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| Lecture | Topic |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Intro, schedule, and logistics |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Data Science components and tasks | Project \#1 out |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Data types |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Introduction to R, statistics foundations |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Introduction to D3, visual analytics |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Data preparation and reduction | Project \#1 due |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Data preparation and reduction | Project \#2 out |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Similarity and distances |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Similarity and distances |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Cluster analysis | Project \#2 due |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Cluster analysis |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Pattern miming |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Pattern mining |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Outlier analysis |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Outlier analysis |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Classifiers |  |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Midterm |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Classifiers |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Optimization and model fitting |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Optimization and model fitting | Final Project preliminary report due |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Causal modeling |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Streaming data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Text data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Time series data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Graph data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Scalability and data engineering | Final Project slides and final report due |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Data journalism |  |
|  | Final project presentation |  |

## NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

$\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left[\mathbf{x}-\overline{\mathbf{x}]^{2}}\right.}{\mathbf{n}}}$
$\sigma=$ standard deviation
$\sum=$ sum of
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}=$ each value in the data set
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}=$ mean of all values in the data set
$\mathbf{n}=$ number of value in the data set


## CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

## Important relationship

- sample mean is normal distributed, too
- the standard error of these means is

$$
s=\sqrt{s^{2}} \propto \frac{\sim 1}{\sqrt{n}} \quad(\text { for large } n)
$$

So the more data samples you have

- the smaller the sample deviation
- the closer the sample mean $\bar{x}$ is to the true mean $\mu$


## T-DISTRIBUTION

When n is small the distribution tails are more expressed

- this is captured by the t-distribution
- once n gets large the t -distribution resembles the normal distribution

$v=$ degree of freedom $=n-1$


## CHI SQUARE DISTRIBUTION

## Written as $\chi^{2}$

Special case of the $\Gamma$ (gamma) distribution

$$
Q=\sum_{i=1}^{k} Z_{i}^{2},
$$

Cumulative distribution function Q of the square of a random variable Z

- k is the degree of freedom = number of samples
- probability density function



## REGRESSION



Regression is the attempt to explain the variation in a dependent variable using the variation in independent variables.

Regression is thus an explanation of causation.
If the independent variable(s) sufficiently explain the variation in the dependent variable, the model can be used for prediction.

## LINEAR REGRESSION



The output of a regression is a function that predicts the dependent variable based upon values of the independent variables.

Simple regression fits a straight line to the data.

## CALCULATIONS

Consider the linear model: $\quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j} \beta_{j}=y_{i},(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$,
In matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{y}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1 n} \\
X_{21} & X_{22} & \cdots & X_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
X_{m 1} & X_{m 2} & \cdots & X_{m n}
\end{array}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{1} \\
\beta_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{m}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Error of the model:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\arg \min } S(\boldsymbol{\beta}),
$$

where the objective function $S$ is given by

$$
S(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|y_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j} \beta_{j}\right|^{2}=\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}\|^{2}
$$

Find the coefficients using least squares optimization

$$
\widehat{\beta_{1}}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \text { and } \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y}-\widehat{\beta}_{1} \bar{x}
$$

## LINEAR REGRESSION



The function will make a prediction for each observed data point.
The observation is denoted by y and the prediction is denoted by y .

## LINEAR REGRESSION



For each observation, the variation can be described as:


## REGRESSION



Independent variable (x)
A least squares regression selects the line with the lowest total sum of squared prediction errors.
This value is called the Sum of Squares of Error, or SSE.

## CALCULATING SSR



The Sum of Squares Regression (SSR) is the sum of the squared differences between the prediction for each observation and the population mean.

## Regression Formulas

The Total Sum of Squares (SST) is equal to SSR + SSE.

Mathematically,
$\operatorname{SSR}=\sum(\hat{y}-\bar{y})^{2}$ (measure of explained variation)

SSE $=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2}$ (measure of unexplained variation)
SST $=\mathrm{SSR}+\mathrm{SSE}=\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2} \quad$ (measure of total variation in y$)$

Coefficient of determination (0...1)

- goodness of fit

$$
R^{2} \equiv 1-\frac{S S_{\mathrm{res}}}{S S_{\mathrm{tot}}} .
$$

## HYPOTHESIS TESTING

next 17 slides adapted from L. Scott MacKenzie Human Computer Interaction

## What is Hypothesis Testing?

- ... the use of statistical procedures to answer research questions
- Typical research question (generic):

Is the time to complete a task less using Method $A$ than using Method B?

- For hypothesis testing, research questions are statements:

There is no difference in the mean time to complete a task using Method A vs. Method B.

