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## Motivation

## Conformal Module for Poly-annulus



Figure: Conformal mapping from a poly-annulus to a circle domain.

## Circle Domain

## Definition (Circle Domain)

Suppose $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a planar domain, if $\partial \Omega$ has finite number of connected components, each of them is either a circle or a point, then $\Omega$ is called a circle domain.

## Theorem (Koebe)

Suppose $S$ is of genus zero, $\partial S$ has finite number of connected components, then $S$ is conformal equivalent to a circle domain. Furthermore, all such conformal mappings differ by a Möbius transformation.

## Schwartz Reflection Principle

## Definition (Mirror Reflection)

Given a circle $\Gamma:\left|z-z_{0}\right|=\rho$, the reflection with respect to $\Gamma$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\Gamma}: r e^{i \theta}+z_{0} \mapsto \frac{\rho^{2}}{r} e^{i \theta}+z_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two planar domains $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are symmetric about $\Gamma$, if $\varphi_{\Gamma}(S)=S^{\prime}$.


Figure: Reflection about a circle.

## Schwartz Reflection Principle

## Definition (Reflection)

Suppose $\Gamma$ is an analytic curve, domain $S, S^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma$ are included in a planar domain $\Omega$. There is a conformal map $f: \Omega \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $f(\Gamma)$ is a canonical circle, $f(S)$ and $f\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ are symmetric about $f(\Gamma)$, then we say $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are symmetric about $\Gamma$, and denoted as

$$
S \mid S^{\prime} \quad(\Gamma)
$$



Figure: General symmetry.

## Schwartz Reflection Principle

## Theorem (Schwartz Reflection Principle)

Assume $f$ is an analytic function, defined on the upper half disk $\{|z|<1, \Im(z)>0\}$. If $f$ can be extended to a real continuous function on the real axis, then $f$ can be extended to an analytic function $F$ defined on the whole disk, satisfying

$$
F(z)= \begin{cases}f(z), & \Im(z) \geq 0 \\ \overline{f(\bar{z}),} & \Im(z)<0\end{cases}
$$



Figure: Schwartz reflection principle.

## Multiple Reflection



## Multiple Reflection



## Multiple Reflection



## Multiple Reflection

(1) Initial circle domain $C^{0}$ : complex plane remove three disks, its boundary is $\left\{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}\right\}$;
(2) First level reflection: $C^{0}$ is reflected about $\Gamma_{i_{1}}$ to $C^{i_{1}}, i_{1}=1,2,3$;

$$
\partial C^{i_{1}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1}}-\sum_{j \neq i_{1}} \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1}}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}$.
(3) Second level reflection: $C^{i_{1}}$ is reflected about $\Gamma_{i_{2}}$ to $C^{i_{1} i_{2}}, i_{1} \neq i_{2}$; the boundary of $C^{i_{1} i_{2}}$ are $\Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2}}$, when $j \neq i_{1}, \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2}}$ is an interior boundary; when $j=i_{1}, \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2}}$ is the exterior boundary, $\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2}}$.

$$
\partial C^{i_{1} i_{2}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2}}-\sum_{j \neq i_{1}} \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2}}
$$

when $j=i_{1}, \Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2}} ;$

## Multiple Reflection

(9) Third level reflection: $C^{i_{1} i_{2}}$ is reflected about $\Gamma_{i_{3}}$ to $C^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}, i_{1} \neq i_{2}$, $i_{2} \neq i_{3}$; the boundary of $C^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}$ are $\Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}$, when $j \neq i_{1}$, $\Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}$ is an interior boundary; when $j=i_{1}, \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}$ is the exterior boundary, $\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2} i_{3}}$.

$$
\partial C^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2} i_{3}}-\sum_{j \neq i_{1}} \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} .
$$

(5) The m-level reflection: $C^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1}}$ is reflected about $\Gamma_{i_{m}}$ to $C^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}, i_{k} \neq i_{k+1}$; the boundary of $C^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}, i_{k} \neq i_{k+1}$ are $\Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}$, when $j \neq i_{1}, \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}$ is an interior boundary; when $j=i_{1}, \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}$ is the exterior boundary, $\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2} \ldots i_{m-1} i_{m}}$ is an interior boundary,

$$
\partial C^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{3} \ldots i_{m}}-\sum_{j \neq i_{1}} \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} .
$$

## Multiple Reflection



## Multiple Reflection

- Each node represents a domain $C^{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}$;

Figure: Reflection tree.


