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## Data Preparation And reduction |I
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| Lecture | Topic |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Intro, schedule, and logistics |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Data Science components and tasks | Project \#1 out |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Data types |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Introduction to R, statistics foundations |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Introduction to D3, visual analytics | Project \#1 due |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Data preparation and reduction | Project \#2 out |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Data preparation and reduction |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Similarity and distances |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Similarity and distances | Project \#2 due |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Cluster analysis |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Cluster analysis | Final Project proposal due |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Pattern miming |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Pattern mining |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Outlier analysis |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Outlier analysis |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Classifiers |  |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Midterm | Final Project preliminary report due |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Classifiers |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Optimization and model fitting |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Optimization and model fitting |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Causal modeling |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Streaming data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Text data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Time series data | Final Project slides and final report due |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Graph data |  |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Scalability and data engineering |  |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Data journalism |  |
|  | Final project presentation |  |

## DATA PREPARATION TASKS

## Data cleaning

- fill in missing values
- smooth noisy data
- identify or remove outliers
- resolve inconsistencies

Data reduction

- obtain reduced volume, but get same/similar analytical results
- data discretization (for numerical data)
- data aggregation (summarization)
- data transformation/normalization
- dimensionality reduction
- data compression/generalization


## DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION

## By axis rotation

- determine a more efficient basis
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- Singular value decomposition (SVD)
- Latent semantic analysis (LSA)


## By type transformation

- determine a more efficient data type
- Fourier analysis and Wavelets for grids
- Multidimensional scaling (MSD) for graphs
- Locally Linear Embedding
- Isomap
- Self Organizing Maps (SOM)
- Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)


## PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA)

## COVARIANCE MATRIX

Analytical: $\quad \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E\left[\left(X-\mu_{x}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{y}\right)\right]$
Samples: $\quad \sigma_{x y}=\operatorname{cov}_{x y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)$
An n-D dataset has $n$ variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}$

- define pairwise covariance among all of these variables
- construct a covariance matrix

$$
\mathbf{\Sigma}=\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{X})=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1 n} \\
\sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & \sigma_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{n 1} & \sigma_{n 2} & \cdots & \sigma_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## CORRELATION

## Pearson's correlation coefficient:

$$
\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}=\frac{E\left[\left(X-\mu_{x}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{y}\right)\right]}{\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}
$$

Sample correlation (n observations):

$$
r_{x y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}
$$

Correlation rates between -1 and 1 :

| 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | -0.4 | -0.8 | -1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | K |  |

## No Correlation

Correlation and regression are not reliable here

- defined for linear relationships
- visualization can help here

None of these point distributions have correlations:


## CORRELATION MATRIX

|  | MO | FP | MP | IM | IC | FM | FE | FI | SPC | DSC | DST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MO | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FP | $0.31{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MP | $0.32{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.71{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IM | $0.36{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.12{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IC | $0.39^{\text {a }}$ | $0.18^{\text {b }}$ | $0.21{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.62{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FM | $0.26{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.21{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.30^{\text {a }}$ | $0.27{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FE | $0.47{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.21^{\text {a }}$ | $0.18^{\text {b }}$ | $0.38{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.28{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.24{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| FI | $0.53{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.26{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.22{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.36{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.37{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.29{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.47^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| SPC | $0.32{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.22^{\text {a }}$ | $0.31{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.51^{\text {a }}$ | $0.47^{\text {a }}$ | $0.32^{\text {a }}$ | $0.37{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.35{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |  |  |
| DSC | $-0.12^{\text {c }}$ | $0.03{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.05{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.17^{\text {b }}$ | $0.08{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.18{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-0.05^{\text {c }}$ | $0.06{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.01{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.00 |  |
| DST | $-0.02^{\text {c }}$ | $-0.01^{\text {c }}$ | $0.05{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.24{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.05{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-0.05^{\text {c }}$ | $0.05^{\text {c }}$ | $0.05^{\text {c }}$ | $0.56{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00 |
| DM | $0.05{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.144 | $0.136^{\text {c }}$ | $0.199^{\text {a }}$ | $0.169^{\text {b }}$ | $0.247^{\text {a }}$ | $0.08{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.11^{\text {c }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.46{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.71^{\text {a }}$ |



| Climatic predictors |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WetDays |  |  |  |  |
|  | TempJuly |  |  |  |
|  |  | TempJan |  |  |
|  |  |  | TempAnn |  |
|  |  |  |  | RHJuly |

distribution (scatterplot matrix)

## PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

## Ultimate goal:

- find a coordinate system that can represent the variance in the data with as few axes as possible

- rank these axes by the amount of variance (blue, red)
- drop the axes that have the least variance (red)


## PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS



## PCA - HOW TO DO

Find the principal components by Eigen decomposition of

- covariance matrix Cov
- correlation matrix Corr
- lets call it C
- solve the Eigen value problem $\left(\mathbf{C}-\lambda_{i} \mathbf{I}\right) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}=0$
- do this via QR factorization or LU decomposition to get

$$
\boldsymbol{C}=Q \Lambda Q^{-1}
$$

Q: matrix with Eigenvectors
$\Lambda$ : diagonal matrix with Eigenvalues $\lambda$

- now order the Eigenvectors in terms of their Eigenvalues $\lambda$


## EIGENVECTORS AND VALUES



## COVARIANCE VS. CORRELATION

## When to use what?

- use the covariance matrix when the variable scales are similar
- use the correlation matrix when the variables are on different scales
- the correlation matrix standardizes the data
- in general they give different results, especially when the scales are different


## EXAMPLE

## Before PCA



## EXAMPLE

## $\lambda_{1}=9.8783 \quad \lambda_{2}=3.0308$ Trace $=12.9091$

- PC 1 displays ("explains") 9.8783/12.9091 = 76.5\% of total variance



## PCA APPLIED TO FACES

## Some familiar faces...



## PCA APPLIED TO FACES

We can reconstruct each face as a linear combination of "basis" faces, or Eigenfaces [M. Turk and A. Pentland (1991)]


Average Face





















Eigenfaces

## RECONSTRUCTION USING PCA

$90 \%$ variance is
captured by the first 50 eigenvectors
Reconstruct existing faces using only 50 basis images

reconstructed with 50 eigenfaces


We can also generate new faces by combining
eigenvectors with different weights



## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

The same as PCA when the mean of each attribute is zero

SVD does not subtract the mean

- appropriate if values close to zero should not be influential
- PCA puts them at in the extreme negative side

SVD often used for text analysis

- values close to zero are frequent and should not affect the analysis


## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Decomposes C into the matrix:

$q_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $p_{i}$ are two column vectors with significance $\sigma_{i}$

$$
Q_{k} \Sigma_{k} P_{k}^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{q_{i}} \sigma_{i}{\overline{p_{i}}}^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i}\left(\overline{q_{i}}{\overline{p_{i}}}^{T}\right)
$$

Example: in a user-item ratings matrix we wish to determine:

- a reduced representation of the users
- a reduced representation of the items
- SVD has the basis vectors for both of these reductions


## LATENT SEMANTIC ANALYSIS

## Create an occurrence matrix (term-document matrix)

- words (terms $t$ ) are the rows
- paragraphs (documents $d$ ) are the columns
- uses the term frequency-inverse document frequency (tf-idf) metric
- $\quad t f(t, d)=$ simplest form is frequency of $t$ in $d=f(t, d)$
- $\quad \operatorname{idf}(t, d) \quad \operatorname{idf}(t, D)=\log \frac{N}{|\{d \in D: t \in d\}|}$
- $\quad N=$ number of docs $=|D|, D$ is the corpus of documents
- idf is a measure of term rareness, it's 0 when term occurs in all of $D$
- important terms get a higher tf-idf

Use SVD to reduce the number of rows

- preserves similarity of columns


## Co-Occurrence TF-IDT matrix

A | M |
| :---: |
| $T_{1}$ |
| $T_{2}$ |
| $T_{3}$ |
| $T_{4}$ |
| $T_{5}$ |
| $T_{6}$ |
| $\vdots$ |
|  |
| $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ |\(\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}D_{1} \& D_{2} \& D_{3} \& D_{4} \& D_{5} \& D_{6} \& \cdots \& D_{\mathrm{n}} <br>

