After stage 2: $B = 0$, and so processors $P_1, ..., P_4$ are eliminated.
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

**Solution:** Use binary search.

\[ B \quad (\text{initialized to 0}) \]

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.

After stage 2: \( B = 0 \), and so processors \( P_1, \ldots, P_4 \) are eliminated.

After stage 3: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_7, P_8 \) are eliminated.
**Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins**

**Solution:** Use binary search.

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.

After stage 2: \( B = 0 \), and so processors \( P_1, \ldots, P_4 \) are eliminated.

After stage 3: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_7 \) and \( P_8 \) are eliminated.
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

**Solution:** Use binary search.

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.

After stage 2: \( B = 0 \), and so processors \( P_1, \ldots, P_4 \) are eliminated.

After stage 3: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_7 \) and \( P_8 \) are eliminated.
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

Solution: Use binary search.

\[ B \]
(initialized to 0)

1

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.

After stage 2: \( B = 0 \), and so processors \( P_1, \ldots, P_4 \) are eliminated.

After stage 3: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_7 \) and \( P_8 \) are eliminated.

After stage 4: \( B = 1 \), and so processor \( P_6 \) is eliminated.
Concurrent Writes where the Leftmost Writer Wins

Solution: Use binary search.

After stage 1: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_9, \ldots, P_{16} \) are eliminated.
After stage 2: \( B = 0 \), and so processors \( P_1, \ldots, P_4 \) are eliminated.
After stage 3: \( B = 1 \), and so processors \( P_7 \) and \( P_8 \) are eliminated.
After stage 4: \( B = 1 \), and so processor \( P_6 \) is eliminated.

So processor \( P_5 \) is the leftmost writer.
Eliminating Priority Concurrent Writes from MST

**Input:** $n$ is the number of vertices and $E$ is the set of edges.

**Output:** For $1 \leq u \leq n$, $R[ u ]$ is set to the smallest index $i$ such that $E[ i ].u = u$.

\[\text{Par-Simulate-Priority-CW-using-Binary-Search}( n, E, R )\]

1. *array* $B[ 1 : n ], l[ 1 : n ], h[ 1 : n ], lo[ 1 : n ], hi[ 1 : n ], md[ 1 : n ],$
2. \[\text{parallel for } u \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do } l[ u ] \leftarrow 1, h[ u ] \leftarrow |E|\]
3. \[\text{for } k \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } 1 + \log |E| \text{ do}\]
4. \[\text{parallel for } u \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do } B[ u ] \leftarrow 0, lo[ u ] \leftarrow l[ u ], hi[ u ] \leftarrow h[ u ]\]
5. \[\text{parallel for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } |E| \text{ do}\]
6. \[u \leftarrow E[ i ].u, md[ u ] \leftarrow \lfloor ( lo[ u ] + hi[ u ] ) / 2 \rfloor\]
7. \[\text{if } i \geq lo[ u ] \text{ and } i \leq md[ u ] \text{ then } B[ u ] \leftarrow 1\]
8. \[\text{parallel for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } |E| \text{ do}\]
9. \[u \leftarrow E[ i ].u, md[ u ] \leftarrow \lfloor ( lo[ u ] + hi[ u ] ) / 2 \rfloor\]
10. \[\text{if } B[ u ] = 1 \text{ and } i \geq lo[ u ] \text{ and } i \leq md[ u ] \text{ then } h[ u ] \leftarrow md[ u ]\]
11. \[\text{elif } B[ u ] = 0 \text{ and } i > md[ u ] \text{ and } i \leq hi[ u ] \text{ then } l[ u ] \leftarrow md[ u ] + 1\]
12. \[\text{parallel for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } |E| \text{ do}\]
13. \[u \leftarrow E[ i ].u\]
14. \[\text{if } i = l[ u ] \text{ then } R[ u ] \leftarrow i\]

For each $u \in [ 1, n ]$, and each edge $i$ with $E[ i ].u = u$ and $i$ in the left half of the current active segment for $u$, $B[ u ]$ is set to 1.

The leftmost edge $i$ with $E[ i ].u = u$ writes its index $i$ to $R[ u ]$. 

If $B[ u ] = 1$ then the next active segment of $u$ is set to the left half of its current active segment, otherwise it is set to the right half.