- Each edge represents a circle $\Gamma_{k}$, $k=1, \ldots, n$;
- Father and Son share an edge $i_{1}$

$$
\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} .
$$

- Each node $C^{(i)},(i)=i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}$ is the path from the root to $C^{(i)}$,

$$
C^{(i)}=\varphi_{\Gamma_{i_{m}}} \circ \varphi_{\Gamma_{i_{m-1}}} \cdots \varphi_{\Gamma_{i_{1}}}\left(C^{0}\right)
$$

## Multiple Reflection

- Father node $C^{i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}$ and child node $C^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}$ is connected by edge $i_{1}$, the exterior boundary of child equals to an interior boundary of the father

$$
\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} .
$$

- From the root $C^{0}$ to $C^{i_{1} \cdots i_{m}}$, the path is inverse to the index

$$
(i)^{-1}=i_{m} i_{m-1} \cdots i_{2} i_{1}
$$

starting from $C^{0}$ crosses $\Gamma^{i_{m}}$ to $C^{i_{m}}$, crosses $\Gamma_{i_{m-1}}^{i_{m}}$ to $C^{i_{m-1} i_{m}}$; when arrives at $C^{i_{k+1} \cdots i_{1}}$, crosses $\Gamma_{i_{k}}^{i_{k+1} \cdots i_{1}}$ to $C^{i_{k} i_{k+1} \cdots i_{1}}$; and eventually reach $C^{(i)}$.

## Hole Area Estimation

## Lemma

Suppose $C^{(i)}$ is an interior node in the reflection tree,

$$
(i)=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m},
$$

its exterior boundary is $\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{(i)}$, interior boundaries are $\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}, j \neq i_{1}$, we have the estimate:

$$
\sum_{j \neq i_{1}} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}\right) \leq \mu^{4} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{(i)}\right)
$$

## Hole Area Estimation



Enlarge all $\Gamma_{k}$ 's by factor $\mu^{-1}$ to $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k}, \tilde{\Gamma}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{3}$ touch each other; reflect $C^{0}$ about $\Gamma_{2}$

- $\Gamma_{k} \mid \Gamma_{k}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$.
- $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k} \mid \Gamma_{k}^{2} \quad\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$.
$\alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1}^{2}\right)=\mu^{-2} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}^{2}\right)$
$\alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{3}^{2}\right)=\mu^{-2} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{3}^{2}\right)$
$\alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2}^{2}\right)=\mu^{2} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$
Figure: Hole area estimation.

$$
\alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}^{2}\right)+\alpha\left(\Gamma_{3}^{2}\right)=\mu^{2}\left(\alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1}^{2}\right)+\alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \leq \mu^{2} \alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{2}^{2}\right)=\mu^{4} \alpha\left(\Gamma^{2}\right) .
$$

## Hole Area Estimation

## Lemma

Suppose the boundaries of the initial circle domain $C^{0}$ are $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \cdots, \Gamma_{n}$, consider the reflection tree with $m$ layers, then the total area of the holes bounded by the interior boundaries of leaf nodes is no greater than $\mu^{4 m}$ times the area bounded by $\Gamma_{k}$ 's,

$$
\sum_{(i)=i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} \sum_{k \neq i_{1}} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{k}^{(i)}\right) \leq \mu^{4 m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)
$$

## Proof.

By induction on $m$. The area bounded by the exterior boundaries of the nodes in the $k+1$-layer is no greater than $\mu^{4}$ times that of the $k$-layer. The total area of the interior boundaries of leaf nodes is no greater than the area bounded by the exterior boundaries of leaf nodes.

## Uniqueness

## Theorem (Uniqueness)

Given two circle domains $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, f: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ is a univalent holomorphic function, then $f$ is a linear rational, namely a Möbus transformation.

## Proof.

Assume both $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ include $\infty$, and $f(\infty)=\infty$. Since $f$ is holomorhic, it maps the boundary circles of $C_{1}$ to those of $C_{2}$. By Schwartz reflection principle, $f$ can be extended to the multiple reflected domains. By the area estimation of the holes Eqn. 2, the multiple reflected domains cover the whole $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, hence $f$ can be extended to the whole $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, since $f(\infty)=\infty, f$ is a linear function. If $f(\infty) \neq \infty$, we can use a Möbius map to transform $f(\infty)$ to $\infty$.

## Existence

## Definition (Kernel)

Suppose $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ is a family of domains on the complex plane, $\infty \in B_{k}$ for all $k$. Suppose $B$ is the maximal set: $\infty \in B$, and for any closed set $K \subset B$, there is an $N$, such that for any $n>N, K \subset B_{n}$. Then $B$ is called the kernel of $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$.

