Homework #4

Task 1. [60 Points] Jugs and Glasses

You have an infinite supply of water in one liter jugs $(J_1, J_2, ...)$, each of which is full of water. You will have to fill a finite number of glasses with water from the jugs. Say, there are n > 0 such glasses, and for $1 \le i \le n$, the capacity of the *i*-th glass is $g_i \in (0, 1]$ liter. Each glass must be filled to the brim from exactly one jug. What is the minimum number of jugs needed to fill all glasses?

```
FILL-GLASSES(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)
```

```
Input: Capacities (in liter) of n > 0 glasses: g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n, where for 1 \le i \le n, g_i \in (0, 1].
Output: Number m of one liter jugs needed to fill all glasses to the brim, where m is not necessarily optimal. Each glass must be completely filled using water from exactly one jug.
```

1. $m \leftarrow 0$, $c \leftarrow 0$ 2. for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n do 3. if $c < g_i$ then 4. $m \leftarrow m + 1$, $c \leftarrow 1$ 5. $c \leftarrow c - g_i$ 6. return m

Figure 1: Filling glasses with water.

- (a) [**45 Points**] Consider the algorithm given in Figure 1 for solving the "Jugs and Glasses" problem. Prove that the number of jugs returned by the algorithm is within a factor of 2 of optimal.
- (b) [15 Points] Give an example to show that the bound you proved in part (a) is tight.

Task 2. [140 Points] Reducing Unhappiness

Your task is to divide $n \ge 2$ people into two disjoint groups in order to reduce their unhappiness as explained below.

We identify each person by a unique integer between 1 and n. For $1 \le i \ne j \le n$, we say that $\langle i, j \rangle$ is an unhappy pair provided person i does not like to be in the same group as person j, and vice versa. The unhappiness score of a group is given by the number of unhappy pairs in that group, and the unhappiness score of a collection of groups is the sum of the unhappiness scores of all groups in that collection.

You will be given the set P of all m unhappy pairs among the given n people, where $0 \le m \le \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

DETERMINISTIC-REDUCTION-OF-UNHAPPINESS(n, m, P) **Input:** Number of people n, number of unhappy pairs m, and set P of all m unhappy pairs. **Output:** Returns two groups G_1 and G_2 such that $|G_1| + |G_2| = n$, $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, and the reduction in the unhappiness score due to this grouping is within a factor of 2 of optimal. 1. Let G_1 be an arbitrary subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and let $G_2 \leftarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus G_1$ 2. $done \leftarrow False$ 3. while done = FALSE do 4. if $\exists x \in G_1$ such that moving x from G_1 to G_2 reduces total unhappiness score of G_1 and G_2 then 5. move x from G_1 to G_2 6. elif $\exists y \in G_2$ such that moving y from G_2 to G_1 reduces total unhappiness score of G_1 and G_2 then 7. move y from G_2 to G_1 8. else9. $done \leftarrow \texttt{TRUE}$ 10. endwhile 11. return $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$

Figure 2: Deterministic algorithm for reducing unhappiness.

Let G_1 and G_2 be the two groups you have created with unhappiness score m_1 and m_2 , respectively. Let $\Delta = m - (m_1 + m_2)$. Your goal is to make Δ as large as possible.

- (a) [10 Points] Argue that Δ can never be negative, and that $\Delta > 0$ provided m > 0.
- (b) [20 Points] Argue that the *while* loop in lines 3–10 of DETERMINISTIC-REDUCTION-OF-UNHAPPINESS given in Figure 2 iterates at most m times, and as a result the algorithm runs in time polynomial in n.
- (c) [40 Points] Prove that the Δ value corresponding to sets G_1 and G_2 returned by DETERMINISTIC-REDUCTION-OF-UNHAPPINESS is within a factor of 2 of optimal.
- (d) [10 Points] Argue that the solution returned by RANDOMIZED-REDUCTION-OF-UNHAPPINESS given in Figure 3 is within a factor of 2 of optimal.
- (e) [**60 Points**] Show that the expected running time of RANDOMIZED-REDUCTION-OF-UNHAPPINESS is polynomial in n. Find a high probability (upper) bound (w.r.t. n) on the running time of the algorithm.

Randomized-Reduction-of-Unhappiness ($n,\ m,\ P$)

Input: Number of people n, number of unhappy pairs m, and set P of all m unhappy pairs. **Output:** Returns two groups G_1 and G_2 such that $|G_1| + |G_2| = n$, $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, and the reduction in the unhappiness score due to this grouping is within a factor of 2 of optimal.

```
1. done \leftarrow False
```

```
2. while done = FALSE do
```

```
3. G_1 \leftarrow \emptyset
```

```
4. for x \leftarrow 1 to n do
```

```
5. set G_1 \leftarrow G_1 \cup \{x\} with probability \frac{1}{2}
```

```
6. G_2 \leftarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus G_1
```

```
7. m_1 \leftarrow \text{unhappiness score of } G_1
```

```
8. m_2 \leftarrow \text{unhappiness score of } G_2
```

```
9. if m_1 + m_2 \leq \frac{m}{2} then
```

```
10. done \leftarrow \text{TRUE}
```

```
11. endwhile
```

```
12. return \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle
```

Figure 3: Randomized algorithm for reducing unhappiness.