For each $u \in [ 1, n ]$, and each edge $i$ with $E[ i ].u = u$ and $i$ in the left half of the current active segment for $u$, $B[ u ]$ is set to 1.
Eliminating Priority Concurrent Writes from MST

The parallel for loops in lines 2 and 12 perform $O(m + n)$ work and have $\Theta(\log n)$ depth.

The serial for loop in line 3 iterates $\Theta(\log n)$ times with each iteration performing $\Theta(m + n)$ work in $\Theta(\log n)$ depth.

**Work:** $\Theta((n + m) \log n)$

**Span:** $\Theta(\log^2 n)$
Randomized Parallel MST with Priority CW

**Input:** \( n \) is the number of vertices, \( E \) is the set of edges, and \( MST[1:|E|] \) are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge \((u, v)\) both \((u, v)\) and \((v, u)\) are included in \( E \).

**Output:** For all \( i \), \( MST[i] \) is set to 1 if edge \( E[i] \) is included in the MST.

```plaintext
Par-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW (n, E, MST)
1. array \( L[1:n], C[1:n], R[1:n] \)
2. sort the edges in \( E \) in non-decreasing order of edge weights
3. parallel for \( v \leftarrow 1 \) to \( n \) do \( L[v] \leftarrow v \)
4. \( F \leftarrow (|E| > 0) \) ? True : False
5. while \( F = True \) do
6. parallel for \( v \leftarrow 1 \) to \( n \) do \( C[v] \leftarrow \text{RANDOM}\{\text{Head, Tail}\} \)
7. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \(|E|\) do \( R[E[i].u] \leftarrow i \) (priority: \(|E| - i\))
8. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \(|E|\) do
9. \( u \leftarrow E[i].u, v \leftarrow E[i].v \)
10. if \( C[u] = \text{Tail} \) and \( C[v] = \text{Head} \) and \( R[u] = i \) then
11. \( L[u] \leftarrow v, MST[i] \leftarrow 1 \)
12. parallel for \( i \leftarrow 1 \) to \(|E|\) do \( E[i] \leftarrow (L[E[i].u], L[E[i].v]) \)
13. \( F \leftarrow False \)
14. parallel for each \((u, v) \in E\) do
15. if \( u \neq v \) then \( F \leftarrow True \)
```
Randomized Parallel MST w/o Priority CW

**Input:** $n$ is the number of vertices, $E$ is the set of edges, and $MST[ 1 : |E| ]$ are flags with all of them initially set to 0. For every edge $(u, v)$ both $(u, v)$ and $(v, u)$ are included in $E$.

**Output:** For all $i$, $MST[ i ]$ is set to 1 if edge $E[ i ]$ is included in the MST.

```
Par-Randomized-MST-Priority-CW ( n, E, MST )
1. array L[ 1 : n ], C[ 1 : n ], R[ 1 : n ]
2. sort the edges in $E$ in non-decreasing order of edge weights
3. parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $L[ v ] ← v$
4. $F ← ( |E| > 0 )$? True : False
5. while $F = True$ do
6.   parallel for $v ← 1$ to $n$ do $C[ v ] ←$ RANDOM{ Head, Tail }
7.   Par-Simulate-Priority-CW-using-Binary-Search ( n, E, R )
8.   parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do
9.     $u ← E[ i ].u$, $v ← E[ i ].v$
10.    if $C[ u ] = Tail$ and $C[ v ] = Head$ and $R[ u ] = i$ then
11.       $L[ u ] ← v$, $MST[ i ] ← 1$
12.     parallel for $i ← 1$ to $|E|$ do $E[ i ] ← ( L[ E[ i ].u ], L[ E[ i ].v ] )$
13.     $F ← False$
14.     parallel for each $(u, v) ∈ E$ do
15.        if $u ≠ v$ then $F ← True$
```
Let $n = \#\text{vertices, and } m = \#\text{edges in original graph. Then } m \geq n - 1 \text{ as graph is connected.}$

Expected number of contraction steps, $D = \Theta(\log n)$.

For each contraction step span is $\Theta(\log^2 n)$, and work is $\Theta((n + m) \log n)$.

**Work:** $T_1(n, m) = \Theta(m \log n + D(n + m) \log n) = \Theta(m \log^2 n)$

**Span:** $T_\infty(n, m) = \Theta(\log^3 n + D\log^2 n) = \Theta(\log^3 n)$

**Parallelism:** $\frac{T_1(n,m)}{T_\infty(n,m)} = \Theta \left( \frac{m}{\log n} \right)$