## Definition (Domain Convergence)

We say a sequence $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ converges to its kernel $B$, if any sub-sequence $\left\{B_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ has the same kernel $B$. We denote $B_{n} \rightarrow B$.

## Goluzin Theorem

## Theorem (Goluzin)

Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of domains on the complex domain. Any domain $A_{n}$ includes $\infty, n=1,2, \cdots$, . Assume $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ converges to its kernel $A$. Let $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ be a family of analytic function, for all $n, f_{n}(z)$ maps $A_{n}$ to $B_{n}$ surjectively, such that $f_{n}(\infty)=\infty, f_{n}^{\prime}(\infty)=1$. Then $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ uniformly converges to a univalent analytic function $f(z)$ in the interior of $A$, if and only if $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ converges to its kernel $B$, then the univalent analytic function $f(z)$ maps $A$ to $B$ surjectively.

## Existence

## Theorem (Existence)

On the z-plane, every n-connected domain $\Omega$ can be mapped to a circle domain on the $\zeta$-plane by a univalent holomorphic function. Choose a point $a \in \Omega$, there is a unique map which maps a to $\zeta=\infty$, and in a neighborhood of $z=a$, the map has the power series

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{z-a}+a_{1}(z-a)+\cdots \text { if } a \neq \infty \\
z+\frac{a_{1}}{z}+\cdots \text { if } a=\infty
\end{array}
$$

## Existence

## Proof.

According to Hilbert theorem, all n-connected domains are conformally equivalent to slit domains. We can assume $\Omega$ is a slit domain. We use $\mathcal{S}$ represent all the $n$-connected slit domains with horizontal slits, and $\mathcal{C}$ the $n$-connected circle domains. We label all the boundaries of the domains, $\partial \Omega=\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}$. For each slit $\gamma_{k}$, we represent it by the starting point $p_{k}$ and the length $I_{k}$, then we get the coordinates of the slit domain $\Omega$

$$
\left(p_{1}, l_{1}, p_{2}, l_{2}, \cdots, p_{n}, I_{n}\right)
$$

Hence $\mathcal{S}$ is a connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^{3 n}$. Similarly, consider a circle domain $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{C}$, we use the center and the radius to represent each circle $\left(q_{k}, r_{k}\right)$, and the coordinates of $\mathcal{D}$ are given by,

$$
\left(q_{1}, r_{1}, q_{2}, r_{2}, \cdots, q_{n}, r_{n}\right)
$$

$\mathcal{C}$ is also a connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^{3 n}$.

## Existence

## continued

Consider a normalized univalent holomorphic function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \Omega \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{C}, f$ maps the $k$-th boundary curve $\gamma_{k}$ to the $k$-th circular boundary of $\mathcal{D}$. By the existence of slit mapping and the uniqueness of circle domain mapping, we have
(1) Every circle domain $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a unique slit domain $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}$;
(2) Every slit domain $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds to at most one circle domain $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{C}$.
Then we establish a mapping from circle domains to slit domains $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

## Existence

## continued

Assume $\left\{\mathcal{D}_{n}\right\}$ is a family of circle domains, converge to the kernel $\mathcal{D}^{*}$. The domain convergence definition is consistent with the convergence of coordinates, namely, the boundary circles of $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ converge to the corresponding boundary circles of $\mathcal{D}^{*}$, denoted as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_{n}=\mathcal{D}^{*}$. The convergence of slit domains can be similarly defined. By Goluzin's theorem, we obtain the mapping $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is continuous:

$$
\varphi\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\mathcal{D}_{n}\right)
$$

By the uniqueness of circle domain mapping, we obtain $\varphi$ is injective. We will prove the mapping $\varphi$ is surjective.

## Existence

## continued

$\mathcal{C}$ is an open set in Euclidean space $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is injective continuous map. According to invariance of domain theorem, $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an open set, $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is a homeomorphism.
Choose a circle domain $\mathcal{D}_{0} \in \mathcal{C}$, its corresponding slit domain is $\varphi\left(\mathcal{D}_{0}\right)=\Omega_{0} \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\Omega_{0} \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. Choose another slit map $\Omega_{1} \in \mathcal{S}$, we don't know if $\Omega_{1}$ is in $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ or not. We draw a path $\Gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, $\Gamma(0)=\Omega_{0}$ and $\Gamma(1)=\Omega_{1}$. Let

$$
t^{*}=\sup \{t \in[0,1] \mid \forall 0 \leq \tau \leq t, \Gamma(\tau) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})\}
$$

namely $\Gamma$ from starting point to $t^{*}$ belongs to $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$.