- This is the null hypothesis (assumption of "no difference")
- Statistical procedures seek to reject or accept the null hypothesis (details to follow)


## Analysis of Variance

- The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is the most widely used statistical test for hypothesis testing in factorial experiments
- Goal $\rightarrow$ determine if an independent variable has a significant effect on a dependent variable
- Remember, an independent variable has at least two levels (test conditions)
- Goal (put another way) $\rightarrow$ determine if the test conditions yield different outcomes on the dependent variable (e.g., one of the test conditions is faster/slower than the other)


## Why Analyse the Variance?

- Seems odd that we analyse the variance, but the research question is concerned with the overall means:

> Is the time to complete a task less using Method $A$ than using Method B?

- Let's explain through two simple examples (next slide)


## Example \#1


"Significant" implies that in all likelihood the difference observed is due to the test conditions (Method A vs. Method B).

Example \#2

"Not significant" implies that the difference observed is likely due to chance.

File: 06-AnovaDemo.xlsx

## Example \#1-Details

Note: Within-subjects design


| Participant | Method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B |
| 1 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| 2 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| 3 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| 4 | 3.6 | 4.5 |
| 5 | 4.6 | 6.0 |
| 6 | 4.1 | 6.8 |
| 7 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| 8 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| 9 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| 10 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| Mean | 4.5 | 5.5 |
| SD | 0.68 | 0.72 |

Note: $S D$ is the square root of the variance

## Example \#1 - ANOVA ${ }^{1}$

ANOVA Table for Task Completion Time (s)

|  | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F-Value | $P$-Value | Lambda | Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | 9 | 5.080 | . 564 |  |  |  |  |
| Method | 1 | 4.232 | 4.232 | 9.796 | - 0121 | 9.796 | . 804 |
| Method * Subject | 9 | 3.888 | . 432 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Proba data | ility of ob if the null | aining hypoth | observ <br> is is tru |  |



[^0]
## Example \#1 - ANOVA ${ }^{1}$

SS within method groups ANOVA Table for Task Completion Time (s)
$M S=S S / d f$
MS between/within
DF Sumol Squares Mean Square F-Value P-Value Lambda Power
Subject
Method
Method * Subject

| DF | Sq | Mean Square | F-Value | $P$-V alue | Lambda | Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 5.080 | . 564 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 74.232 | 4.232 | 9.796 | P . 0121 | 9.796 | . 804 |
| 9 | 3.888 | . 432 |  |  |  |  |

SS between method groups

Probability of obtaining the observed data if the null hypothesis is true


Thresholds for " $p$ "

- . 05
- . 01
- . 005
- . 001
- . 0005
- . 0001

SS between method groups
${ }^{1}$ ANOVA table created by StatView (now marketed as $J M P$, a product of SAS; www.sas.com)

## How to Report an $F$-statistic

The mean task completion time for Method A was 4.5 s . This was $20.1 \%$ less than the mean of 5.5 s observed for Method $B$. The difference was statistically significant ( $F_{1,9}=9.80, p<.05$ ).

- Notice in the parentheses
- Uppercase for $F$
- Lowercase for $p$
- Italics for $F$ and $p$
- Space both sides of equal sign
- Space after comma
- Space on both sides of less-than sign
- Degrees of freedom are subscript, plain, smaller font
- Three significant figures for $F$ statistic
- No zero before the decimal point in the $p$ statistic (except in Europe)


## Example \#2 - Details



## Example \#2 - ANOVA

ANOVA Table for Task Completion Time (s)


> | Reported as... |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $F_{1,9}=0.626, \mathrm{~ns}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { effects, use "ns" if } F<1.0, \\ \text { or " } p>.05 \text { " if } F>1.0 .\end{array}$ |

Note: For non-significant

## Example \#2 - Reporting

The mean task completion times were 4.5 s for Method A and 5.5 s for Method B. As there was substantial variation in the observations across participants, the difference was not statistically significant as revealed in an analysis of variance ( $F_{1,9}=0.626, \mathrm{~ns}$ ).

## Chi-square Test (Nominal Data)

- A chi-square test is used to investigate relationships
- Relationships between categorical, or nominal-scale, variables representing attributes of people, interaction techniques, systems, etc.
- Data organized in a contingency table - cross tabulation containing counts (frequency data) for number of observations in each category
- A chi-square test compares the observed values against expected values
- Expected values assume "no difference"
- Research question:
- Do males and females differ in their method of scrolling on desktop systems? (next slide)


## Chi-square - Example \#1

| Observed Number of Users |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Scrolling Method |  |  | Total |
|  | MW | CD | KB |  |
| Male | 28 | 15 | 13 | 56 |
| Female | 21 | 9 | 15 | 45 |
| Total | 49 | 24 | 28 | 101 |

$\mathrm{MW}=$ mouse wheel
$\mathrm{CD}=$ clicking, dragging
$\mathrm{KB}=$ keyboard


Gender

## Chi-square - Example \#1

56.0•49.0/101=27.2

| Expected Number of Users |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Scrolling Method |  | Total |  |
|  | MMW | CD |  |  |
| Male | 27.2 | 13.3 | 15.5 | 56.0 |
| Female | 24.8 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 45.0 |
| Total | 49.0 | 24.0 | 28.0 | 101 |

