

Proof of Partition Theorem

$\text{Spec}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\text{Spec}(2 + \sqrt{2})$

Form a Partition of $\mathbb{N} - \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}^+$

**Method : Prove General Theorem of
which our theorem is a particular
case**

SPECTRUM PARTITION THEOREM

Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$

Be such that $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$

Then the sets

$$A = \{ \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \} = \text{spec}(\alpha)$$

$$B = \{ \lfloor \beta n \rfloor : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \} = \text{spec}(\beta)$$

Form a Partition of $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$

i.e. $A \neq \emptyset, B \neq \emptyset$

$$A \cap B = \emptyset$$

$$A \cup B = \mathbb{Z}^+$$

Proof of Partition Theorem (Special Case)

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2}, \quad \beta = 2 + \sqrt{2}$$

We get :

Spec($\sqrt{2}$) and Spec($2 + \sqrt{2}$)

Form a Partition of $\mathbb{N} - \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}^+$

Proof

1. The $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor \in A, \lfloor \beta \rfloor \in B$

2. $A \cap B = \emptyset$

Proof by contradiction

Suppose that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ i.e.

i.e. There is $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $k \in A, k \in B$

Iff there are $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$\lfloor \alpha_i \rfloor = k \quad \lfloor \beta_j \rfloor = k \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$k \leq \alpha_i < k+1$$

$$k \leq \beta_j < k+1$$

But $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$,

So α_i, β_j can't be integers, so \leq can't hold,
so we get

$$k < \alpha_i < k+1$$

$$k < \beta_j < k+1$$

$$k/\alpha < i < (k+1)/\alpha$$

$$k/\beta < j < (k+1)/\beta$$

On adding them

$$k/\alpha + k/\beta < i + j < (k+1)/\alpha + (k+1)/\beta$$

$$k(1/\alpha + 1/\beta) < i + j < (k+1)(1/\alpha + 1/\beta)$$

We know that $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$

$$\Rightarrow k < i+j < k+1$$



Contradiction!!!

$$i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

No integer between k , $k+1$!

We proved $A \cap B = \emptyset$

Now we want to prove that $A \cup B = \mathbb{Z}^+$

Assume $A \cup B \neq \mathbb{Z}^+$

i.e. Exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that $k \notin A \cup B$

i.e. $k \notin A$ and $k \notin B$

$k \notin A$ iff for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ $k \neq \lfloor \alpha x \rfloor$ ----- 1

$k \notin B$ iff for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ $k \neq \lfloor \beta x \rfloor$ ----- 2

This means that there exist i_0, j_0 such that

$\lfloor \alpha i_0 \rfloor < k$ and $\lfloor \alpha (i_0+1) \rfloor > k$ and same holds for β

i.e.

$$(1a) \quad \alpha i_0 < k \ \& \ \alpha (i_0+1) > k+1$$

$$(2a) \quad \beta i_0 < k \ \& \ \beta (i_0+1) > k+1$$

(These cant be = k+1 as $\beta, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$ and $k+1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$)

$$\lfloor \beta i_0 \rfloor < k \quad \text{and} \quad \lfloor \beta (i_0+1) \rfloor > k$$

1a when rewritten

$$\alpha < k / i_0 \ \& \ \alpha > (k+1) / (i_0+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1/\alpha > i_0 / k \ \& \ 1/\alpha < (i_0+1) / (k+1)$$

Or $i_0 / k < 1/\alpha < (i_0+1) / (k+1)$ |||ly for β we get

$$j_0 / k < 1/\beta < (j_0+1) / (k+1)$$

Adding the above two equations and using $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$ We get

$$(i_0+j_0)/k < 1 < (i_0+j_0+2)/(k+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow (i_0+j_0)/k < 1 \text{ and } 1 < (i_0+j_0+2)/(k+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow i_0+j_0 < k \text{ and } k < i_0+j_0+1$$

$$\Rightarrow i_0+j_0 < k < i_0+j_0+1 \quad k, i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

Contradiction: $n < k < n+1$