## Existence

## continued

By the definition of domain convergence,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(t^{*}\right)
$$

By $\left\{\Gamma\left(t_{n}\right)\right\} \subset \varphi(\mathcal{C})$, there is a family of circle domains $\left\{\mathcal{D}_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{C}$, $\varphi\left(\mathcal{D}_{n}\right)=\Gamma\left(t_{n}\right)$. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_{n}=\mathcal{D}^{*}$, by domain limit theorem, we have

$$
\varphi\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)=\varphi\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\mathcal{D}_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma\left(t_{n}\right)=\Gamma\left(t^{*}\right)
$$

namely $\varphi\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)=\Gamma\left(t^{*}\right)$, hence $\Gamma\left(t^{*}\right) \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. But $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an open set, hence if $t^{*}<1, t^{*}$ can be further extended. This contradict to the choice of $t^{*}$, hence $t^{*}=1$. Therefore $\Omega_{1} \in \varphi(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\Omega_{1}$ is arbitrarily chosen, hence $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is surjective. This proves the existence of the circle domain mapping.

## Convergence of Koebe Iteration Method

## Koebe Iteration Algorithm

Input: Poly annulus $M, \partial M=\gamma_{0}-\gamma_{1}-\cdots-\gamma_{n}$;
Output:Conformal map $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is a circle domain.
(1) Compute a slit map, map the surface to the circular slit domain $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{k}$ are mapped to the exetior and interior circular boundary of $\mathbb{C}$;
(2) Fill the inner circle using Delaunay refinement mesh generation;
(3) Repeat step 1 and 2, fill all the holes step by step;

## Koebe Iteration Method



Figure: Slit map.

## Koebe Iteration Method



Figure: Hole filling and slit map.

## Koebe Iteration Method



Figure: Hole filling and slit map.

## Koebe Iteration Method



Figure: All holes are filled.

## Koebe Iteration Algorithm

(9) Puch a hole at the $k$-th inner boundary;
(3) Compute a conformal map, to map the surface onto a canonical planar annulus;
(6) Fill the inner circular hole;
(3) Repeat step 4 through 6 , each time punch a different hole, until the process convergences.

## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



## Koebe Iteration Method



Figure: Final result.

## Area, Diameter Estimate

## Lemma

Suppose $A$ is a topological annulus on $\mathbb{C}$, the conformal module of $A$ is $\mu^{-1}>1$, the exterior and interior boundaries of $A$ are Jorgan curves $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}, \partial A=\Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{1}$, then we have the area and diameter estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \mu^{2} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \log \mu^{-1}} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)$ is the area bounded by $\Gamma_{k}, k=0,1$.

## Area, Diameter Estimate



Figure: Topological annulus with conformal module $\mu^{-1}$.

## Area, Diameter Estimate

## Proof.

Let holomorphic function $g$ maps $\left\{1 \leq|w| \leq \mu^{-1}\right\}$ to $A$,

$$
g(w)=w+a_{0}+\frac{a_{1}}{w}+\frac{a_{2}}{w^{2}}+\cdots
$$

By Gnowell area estimate, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=\pi\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=\pi\left(\mu^{-2}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \mu^{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, this proves the area inequality (3)

$$
\alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)-\mu^{-2} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=\pi \sum^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\left(\mu^{-2}-\mu^{2 n}\right) \geq 0
$$

## Area, Diameter Estimate

## Continued

The diameter $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1}$ is determined by $g(\{1<|w|<\rho\})$, where $\rho \in\left(1, \mu^{-1}\right)$. The diameter is bounded by half of the boundary length $g(|w|=\rho)$, we have
$2 \operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1} \leq \int_{|w|=\rho}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right| d w=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \rho \theta=\int_{0}^{2} \pi\left|g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\rho} d \theta$
By Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\left[2 \operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \rho d \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \rho d \theta=2 \pi \rho \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \rho d \theta
$$

## Area, Diameter Estimate

## Continued

Equivalent

$$
\frac{2}{\pi \rho}\left[\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \rho d \theta
$$

Integrate with respect to $\rho$,

$$
\int_{1}^{\mu^{-1}} \frac{2}{\pi \rho}\left[\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1}\right]^{2} d \rho \leq \int_{1}^{\mu^{-1}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \rho d \theta d \rho=\alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)
$$

Calculate left

$$
\frac{2 \log \mu^{-1}}{\pi}\left[\operatorname{diam} \Gamma_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \alpha\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)
$$

This proves inequality (4).

