

# Lecture 4: One Way Functions - II

Instructor: Omkant Pandey

Spring 2017 (CSE 594)

# Last Class

- Modeling adversaries as non-uniform PPT Turing machines
- Negligible and noticeable functions
- Definitions of strong and weak OWFs
- Factoring assumption
- Candidate weak OWF  $f_x$  based on factoring assumption

# Today's Class

- Proving  $f_x$  is a weak OWF
- Yao's hardness amplification: from weak to strong OWFs
- Volunteer for today's scribes?

# Recall

## Definition (Weak One Way Function)

A function  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  is a *weak one-way function* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- **Easy to compute:** there is a PPT algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,

$$\Pr [\mathcal{C}(x) = f(x)] = 1.$$

- **Somewhat hard to invert:** there is a **noticeable** function  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for every non-uniform PPT  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\Pr \left[ x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n, x' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^n, f(x)) : f(x') \neq f(x) \right] \geq \varepsilon(n).$$

**Noticeable (or non-negligible):**  $\exists c$  s.t. for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon(n) \geq \frac{1}{n^c}$ .

## Recall (contd.)

- Multiplication function  $f_{\times} : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ :

$$f_{\times}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } x = 1 \vee y = 1 \\ x \cdot y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Theorem

*Assuming the factoring assumption, function  $f_{\times}$  is a weak OWF.*

## Proof Idea

- Let GOOD be the set of inputs  $(x, y)$  to  $f_{\times}$  s.t. both  $x$  and  $y$  are prime numbers
- When  $(x, y) \in \text{GOOD}$ , adversary cannot invert  $f_{\times}(x, y)$  (due to hardness of factoring)
- Suppose adversary inverts with probability 1 when  $(x, y) \notin \text{GOOD}$
- But if  $\Pr[(x, y) \in \text{GOOD}]$  is noticeable, then overall, adversary can only invert with a bounded noticeable probability
- Formally: let  $q(n) = 8n^2$ . Will show that no non-uniform PPT adversary can invert  $f_{\times}$  with probability greater than  $1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}$

# Proof via Reduction

**Goal:** Given an adversary  $A$  that breaks weak one-wayness of  $f_x$  with probability *at least*  $1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}$ , we will construct an adversary  $B$  that breaks the factoring assumption with non-negligible probability

**Adversary  $B(z)$ :**

- 1  $x, y \xleftarrow{\$} 0, 1^n$
- 2 If  $x$  and  $y$  are primes, then  $z' = z$
- 3 Else,  $z' = x \cdot y$
- 4  $w \leftarrow A(1^n, z')$
- 5 Output  $w$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are primes

## Analysis of $B$ :

- Since  $A$  is non-uniform PPT, so is  $B$  (using polynomial-time primality testing)
- $A$  fails to invert with probability at most  $\frac{1}{q(n)} = \frac{1}{8n^2}$
- $B$  fails to pass  $z$  to  $A$  with probability at most  $1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}$  (by Chebyshev's Thm.)
- Union bound:  $B$  fails with probability at most  $1 - \frac{1}{8n^2}$
- $B$  succeeds with probability at least  $\frac{1}{8n^2}$ : **Contradiction to factoring assumption!**



# Weak to Strong OWFs

## Theorem (Yao)

*Strong OWFs exist if and only weak OWFs exist*

- This is called **hardness amplification**: convert a somewhat hard problem into a really hard problem
- Intuition: Use the weak OWF *many* times
- Think: Is  $f(f(\dots f(x)))$  a good idea?
  - Hint 1: what happens when  $f$  is not injective?
  - Hint 2:  $f$  could behave strangely on special inputs.

## Weak to Strong OWFs

- GOOD inputs: hard to invert, BAD inputs: easy to invert
- A OWF is weak when the fraction of BAD inputs is **noticeable**
- In a strong OWF, the fraction of BAD inputs is **negligible**
- To convert weak OWF to strong, use the weak OWF on **many** (say  $N$ ) inputs independently
- In order to successfully invert the new OWF, adversary must invert ALL the  $N$  outputs of the weak OWF
- If  $N$  is sufficiently large and the inputs are chosen independently at random, you'll hit one of the “hard to invert” inputs with high probability.
- $\Rightarrow$  the probability of inverting all of them will be very small

# Weak to Strong OWFs

## Theorem

For any weak one-way function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , there exists a polynomial  $N(\cdot)$  s.t. the function  $F : \{0, 1\}^{n \cdot N(n)} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n \cdot N(n)}$  defined as

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{N(n)}) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{N(n)}))$$

is strongly one-way.

