Signals and Inter-Process Communication

Don Porter

Housekeeping
• Paper reading assigned for next Thurs
  • (Class after midterm)

Logical Diagram

Last time...
• We’ve discussed how the OS schedules the CPU
  • And how to block a process on a resource (disk, network)

• Today:
  • How do processes block on each other?
  • And more generally communicate?

Outline
• Signals
  • Overview and APIs
  • Handlers
  • Kernel-level delivery
  • Interrupted system calls
• Interprocess Communication (IPC)
  • Pipes and FIFOs
  • System V IPC
  • Windows Analogs

What is a signal?
• Like an interrupt, but for applications
  • <64 numbers with specific meanings
  • A process can raise a signal to another process or thread
  • A process or thread registers a handler function
• For both IPC and delivery of hardware exceptions
  • Application-level handlers: divzero, segfaults, etc.
• No “message” beyond the signal was raised
  • And maybe a little metadata
    • PID of sender, faulting address, etc.
    • But platform-specific (non-portable)
Example

```c
int main() {
    signal(SIGUSR1, &usr_handler);
    ...
}
```

Register `usr_handler()` to handle SIGUSR1

Example

```c
int main() {
    ...
    kill(300, SIGUSR1);
}
```

Send signal to PID 300

Basic Model

- Application registers handlers with signal or `sigaction`
- Send signals with `kill` and friends
  - Or raised by hardware exception handlers in kernel
- Signal delivery jumps to signal handler
  - Irregular control flow, similar to an interrupt

API names are admittedly confusing

Signal Types

- See man 7 signal for the full list: (varies by sys/arch)
  - SIGTSTP – 1 – Stop typed at terminal (Ctrl+Z)
  - SIGKILL – 9 – Kill a process, for realzies
  - SIGSEGV – 11 – Segmentation fault
  - SIGPIPE – 13 – Broken pipe (write with no readers)
  - SIGALRM – 14 – Timer
  - SIGUSR1 – 10 – User-defined signal 1
  - SIGCHLD – 17 – Child stopped or terminated
  - SIGSTOP – 19 – Stop a process
  - SIGCONT – 18 – Continue if stopped

Language Exceptions

- Signals are the underlying mechanism for Exceptions and catch blocks
- JVM or other runtime system sets signal handlers
  - Signal handler causes execution to jump to the catch block

Signal Handler Control Flow

From Understanding the Linux Kernel
Alternate Stacks
- Signal handlers execute on a different stack than program execution.
  - Why?
    - Safety: App can ensure stack is actually mapped
    - Avoid assumptions about application not using space below esp
    - Set with signalstack() system call
- Like an interrupt handler, kernel pushes register state on interrupt stack
  - Return to kernel with sigreturn() system call
  - App can change its own on-stack register state!

Nested Signals
- What happens when you get a signal in the signal handler?
- And why should you care?

The Problem with Nesting
```c
int main() {
    /* ... */
    signal(SIGINT, &handler);
    signal(SIGTERM, &handler);
    /* ... */
}
int handler() {
    free(buf1);
    free(buf2);
}
```

Nested Signals
- The original signal() specification was a total mess!
  - Now deprecated—do not use!
- New sigaction() API lets you specify this in detail
  - What signals are blocked (and delivered on sigreturn)
  - Similar to disabling hardware interrupts
- As you might guess, blocking system calls inside of a signal handler are only safe with careful use of sigaction()

Application vs. Kernel
- App: signals appear to be delivered roughly immediately
- Kernel (lazy):
  - Send a signal == mark a pending signal in the task
  - And make runnable if blocked with TASK_INTERRUPTIBLE flag
  - Check pending signals on return from interrupt or syscall
  - Deliver if pending

Example
```c
int main() {
    mark pending signal, Block unblock read;
    int read();
    SIGUSR1);
}
int usr_handler() { ... }
```
Send signal to PID 300
Interrupted System Calls

- If a system call blocks in the INTERRUPTIBLE state, a signal wakes it up
- Yet signals are delivered on return from a system call
- How is this resolved?
- The system call fails with a special error code
  - EINTR and friends
  - Many system calls transparently retry after sigreturn
  - Some do not – check for EINTR in your applications!