## Multiple Reflected Domain

## Definition (Multi-reflected circle domain)

Given an $m$-level embedding relation tree of a circle domain $C$, the union of all nodes in the tree is called a multiple-reflected circle domain,

$$
\Omega_{m}=\bigcup_{k \leq m} \bigcup_{(i)=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}} C^{(i)}=\hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \bigcup_{(i)=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} \bigcup_{k \neq i_{1}} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{k}^{(i)}\right)
$$

where $\alpha(\Gamma)$ is the area bounded by $\Gamma$.
Suppose we have a holomorphic univalent map $g_{m}: \Omega_{m} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{m} & =g_{m}\left(C^{0}\right) \\
C_{m}^{(i)} & =g_{m}\left(C^{(i)}\right) \\
\Gamma_{m, k} & =g_{m}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \\
\Gamma_{m, k}^{(i)} & =g_{m}\left(\Gamma_{k}^{(i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Symmetric Relation

According to the reflection generation tree, we have the symmetry

$$
C^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m-1} i_{m}} \mid C^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m-1} i_{m}} \quad\left(\Gamma_{i_{m}}\right)
$$

this symmetric relation is preserved by the holomorphic map $g_{m}$ :

$$
C_{m}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m-1} i_{m}} \mid C_{m}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m-1} i_{m}} \quad\left(\Gamma_{m, i_{m}}\right)
$$

therefore $g_{m}$ maps the embedding relation tree of $\left\{C^{(i)}\right\}$ to the embedding relation tree of $\left\{C_{m}^{(i)}\right\}$.

## Hole Area Estimation

## Lemma

Suppose the boundaries of $C_{m}$ are $\Gamma_{m, 1}, \Gamma_{m, 2}, \ldots, \Gamma_{m, n}$. In the $m$-level embedding relation tree of $C_{m}$, the total area of the holes bounded by the interior boundaries of leaf nodes is less than $\mu^{4 m}$ times the total area of holes bounded by $\Gamma_{m, k}$ 's,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(i)=i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} \sum_{k \neq i_{1}} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{m, k^{(i)}}\right) \leq \mu^{4 m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{m, i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

Using area estimate (3) and induction on $m$.

## Koebe's Iteration



## Koebe's Iteration

## Key Observation

Given a multi-annulus $\mathcal{R}$, there is a bioholomorphic map $g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ maps a circle domain $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{R}$. During the process of Koebe's iteration, the domain of the mapping $\mathcal{C}$ can be extended to the image of the multiple reflection, (multiple reflected circle domain), which eventually covers the whole augmented complex plane $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

## Koebe's Iteration

## Lemma

During Koebe's iteration, at the mn-th step, the algorithm generates a univalent holomorphic function $g_{m n}$, its domain is extended to the m-level reflected circle domain,

$$
g_{m n}: \Omega_{m} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}
$$

## Proof.

Initial domain is $C_{0}, \infty \in C_{0}$, the complementary sets are $D_{0,1}, D_{0,2}, \cdots, D_{0, n}, \partial D_{0, i}=\Gamma_{0, i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. There is a biholomorphic function, $f: C_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, the complementary of $\mathcal{C}$ is the set $D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots, D_{n}$, where $D_{i}$ 's are disks, $\partial D_{i}=\Gamma_{i}$ is a canonical circle. In the neighborhood of $\infty, f(z)=z+O\left(z^{-1}\right)$.

## Koebe's Iteration

## continued.

By Riemann mapping theorem, there is a Riemann mapping

$$
h_{1}: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash D_{0,1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{D},
$$

maps $\Gamma_{0,1}$ to the unit circle $\Gamma_{1,1}, C_{0}$ to $C_{1}$, satisfying the normalization condition,

$$
h_{1}(\infty)=\infty, \quad h_{1}^{\prime}(\infty)=1
$$

and

$$
D_{1, k}=h_{1}\left(D_{0, k}\right), k=2, \cdots, n
$$

Repeat this procedure, at $k \leq n$ step, construct a Riemann mapping,

$$
h_{k}: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash D_{k-1, k} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{D}
$$

which maps $\Gamma_{k-1, k}$ to the unit circle, $C_{k-1}$ to $C_{k}, h_{k}(\infty)=\infty$ and $h^{\prime}(\infty)=1$.