0.00060 \& 0.00012 \& 0.00003 \& 0.00003 \& 0.00333 \& 0.00048 \& \cdots \& a_{1 n} <br>
0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& \cdots \& a_{2 n} <br>
1.03442 \& 1.03442 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1.49431 \& \cdots <br>
a_{3 n} <br>
\vdots \& \vdots \& \vdots \& \vdots \& \vdots \& 0 \& 0 \& \cdots <br>
a_{m 1} \& a_{m 2} \& a_{m 3} \& a_{m 4} \& a_{m 5} \& a_{m 6} \& \cdots \& a_{m n}\end{array}\right)\)
A $\left.\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{M} \\ T_{1} \\ T_{2} \\ T_{3} \\ T_{4} \\ T_{5} \\ T_{6} \\ \vdots \\ \\ \\ T_{\mathrm{m}} \\ 0\end{array} \begin{array}{cccccccc}D_{1} & D_{2} & D_{3} & D_{4} & D_{5} & D_{6} & \cdots & D_{\mathrm{n}} \\ 0 & 0.00060 & 0.00012 & 0.00003 & 0.00003 & 0.00333 & 0.00048 & \cdots \\ a_{l n} \\ 1.03442 & 1.03442 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.49431 & \cdots & a_{3 n} \\ a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & a_{m 3} & a_{m 4} & a_{m 5} & a_{m 6} & \cdots & a_{m n}\end{array}\right)$

$U=$ term-concept matrix concept $=$ latent (hidden) topic sort and keep the $k$ most significant rows/columns


## Visualizing The Concept Space

How many concepts to use when approximating the matrix?

- if too few, important patterns are left out
- if too many, noise caused by random word choices will creep in
- can use the elbow method in the scree plot

Throw out the $1^{\text {st }}$ dimension in U and V

- in $U$ it is correlates with document length

- in V it correlates with the number of times a term was mentioned concept 2
Now we have a k-D concept space shared by both terms and documents
- document
- term



## Visualizing The Concept Space

## Project the k -D concept space into 2D and visualize as a map

- can cluster the map
- the cluster of documents are then labeled by the terms
- provides map semantics



## LSA DISADVANTAGES

LSA assumes a Gaussian distribution and Frobenius norm

- this may not fit all problems

LSA cannot handle polysemy effectively

- need LDA (Latent Dirichlet Allocation) for this

LSA depends heavily on SVD

- computationally intensive
- hard to update as new documents appear
- but faster algorithms have emerged recently

TYPE TRANSFORMATIONS

## HaAR WAVELETS

A sequence of multi-scale square-shaped functions

- together they form a wavelet family or basis
- each has half the size than the one before



## DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM

## Two basis function each level of scale

- wavelet $=$ extract the detail at that level (HP)
- scaling = remove the detail and return what's left for the next level (LP)


Haar


## WAVELET COMPRESSION

## Goal

- decompose the signal into wavelet coefficients
- eliminate the coefficients with magnitude < threshold
- keep the others
- the higher the threshold the more the compression



## WORKS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS



## MULTIDIMENSIONAL SCALING (MDS)

Wavelets are for regular grids
MDS is for irregular structures

- scattered points in high-dimensions (N-D)
- adjacency matrices

Maps the distances between observations from N-D into lowD (say 2D)

- attempts to ensure that differences between pairs of points in this reduced space match as closely as possible


## DISTANCE MATRIX

MDS turns a distance matrix into a network or point cloud

- correlation, cosine, Euclidian, and so on


## Suppose you know a matrix of distances among cities

Chicago Raleigh Boston Seattle S.F. Austin Orlando

| Chicago | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Raleigh | 641 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Boston | 851 | 608 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Seattle | 1733 | 2363 | 2488 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S.F. | 1855 | 2406 | 2696 | 684 | 1495 | 0 |

## RESULT OF MDS



## COMPARE WITH REAL MAP



## MDS ALGORITHM

- Task:
- Find that configuration of image points whose pairwise distances are most similar to the original inter-point distances !!!
- Formally:
- Define: $D_{i j}=\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{D} \quad d_{i j}=\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|_{d}$
- Claim: $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}} \equiv \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \forall \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \in[1, \mathrm{n}]$
- In general: an exact solution is not possible !!!
- Inter Point distances $\rightarrow$ invariance features



