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Big Picture of MCC
Key Problem to Solve

• At its core, MCC framework must solve how to partition a program for execution on heterogeneous computing resources

• This is a classic “Task Partitioning Problem”

• Widely studied in processor resource scheduling as “job scheduling problem”
  – But in MCC the assumptions change and often makes it more challenging to solve
Task Partitioning Problem in MCC

Input:
• A call graph representing an application’s method call sequence
• Attributes for each node in the graph denotes (a) energy consumed to execute the method on the mobile device, (b) energy consumed to transfer the program states to a remote server

Output:
• Partition the methods into two sets – one set marks the methods to execute on the mobile device, and the second set marks the methods to execute on cloud

Goals and Constraints:
• The energy consumed must be minimized
• There is a limit on the execution time of the application
• Other constraints could be – some methods must be executed on mobile device, total monetary cost, etc.
Directed Acyclic Graph represents an application Call Graph

- Highlighted nodes must be executed on the mobile device called native tasks (v1, v4, v9)
- Edges represent the sequence of execution
- Any non-highlighted node can be executed either locally on the mobile device or on cloud

Integer Linear Program to solve the Task Partitioning Problem (as used in MAUI)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{maximize} & \quad \sum_{v \in V} I_v \times E_v^l - \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |I_u - I_v| \times C_{u,v} \\
\text{such that:} & \quad \sum_{v \in V} ((1 - I_v) \times T_v^l) + (I_v \times T_v^r)) \\
+ & \quad \sum_{(u,v) \in E} (|I_u - I_v| \times B_{u,v}) \leq L \\
\text{and} & \quad I_v \leq r_v, \forall v \in V
\end{align*}
\]

- A 0-1 integer linear program, where
  \( I_v = 0 \) if method executed locally,
  \( = 1 \) if method executed remotely
- \( E \) is the energy cost to execute method \( v \) locally
- \( C_{u,v} \) is the cost of data transfer
- \( T \) is the time to execute the method
- \( B \) is the time to transfer program state
Use of the Formulation

• Static Partitioning
  – When an application is launched, invoke an ILP solver which will tell where each method should be executed
  – There are also heuristics to find solutions faster

• Dynamic or Adaptive Partitioning
  – For a long running program, the environmental conditions can vary
  – Depending on the input, the energy consumption of a method can vary
  – Should we adapt the partition as the program executes?
Scenarios of Variations

• User moves while using smartphone ➞ network conditions can change
• Another app starts executing ➞ residual energy to execute application changes
• Another user starts using cloud service ➞ load on the cloud server increases slowing down the app
• Connectivity to network is lost ➞ how to handle failures
• Support for variety of mobile devices ➞ energy and time to execute profiles will change
Sources of Variation and QoE

- Energy Consumption
- Completion Time
- Security
- Monetary Cost
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Applications
- Concurrency
- Multi-tasking
- Real-time Constraints

Network
- Channel Error
  - Multiple Interfaces
  - Bandwidth Variation
  - Intermittent Connectivity
  - Latency Variation
  - User Mobility

Execution Platform
- Mobile Processor
- Coprocessor
- Energy/Time Profile
- Cloud Architecture
Sources of Variation in Operating Environment

- **App Concurrency:**
  - Smartphone apps are concurrent – task-level (independent tasks) or data-level (streaming apps)

- **Simultaneous apps:**
  - Presence of foreground and background apps

- **Real-time constraints:**
  - Imposes constraints on task completion

- **Network variations:**
  - Bandwidth: signal quality varies leading to changing bandwidth
  - Connectivity: cannot have guaranteed connectivity
  - Latency: The routes to the server can change, as well as, congestion on the path
  - User mobility: Can lead to change in network conditions
  - Multiple interfaces: Choice of interface can change communication properties
Sources of Variation in Operating Environment

• Heterogeneous Operating Platforms
  – Mobile Processors: Smartphones can have 2 to 8 processors, can implement dynamic voltage and frequency scaling
  – Coprocessors: GPUs are present in many smartphones \( \Rightarrow \) does it help to use the GPUs
  – Energy and Time Profile: Hardware components vary in characteristics \( \Rightarrow \) individual profiles are required
  – Cloud Architecture: Different hierarchy of cloud architecture is possible
How to evaluate MCC performance

• Energy Consumption
  – Must reduce energy usage and extend battery life

• Time to Completion
  – Should not take longer to finish the application compared to local execution

• Monetary Cost
  – Cost of network usage and server usage must be optimized

• Security
  – As offloading transfers data to the servers, ensure confidentiality and privacy of data ➔ how to identify methods which process confidential data
### Comparative View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offloading solutions in MCC systems</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Execution Platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrency</td>
<td>Multi-tasking</td>
<td>Real-time Constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation-based Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gu et al. [18]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAUI [1]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misco [19]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odessa [20]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOS [21]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serendipity [22]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. [23]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eom et al. [26]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDA [27]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM [28]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSMOS [29]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al. [31]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermes [32]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offloading solutions in MCC systems</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Execution Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concurrency</td>
<td>Multi-tasking</td>
<td>Real-time Constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation-based Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fesehaye et al. [33]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MapCloud [34]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balakrishnan and Tham [35]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARMS [36]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. [37]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al. [38]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MuSIC [39]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile fog [40]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreseeer [41]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. [15]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al. [42]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact Analysis of the Parameters

• In real implementation, it is difficult to create controlled experiments to study the impact of individual parameters

• Perform a simulation based analysis, but model all the parameters into the simulation model, using different constraints
  – Precedence: maintaining the DAG ordering
  – Concurrency: degree of parallelism
  – Execution time: time budget
  – Deadline: real time restrictions
  – Energy budget: total energy to be saved
Impact of parallelism

With increasing number of threads, both execution time and energy consumed increases.

But, Execution time variation is much lower as the time to migrate data is overlapped with execution.
Impact of Cloud Processor Speed

- If bandwidth is low, then there is little impact of a faster cloud server
  - Low network bandwidth leads to longer use of network card, and higher energy consumption

- If the bandwidth is high, then the benefit of faster cloud server is evident
At lower bandwidths, RTT delay has relatively low impact on execution time.

At high bandwidth, the RTT is higher than the actual transmission time, while it is opposite for low bandwidth ➔ so at low bandwidth, there is relatively low impact of RTT.
Open Questions

• How can one design a practical and usable MCC framework
  – System as well as partitioning algorithm

• Is there a scalable algorithm for partitioning
  – Optimization formulations are NP-hard
  – Heuristics fail to give any performance guarantee

• If all parameters cannot be considered, which are the most relevant parameters to consider in design of MCC systems