## Koebe's Iteration

## continued.

We recursively define the symbols as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k} & =h_{k}\left(C_{k-1}\right) \\
\Gamma_{k, i} & =h_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k-1, i}\right), i \neq k \\
D_{k, i} & =h_{k}\left(D_{k-1}, i\right), i \neq k
\end{aligned}
$$

$D_{k, k}$ is the unit disk $\mathbb{D}, \Gamma_{k, k}$ the unit circle. We construct a biholomorphic map $f_{k}: C_{0} \rightarrow C_{k}$ :

$$
f_{k}=h_{k} \circ h_{k-1} \circ \cdots h_{1}
$$

and the biholomorphic map from the circle domain $\mathcal{C}$ to $C_{k}, g_{k}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C_{k}$,

$$
g_{k}:=f_{k} \circ f^{-1}
$$

$g_{k}$ satisfies normalization condition $g_{k}(\infty)=\infty, g_{k}^{\prime}(\infty)=1$.

## Koebe's Iteration

## continued.

We generalize the domain of $g_{k}$ to multiple reflected circle domain. Because $\Gamma_{1,1}$ is a canonical circle, $C_{1}$ can be reflected about $\Gamma_{1,1}$ to $C_{1}^{1}$,

$$
C_{1} \mid C_{1}^{1} \quad\left(\Gamma_{1,1}\right)
$$

$h_{2}: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash D_{1,2} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{D}$, hence $h_{2}$ is well defined on $D_{1,1}$. we denote

$$
C_{2}^{1}:=h_{2}\left(C_{1}^{1}\right), \quad C_{2}^{1} \mid C_{2} \quad\left(\Gamma_{2,1}\right)
$$

when $k=2,3, \cdots, n$, the Riemann mapping $h_{k}$ is well defined on $C_{k} \cup D_{k, 1}$, domain

$$
C_{k}^{1}:=h_{k} \circ h_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_{1}\left(C_{1}^{1}\right), k=2, \cdots, n,
$$

satisfying

$$
C_{k}^{1} \mid C_{k} \quad\left(\Gamma_{k, 1}\right)
$$

## Koebe's Iteration



## Koebe's Iteration

## continued.

But the map $h_{n+1}$ on $D_{n, 1}$ is not defined. We can use Schwartz reflection to define $C_{n+1}^{1}$. Consider the composition:

$$
\beta_{n}:=h_{n} \circ h_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_{2}: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{n},
$$

$\beta_{n}$ is well defined on $D_{1,1}$.

$$
h_{n+1} \circ \beta_{n}: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{n+1}
$$

maps the circle $\Gamma_{1,1}$ to the circle $\Gamma_{n+1,1}$, but is not defined on $D_{1,1}$. By Schwartz reflection principle, the map $h_{n+1} \circ \beta_{n}$ can be extended to

$$
H_{n+1}: C_{1} \cup C_{1}^{1} \rightarrow C_{n+1} \cup C_{n+1}^{1}
$$

where

$$
C_{n+1}^{1} \mid C_{n} \quad\left(\Gamma_{n+1,1}\right)
$$

## Koebe's Iteration

## Continued.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{1} \cup C_{1}^{1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n}} & C_{n} \cup C_{n}^{1} \\
H_{n+1} & & \\
& & \\
C_{n+1} \cup H_{n+1} \circ \beta_{n}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{l d} C_{n+1}^{1} \cup C_{n+1}^{1}
$$

we obtain the composition map

$$
H_{n+1} \circ \beta_{n}^{-1}: C_{n} \cup C_{n}^{1} \rightarrow C_{n+1} \cup C_{n+1}^{1}
$$

for convenience, we still use $h_{n+1}$ to represent $H_{n+1} \circ \beta_{n}^{-1}$. Hence, we extend the domain of $h_{n+1}$ to $C_{n}^{1}: h_{n+1}: C_{n} \cup C_{n}^{1} \rightarrow C_{n+1} \cup C_{n+1}^{1}$. Repeat this procedure, we conclude: for all $k \geq 1, C_{k}^{1}$ and $C_{k}$ are symmetric,

$$
C_{k}^{1} \mid C_{k}\left(\Gamma_{k, 1}\right)
$$

## Koebe's Iteration

## Continued.

Similarly, when $k=2, \Gamma_{2,2}$ is a circle, $C_{2}^{2}$ and $C_{2}$ are symmetric about $\Gamma_{2,2}$. When $k>2$, we define

$$
C_{k}^{2}:=h_{k} \circ h_{k-1} \circ \cdots h_{3}\left(C_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