(Expected-Observed) $\left.)^{2 / O b s e r v e d=(28-27.2)}\right)^{2} / 27.2$
Significant if it exceeds critical value (next slide)

| Chi Squares |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scrolling Method |  |  | Total |
|  | MWW | CD | KB |  |
|  | 0.025 | 9.215 | 0.411 | 0.651 |
| Female | 0.032 | 0.268 | 0.511 | 0.811 |
| Total | 0.057 | 0.483 | 0.922 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 6 2}$ |

$$
\chi^{2}=1.462
$$

(See HCI:ERP for calculations)

## Chi-square Critical Values

- Decide in advance on alpha (typically .05)
- Degrees of freedom
$-d f=(r-1)(c-1)=(2-1)(3-1)=2$
$-r=$ number of rows, $c=$ number of columns

| Significance | Degrees of Freedom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold (a) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| .1 | 2.71 | 4.61 | 6.25 | 7.78 | 9.24 | 10.65 | 12.02 | 13.36 |  |
| .05 | 3.84 | 5.99 | 7.82 | 9.49 | 11.07 | 12.59 | 14.07 | 15.51 |  |
| .01 | 6.64 | 9.21 | 11.35 | 13.28 | 15.09 | 16.81 | 18.48 | 20.09 |  |
| .001 | 10.83 | 13.82 | 16.27 | 18.47 | 20.52 | 22.46 | 24.32 | 26.13 |  |

$$
\chi^{2}=1.462(<5.99 \therefore \text { not significant })
$$

## Chi-square - Example \#2

- Research question:
- Do students, professors, and parents differ in their responses to the question: Students should be allowed to use mobile phones during classroom lectures?
- Data:

| Observed Number of People |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opinion | Category |  |  | Total |
|  | Student | Professor | Parent |  |
| Agree | 10 | 12 | 98 | 120 |
| Disagree | 30 | 48 | 102 | 180 |
| Total | 40 | 60 | 200 | 300 |

## Chi-square - Example \#2

- Result: significant difference in responses $\left(\chi^{2}=20.5, p<.0001\right)$
- Post hoc comparisons reveal that opinions differ between students:parents and professors:parents (students:professors do not differ significantly in their responses)

| 國CMD |  |  | - - - $\mathrm{I}_{\text {x }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| text>type chisquare-ex2.txt $10 \quad 12 \quad 98$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| text>java ChiSquare chisquare-ex2.txt -ph Chi-square (2) $=20.500$$\mathrm{p}=0.0000$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ------ Pairwise Comparisons (using contrasts) ------ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { Pair 1:2 } & ---> & \text { Chi-square }(2)=0.340, p=0.8437 \\ \text { Pair } 1: 3 & --> & \text { Chi-square }(2)=9.702, & \mathrm{p}=0.0078 \\ \text { Pair } 2: 3 & ---> & \text { Chi-square } 2)=21.475, \mathrm{p}=0.0000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| text> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

1 = students, 2 = professors, 3 = parents

## How to MeAsure Similarity

## SIMILARITY FUNCTIONS

needs to be
 similarity also called distance functions

## METRIC DISTANCES

## Manhattan distance

$$
\bigsqcup^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~b} \quad \operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})=\|a-b\|_{1}=\sum_{i}\left|a_{i}-b_{i}\right|
$$



Euclidian distance


$$
\operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})=\|a-b\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$



## Cosine Similarity



$$
\operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})=\cos ^{-1} \frac{\langle a, b\rangle}{\|a\|\|b\|}
$$

how is this related to correlation?

## Pearson's Correlation = correlation similarity

$$
r=r_{x y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}}
$$

## CORRELATION vS. COSINE DISTANCE

Correlation distance is invariant to addition of a constant

- subtracts out by construction
- green and blue curve have correlation of 1
- but cosine similarity is < 1
- correlated vectors just vary in the same way
- cosine similarity is stricter

Both correlation and cosine similarity are invariant to multiplication with a constant

- invariant to scaling


$$
\text { green = blue }+0.1
$$

## Jaccard Distance

$$
J(A, B)=\frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}=\frac{|A \cap B|}{|A|+|B|-|A \cap B|} .
$$



What's the Jaccard similarity of the two baskets A and B ?

## MAHALANOBIS DISTANCE

## The distance between a point $P$ and a distribution $D$

- measures how many standard deviations $P$ is away from the mean of $D$
- $S$ is the covariance matrix of the distribution $D$

$$
D_{M}(x)=\sqrt{(x-\mu)^{T} S^{-1}(x-\mu)} .
$$

- when the covariance matrix is diagonal then the Mahalanobis distance reduces to the normalized Euclidian distance

$$
d(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}{s_{i}^{2}}},
$$

- what happens when the covariance matrix is the identity matrix?


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ANOVA table created by StatView (now marketed as $J M P$, a product of SAS; www.sas.com)