## MDS ALGORITHM

## Strategy (of metric MDS):

- iterative procedure to find a good configuration of image points
- 1) Initialization
$\rightarrow$ Begin with some (arbitrary) initial configuration
- 2) Alter the image points and try to find a configuration of points that minimizes the following sum-of-squares error function:


## MDS ALGORITHM

## Strategy (of metric MDS):

- iterative procedure to find a good configuration of image points

1) Initialization
$\rightarrow$ Begin with some (arbitrary) initial configuration

- 2) Alter the image points and try to find a configuration of points that minimizes the following sum-of-squares error function:

$$
E=\sum_{i<j}\left(D_{i j}-d_{i j}\right)^{2}
$$

## FORCE-DIRECTED ALGORITHM

## Spring-like system

- insert springs within each node
- the length of the spring encodes the desired node distance
- start at an initial configuration
- iteratively move nodes until an energy minimum is reached



## FORCE-DIRECTED ALGORITHM

## Spring-like system

- insert springs within each node
- the length of the spring encodes the desired node distance
- start at an initial configuration
- iteratively move nodes until an energy minimum is reached



## MANIFOLD LEARNING: ISOMAP

by: J. Tenenbaum, V. de Silva, J. Langford, Science, 2000


Tries to unwrap a high-dimensional surface (A) $\rightarrow$ manifold

- noisy points could be averaged first and projected onto the manifold

Algorithm

- construct neighborhood graph $G \rightarrow$ (B)
- for each pair of points in $G$ compute the shortest path distances $\rightarrow$ geodesic distances
- fill similarity matrix with these geodesic distances
- embed (layout) in low-D (2D) with MDS $\rightarrow$ (C)


## MANIFOLD LEARNING: LOCALLY LINEAR Embedding (LLE)

## by: S. Roweis, L. Saul, Science, 2000

Based on simple geometric intuitions.

- suppose the data consist of $N$ real-valued vectors $X_{i}$, each of dimensionality $D$
- each data point and its neighbors are expected to lie on or close to a locally linear patch of the manifold


High dimensional Manifold
Low dimensional Manifold

## LLE OVERVIEW



## LLE DETAILS

## Steps:

- assign $K$ neighbors to each data point $\vec{X}_{i}$
- compute the weights $W_{\mathrm{ij}}$ that best linearly reconstruct the data point from its $K$ neighbors, solving the constrained least-squares problem

$$
\dot{\varepsilon}(W)=\sum_{i}\left|\vec{X}_{i}-\sum_{j} W_{i j} \vec{X}_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

- compute the low-dimensional embedding vectors $\vec{Y}_{i}$ best reconstructed by $W_{\mathrm{ij}}$

$$
\Phi(Y)=\sum_{i}\left|\vec{Y}-\sum_{j} W_{i j} \vec{Y}_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

## SELF-ORGANIZING MAPS (SOM)

## Introduced by Teuvo Kohonen

- unsupervised learning and clustering algorithm
- has advantages compared to hierarchical clustering
- often realized as an artificial neural network

SOMs group the data

- perform a nonlinear projection from N -dimensional input space onto two-dimensional visualization space
- provide a useful topological arrangement of information objects in order to display clusters of similar objects in information space


## SOM EXAMPLE

Map a dataset of 3D color vectors into a 2D plane

- assume you have an image with 5 colors
- want to see how many there are of each
- compute a SOM of the color vectors



## SOM ALGORITHM

Create array and connect all elements to the N input vector dimensions

- shown here: 2 D vector with $4 \times 4$ elements
- initialize weights

For each input vector chosen at random


- find node with weights most like the input vector
- call that node the Best Matching Unit (BMU)
- find nodes within neighborhood radius $r$ of BMU
- initially $r$ is chosen as the radius of the lattice
- diminishes at each time step
- adjust the weights of the neighboring nodes to make them more like the input vector

- the closer a node is to the BMU, the more its weights get altered


## SOM EXAMPLE: THEMESCAPE



Height represents density or number of documents in the region Invented at Pacific Northwest National Lab (PNNL)

## SOM / MDS EXAMPLE: VXINSIGHT (SANDIA)



## LINEAR DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS (LDA)

LDA was proposed by Ronald Fisher in 1936


See separate slides

- by Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna (Texas A\&M University)