similarly, for every $h_{k n+2}$ map, we use Schwartz reflection principle to extend analytically. For all $k \geq 2, C_{k} 2$ and $C_{k}$ are symmetric:

$$
C_{k}^{2} \mid C_{k} \quad\left(\Gamma_{k, 2}\right)
$$

Similarly, for any $i=3, \cdots, n$, we use Schwartz reflection principle to extend the domain and define $C_{k}^{i}$ symmetric to $C_{k}$, for all $k \geq i$,

$$
C_{k}^{i} \mid C_{k} \quad\left(\Gamma_{k, i}\right) .
$$

## Koebe's Iteration

## Continued.

After the first round of iterations, all $C_{k}^{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$ are defined. Since $\Gamma_{n+1,1}$ is the unit circle, we define $C_{n+1}^{i 1}$ to be the mirror image of $C_{n+1}^{i}$ with respect to $\Gamma_{n+1,1}, C_{n+1}^{11}=C_{n+1}$, but all other $C_{n+1}^{i 1}$ are newly generated domains $i \neq 1$. Apply the extened Riemann mapping, we get a series of mirror images:

$$
C_{k}^{i 1} \mid C_{k}^{i} \quad\left(\Gamma_{k, 1}\right), \forall k \geq n+1, i=2,3, \cdots, n
$$

Similarly, we can define mirror image domains:

$$
C_{k}^{i j} \mid C_{k}^{i} \quad\left(\Gamma_{k, j}\right), \quad \forall k \geq n+j
$$

## Koebe's Iteration

## Continued.

After $m n$ iterations, we obtain m-level mirror images $C_{k}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}$, satisfying the symmetric relation:

$$
C_{k}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m} i_{m+1}} \mid C_{k}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}} \quad\left(\Gamma_{k}, i_{m+1}\right), \quad k \geq m n+i_{m+1}
$$

Now the $j$-th boundary of $C_{k}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m} i_{m+1}}$ is denoted as $\Gamma_{k, j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m} i_{m+1}}$,

$$
\partial C_{k}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m} i_{m+1}}=\Gamma_{k, i_{1}}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m} i_{m+1}}-\bigcup_{j \neq i_{1}}^{n} \Gamma_{k, j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m} i_{m+1}}
$$

## Koebe's Iteration

## Continued.

Consider $g_{k}^{-1}=f \circ f_{k}^{-1}$, for all $k$ we have

$$
C=g_{k}^{-1}\left(C_{k}\right)
$$

similarly,

$$
C^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}=g_{k}^{-1}\left(C_{k}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right)
$$

and its boundaries

$$
\Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}=g_{k}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{k, j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}}\right) .
$$

## Error Estimate

The circle domain $C=C^{0}$ is reflected about $\Gamma_{i_{1}}, \Gamma_{i_{2}}, \cdots, \Gamma_{i_{k}}$ sequentially, to a $k$-level mirror relfection image $C^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}$, its interior boundary is

$$
\Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}=\Gamma_{j \ldots \ldots(i), \quad j \neq i_{1}, ~}^{\ldots}
$$

such that $i_{l}$ and $i_{l+1}$ are not equal. After analytic extension, $g_{k}$ is defined on the augmented complex plane with $n(n-1)^{k-1}$ disks removed. The boundaries of these disks are

$$
\bigcup_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}, i_{l} \neq i_{l+1}} \bigcup_{j \neq i_{1}} \Gamma_{j}^{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}
$$

## Error Estimate

We choose a big circle $\Gamma_{\rho}$, enclosing all the initial boundaries $\Gamma_{j}$. For any point $w \in C^{0}$, by Cauchy formula

$$
g(w)-w=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{\rho}} \frac{g_{k}(s)-w}{s-w} d s-\sum_{(i), j} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}} \frac{g_{k}(s)-w}{s-w} d s
$$

at $\infty$ neighborhood, $g_{k}(w)-w=O\left(w^{-1}\right)$, when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{\rho}} \frac{g_{k}(s)-w}{s-w} d s=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{\rho}} \frac{g_{k}(s)-s}{s-w}+\frac{s-w}{s-w} d s \rightarrow 0
$$

## Error Estimate

Since $w$ is outside all $\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}$, integration

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}} \frac{w}{s-w} d s=0
$$

for any complex number $c_{j}^{(i)}$, integration

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}} \frac{c_{j}^{(i)}}{s-w} d s=0
$$

we obtain

$$
g_{k}(w)-w=-\sum_{(i), j} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}} \frac{g_{k}(s)-c_{j}^{(i)}}{s-w} d s
$$