- Think: Show that when  $f$  is the  $f_x$  function, then  $F$  is a strong one-way function

# Proof

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, there exists a polynomial  $q(\cdot)$  s.t. every efficient  $A$  fails to invert  $f$  with at least  $1/q(n)$  probability. I.e.,

$$\Pr_x [A \text{ fails on } f(x)] \geq \frac{1}{q(n)}$$

# Proof

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, there exists a polynomial  $q(\cdot)$  s.t. every efficient  $A$  fails to invert  $f$  with at least  $1/q(n)$  probability. I.e.,

$$\Pr_x [A \text{ fails on } f(x)] \geq \frac{1}{q(n)}$$

# Proof

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, there exists a polynomial  $q(\cdot)$  s.t. every efficient  $A$  fails to invert  $f$  with at least  $1/q(n)$  probability. I.e.,

$$\Pr_x [A \text{ fails on } f(x)] \geq \frac{1}{q(n)}$$

# Proof

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, there exists a polynomial  $q(\cdot)$  s.t. every efficient  $A$  fails to invert  $f$  with at least  $1/q(n)$  probability. I.e.,

$$\underbrace{\Pr_x [A \text{ fails on } f(x)]}_{\alpha := \alpha(n)} \geq \frac{1}{q(n)}$$

# Proof

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, there exists a polynomial  $q(\cdot)$  s.t. every efficient  $A$  fails to invert  $f$  with at least  $1/q(n)$  probability. I.e.,

$$\underbrace{\Pr_x [A \text{ fails on } f(x)]}_{\alpha := \alpha(n)} \geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{q(n)}}_{q := q(n)}$$



# Proof

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, there exists a polynomial  $q(\cdot)$  s.t. every efficient  $A$  fails to invert  $f$  with at least  $1/q(n)$  probability. I.e.,

$$\underbrace{\Pr_x [A \text{ fails on } f(x)]}_{\alpha := \alpha(n)} \geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{q(n)}}_{q := q(n)} \implies \alpha \geq \frac{1}{q} \quad (1)$$

- Main idea: large  $N$  should almost always hit a “hard to invert  $x$ ”
- Choose  $N$  s.t.  $\alpha^N = \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^N$  is small. Let:

$$N = 2nq \implies \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{2nq} \approx e^{-2n}$$

## Proof (continued)

- Now assume by contradiction that  $F$  is not a strong OWF.
- $\exists$  efficient adversary  $B$  and a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  s.t.

$$\Pr_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)] \geq \frac{1}{p(n \cdot N)}$$

## Proof (continued)

- Now assume by contradiction that  $F$  is not a strong OWF.
- $\exists$  efficient adversary  $B$  and a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  s.t.

$$\Pr_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)] \geq \frac{1}{p(n \cdot N)}$$

## Proof (continued)

- Now assume by contradiction that  $F$  is not a strong OWF.
- $\exists$  efficient adversary  $B$  and a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  s.t.

$$\Pr_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)] \geq \frac{1}{p(n \cdot N)}$$

## Proof (continued)

- Now assume by contradiction that  $F$  is not a strong OWF.
- $\exists$  efficient adversary  $B$  and a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  s.t.

$$\underbrace{\Pr_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)]}_{\beta := \beta(n \cdot N)} \geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{p(n \cdot N)}}_{p := p(n \cdot N)}$$

## Proof (continued)

- Now assume by contradiction that  $F$  is not a strong OWF.
- $\exists$  efficient adversary  $B$  and a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  s.t.

$$\underbrace{\Pr_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)]}_{\beta := \beta(n \cdot N)} \geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{p(n \cdot N)}}_{p := p(n \cdot N)} \implies \beta \geq \frac{1}{p} \quad (2)$$

## Proof (continued)

- Now assume by contradiction that  $F$  is not a strong OWF.
- $\exists$  efficient adversary  $B$  and a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  s.t.

$$\underbrace{\Pr_{(x_1, \dots, x_N)} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)]}_{\beta := \beta(n \cdot N)} \geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{p(n \cdot N)}}_{p := p(n \cdot N)} \implies \beta \geq \frac{1}{p} \quad (2)$$

- Think: How to use  $B$  to contradict that  $f$  is weak one-way?
  - Build an adversary  $A$  that uses  $B$  to break  $f$  with prob.

$$\alpha < 1/q.$$

- Feed  $(y, \dots, y)$  to  $B$ ?
- Feed  $(y, y_2, \dots, y_N)$  to  $B$  where each  $y_i = f(x_i)$  for a random  $x_i$ ?
- Feed  $y$  in a random location  $i$  to balance out probabilities.