Default handlers

- Signals have default handlers:
  - Ignore, kill, suspend, continue, dump core
  - These execute inside the kernel
- Installing a handler with signal/sigaction overrides the default
- A few (SIGKILL) cannot be overridden

Default handlers

- Signals have default handlers:
  - Ignore, kill, suspend, continue, dump core
  - These execute inside the kernel
- Installing a handler with signal/sigaction overrides the default
- A few (SIGKILL) cannot be overridden

RT Signals

- Default signals are only in 2 states: signaled or not
  - If I send 2 SIGUSR1’s to a process, only one may be delivered
  - If system is slow and I furiously hit Ctrl+C over and over, only one SIGINT delivered
- Real time (RT) signals keep a count
  - Deliver one signal for each one sent

Signal Summary

- Abstraction like hardware interrupts
  - Some care must be taken to block other interrupts
  - Easy to write buggy handlers and miss EINTR
- Understand control flow from application and kernel perspective
- Understand basic APIs

Other IPC

- Pipes, Sockets, and FIFOs
- System V IPC
- Windows comparison

Pipes

- Stream of bytes between two processes
- Read and write like a file handle
  - But not anywhere in the hierarchical file system
  - And not persistent
  - And no cursor or seek()-ing
  - Actually, 2 handles: a read handle and a write handle
- Primarily used for parent/child communication
  - Parent creates a pipe, child inherits it
Example

```c
int pipe_fd[2];
int rv = pipe(pipe_fd);
int pid = fork();
if (pid == 0) {
    close(pipe_fd[1]); // Close unused write end
    dup2(pipe_fd[0], 0); // Make the read end stdin
    exec("grep", "quack");
} else {
    close (pipe_fd[0]); // Close unused read end
```

FIFOs (aka Named Pipes)

- Existing pipes can't be opened—only inherited
  - Or passed over a Unix Domain Socket (beyond today's lec)
- FIFOs, or Named Pipes, add an interface for opening existing pipes

Sockets

- Similar to pipes, except for network connections
- Setup and connection management is a bit trickier
  - A topic for another day (or class)

Select

- What if I want to block until one of several handles has data ready to read?
- Read will block on one handle, but perhaps miss data on a second...
- Select will block a process until a handle has data available
  - Useful for applications that use pipes, sockets, etc.

Synthesis Example: The Shell

- Almost all 'commands' are really binaries
  - `/bin/ls`
- Key abstraction: Redirection over pipes
  - `>`, `<`, and `|` implemented by the shell itself

Shell Example

- Ex: `ls | grep foo`
- Implementation sketch:
  - Shell parses the entire string
  - Sets up chain of pipes
  - Forks and exec's `ls` and `grep` separately
  - Wait on output from `grep`, print to console
Job control in a shell

• Shell keeps its own “scheduler” for background processes
• How to:
  – Put a process in the background?
    • SIGTSTP handler catches Ctrl-Z
    • Send SIGSTOP to current foreground child
  – Resume execution (fg)?
    • Send SIGCONT to paused child, use waitpid() to block until finished
  – Execute in background (bg)?
    • Send SIGCONT to paused child, but block on terminal input

Other hints

• Splice(), tee(), and similar calls are useful for connecting pipes together
  – Avoids copying data into and out-of application

System V IPC

• Semaphores – Lock
• Message Queues – Like a mail box, “small” messages
• Shared Memory – particularly useful
  – A region of non-COW anonymous memory
  – Map at a given address using shmat()
• Can persist longer than an application
  – Must be explicitly deleted
  – Can leak at system level
  – But cleared after a reboot

System V Keys and IDs

• Programmers pick arbitrary 32-bit keys
  – Use these keys to name shared abstractions
• Find a key using shmget(), msgget(), etc.
  – Kernel internally maps key to a 32-bit ID

Windows Comparison

• Hardware exceptions are treated separately from IPC
  – Upcalls to ntdll.dll (libc equivalent), to call handlers
• All IPC types can be represented as handles
  – Process termination/suspend/resume signaled with process handles
  – Signals can be an Event handle
  – Semaphores and Mutexes have handles
  – Shared memory equally complicated (but still handles)
• Single select()-like API to wait on a handle to be signaled

Summary

• Understand signals
• Understand high-level properties of pipes and other Unix IPC abstractions
  – High-level comparison with Windows