## Multiple Reflection



## Error Estimate

In the initial circle domain $C^{0}$, let distance constant

$$
\delta:=\min _{i \neq j} \operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j}^{i}\right),
$$

we have $\delta>0$. Since $\Gamma_{j}^{(i)} \subset \Gamma_{i_{m-1}}^{i_{m}},|s-w|>\delta$. Define

$$
\delta_{k, j}^{(i)}:=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{k, j}^{(i)},\right.
$$

the curve $\Gamma_{k, j}^{(i)}=g_{k}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}\right)$ is enclosed by the circle centered as $c_{j}^{(i)}$ and diameter $\delta_{k, j}^{(i)}$, then for all $s \in \Gamma_{j}^{(i)}$,

$$
\left|g_{k}(s)-c_{j}^{(i)}\right| \leq \delta_{k, j}^{(i)}
$$

the length of the integration is $\pi \delta_{j}^{(i)}$, where $\delta_{j}^{(i)}=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}\right)$.

## Error Estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{k}(w)-w\right| & \leq \sigma_{(i), j} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint_{\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}} \frac{\left|g_{k}(s)-c_{j}^{(i)}\right|}{|s-w|}|d s| \leq \sum_{(i), j} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\delta_{k, j}^{(i)}}{\delta} \pi \delta_{j}^{(i)} \\
& =\sum_{(i), j} \frac{1}{2 \delta} \delta_{k, j}^{(i)} \delta_{j}^{(i)} \leq \sum_{(i), j} \frac{1}{4 \delta}\left(\left[\delta_{k, j}^{(i)}\right]^{2}+\left[\delta_{j}^{(i)}\right]^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first term,

$$
\sum_{(i), j}\left[\delta_{j}^{(i)}\right]^{2}=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{(i), j} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}\right) \leq \mu^{4 m} \sum_{j} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=\frac{4}{\pi} \mu^{4 m} \gamma_{1}
$$

where $\sum_{j} \alpha\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=\gamma_{1}$.

## Error Estimate

For the second term, consider the topological annlus bounded by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}^{(i)}$ and $\Gamma_{k, j}^{(i)}$, by the diameter estimation (4), we obtain

$$
\left[\delta_{j, k}^{(i)}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \log \mu^{-1}} \alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}^{(i)}\right)
$$

By inequality (5), we obtain
$\sum_{(i), j}\left[\delta_{j, k}^{(i)}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \log \mu^{-1}} \sum_{(i), j} \alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}^{(i)}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \log \mu^{-1}} \sum_{j} \alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2 \log \mu^{-1}} \mu^{4 m} \gamma_{2}$,
where $\gamma_{2}=\sum_{j} \alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}\right)$.

## Error Estimate

We estimate $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. The circle $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\rho}$ enclose all the circles $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i}$, then $\gamma_{1}<\pi \rho^{2}$. Using $g_{k}(w)$, we estimate $\gamma_{2} . g_{k}$ is univalent on $|w|>\rho$, in the neighborhood of $\infty, g_{k}(w)=w+O\left(w^{-1}\right)$. Perform coordinate change $\zeta=1 / w, \eta=1 / z$, construct univalent holomorphic function $\varphi: \zeta \rightarrow \eta$,

$$
\varphi(\zeta)=\frac{1}{g_{k}(1 / \zeta)}
$$

$\varphi$ is defined on the disk $|\zeta|<\rho^{-1}, \varphi(0)=0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=1$. By Koebe $1 / 4$ theorem,

$$
\left\{|\eta|<\frac{1}{4 \rho}\right\} \subset \varphi\left(\left\{|\zeta|<\frac{1}{\rho}\right\}\right)
$$

equivalently

$$
\{|z|>4 \rho\} \subset g_{k}(\{|w|>\rho\})
$$

hence all $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}$ are included in the interior of $|z|<4 \rho$, hence the total area of all holes

$$
\gamma_{2}=\sum_{j} \alpha\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{k, j}\right)<16 \pi \rho^{2}
$$

## Error Estimate

We proved the convergence rate of Koebe's iteration.
Theorem (Convergence Rate of Koebe's Iteration)
In the Koebe's iteration, when $k>m n$,

$$
\left|g_{k}(w)-w\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 \delta}\left(\frac{4}{\pi} \pi \rho^{2}+\frac{\pi}{2 \log \mu^{-1}} 16 \pi \rho^{2}\right) \mu^{4 m}
$$

This shows $\mu$ controls the convergence rate.