# Adversary $A$ for inverting $f$

## Adversary $A_0(y)$ :

---

- 1 Choose  $i \xleftarrow{\$} [N]$  and set  $y_i = y$
  - 2  $\forall j \neq i$ , sample  $x_j \in \{0, 1\}^N$  and set  $y_j = f(x_j)$
  - 3 Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_N) \leftarrow B(y_1, \dots, y_n)$
  - 4 If  $f(z_i) = y$ , output  $z_i$ , else output  $\perp$ .
- 

How good is  $A_0$ ?

- Good but not good enough to give  $\alpha < 1/q$ .
- Good: even for each **fixed**  $y$ ,  $B$  still gets somewhat random inputs.
- Idea: run  $A_0$  many times to improve chances for inverting  $y$ !

## Main Adversary $A(y)$ :

---

- 1 Run  $A_0(y)$  for  $T := T(n)$  times  $= 4n^2 \times q(n) \times p(n \cdot N)$ .
- 2 Output the first non- $\perp$  answer



# Proof (continued, Analysis of $A_0$ )

## Analysis of $A_0$

- Why  $A_0$  is not good enough?  
...because for some  $y$ ,  $B$  may have too low a chance of inverting.
- There can be many such  $y$ ; we show they can't be too many!
- Let  $\text{BAD} =$  set of inputs  $x$  s.t.  $A_0$  has low chance of inverting  $f(x)$ .

$$\text{BAD} := \left\{ x \mid \Pr_{A_0}[A_0 \text{ inverts } f(x)] < \text{low} \right\}$$

- The lower the RHS the smaller will be the size of  $\text{BAD}$ .
- Want to pick this chance so that  $\Pr_x[x \in \text{BAD}] < 1/2q$ . Let:

$$\text{BAD} := \left\{ x \mid \Pr_{A_0}[A_0 \text{ inverts } f(x)] < \frac{1}{4npq} \right\}$$

# Proof (continued): Analysis of $A_0$

## Lemma

$$\Pr_x[x \in \text{BAD}] < \frac{1}{2q}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose not, i.e.,  $\Pr_x[x \in \text{BAD}] > \alpha/2 \geq 1/2q$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_N} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N)] \\ &= \Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_N} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N) \wedge (\forall i : x_i \notin \text{BAD})] + \\ &\quad \Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_N} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N) \wedge (\exists i : x_i \in \text{BAD})] \\ &\leq \Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_N} [\forall i : x_i \notin \text{BAD}] + \sum_i \Pr_{x_1, \dots, x_N} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N) \wedge (x_i \in \text{BAD})] \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q}\right)^N + N \cdot \Pr_{i, x_1, \dots, x_N} [B \text{ inverts } F(x_1, \dots, x_N) \wedge (x_i \in \text{BAD})] \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q}\right)^{2nq} + N \cdot \Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n, B} [A \text{ inverts } f(x) \wedge (x \in \text{BAD})] \end{aligned}$$

## Proof (continued): Analysis of $A_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q}\right)^{2nq} + N \cdot \Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n, B} [A \text{ inverts } f(x) \wedge (x \in \text{BAD})] \\ &\leq e^{-n} + N \cdot \Pr[x \in \text{BAD}] \cdot \Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n, B} [A \text{ inverts } f(x) | x \in \text{BAD}] \\ &\leq e^{-n} + 2nq \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{4npq} = e^{-n} + \frac{1}{2p} < \frac{1}{2p} + \frac{1}{2p} \\ \implies \beta &< \frac{1}{p}. \quad (\text{Contradicts (2)}). \quad (\text{QED})\end{aligned}$$

## Proof (continued): Analysis of $A$

Failure probability of main adversary:  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \Pr_{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [A \text{ fails to invert } f(x)] \\ &= \Pr_x [x \in \text{BAD}] \cdot \Pr_x [A \text{ fails to invert } f(x) | x \in \text{BAD}] + \\ &\quad \Pr_x [x \notin \text{BAD}] \cdot \Pr_x [A \text{ fails to invert } f(x) | x \notin \text{BAD}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2q} \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot \left( \Pr_{A_0} [A_0 \text{ fails to invert } f(x) | x \notin \text{BAD}] \right)^T \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2q} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4npq} \right)^{4pqn^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2q} + e^{-n} < 1/q. \quad (\text{contradicts (1)}) \quad \text{QED.}\end{aligned}$$

$\implies f$  is not a weak OWF if  $F$  is not a strong OWF.