

cse547, math547
DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

Professor Anita Wasilewska

LECTURE 12

CHAPTER 4

NUMBER THEORY

PART 1: Divisibility

PART 2: Primes

PART 1: DIVISIBILITY

Basic Definitions

Definition

Given $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say

m **divides** n or n **is divisible by** m if and only if $m \neq 0$ and $n = mk$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

We write it symbolically

$m \mid n$ if and only if $n = mk$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Definition

If $m \mid n$, then m is called a **divisor** or a **factor** of n

We call $n = mk$ a **decomposition** or a **factorization** of n

Basic Definitions

Definition

Let m be a **divisor** of n , i.e. $n = mk$

Cleary: $k \neq 0$ is also a **divisor** of n and is uniquely determined by m

Definition

Divisors of n occur in **pairs** (m,k)

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a **square number** if and only if all its divisors of n are (m,m) i.e when $n = m^2$

Basic Facts

Fact 1

If (m, k) is a divisor of n so is $(-m, -k)$

Proof

$n = mk$, so $n = (-m)(-k) = mk$

Definition

$(-m, -k)$ is called an **associated divisor** to (m, k)

Fact 2

± 1 together with $\pm n$ are **trivial divisors** of n

Proof Each number n has an obvious decomposition $(1, n), (-1, -n)$ as $n = 1n = (-1)(-n)$

Basic Facts

Fact 3

If $m|n$ and $n|m$, then m, n are **associated**, i.e. $m = \pm n$

Proof

Assume $m|n$ i.e. $n = mk_1$, also $n|m$ i.e. $m = nk_2$, for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

So $n = nk_1k_2$ iff $k_1 = k_2 = 1$ and $m = n$

or $k_1 = k_2 = -1$, and $m = -n$

Fact 4

If $m|n_1$ and $m|n_2$ then $m|(n_1 \pm n_2)$

Proof

Assume $m|n_1$ i.e. $n_1 = mk_1$, and $m|n_2$ i.e. $n_2 = mk_2$

Hence $n_1 \pm n_2 = m(k_1 \pm k_2)$ i.e. $m|(n_1 \pm n_2)$

Basic Facts

Fact 5

If $m \mid n$ and $n \mid k$ then $m \mid k$

Proof

$m \mid n$ iff $n = mk_1$ and $n \mid k$ iff $k = nk_2$

Hence $k = mk_1k_2$ iff $m \mid k$

In most questions regarding **divisors** we assume that $m > 0$ and only consider **positive divisors** (m, k)

We look first at **positive factorizations** and then we work out others

Book Definition

The Book Definition

For $n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$m \mid n$ if and only if $m > 0$ and $n = mk$

It means the **The Book** considers only **positive divisors** (m, k) , $m > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Definition

All **positive divisors**, including **1**, that are less than **n** are called **proper divisors** of **n**

Basic Facts

Fact 6

If (m,k) is a divisor of n then the factors m,k can't be both $> \sqrt{n}$

Proof

Assume that for both factors $m > \sqrt{n}$ and $k > \sqrt{n}$, then $mk > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$;

we got a **contradiction** with $n = mk$

Fact 6 Rewrite

If (m,k) is a divisor of n , then $m \leq \sqrt{n}$ or $k \leq \sqrt{n}$

Example

Problem

Find all divisors of $n = 60$

By the **Fact 6** the number of divisors of $m \leq \sqrt{n} = \sqrt{60}$
i.e.

$$m \leq \sqrt{60} < \sqrt{64} = 8$$

Hence $m < 8$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$

and we have six pairs of divisors

$$(1, 60) \quad (3, 20) \quad (5, 12)$$

$$(2, 30) \quad (4, 15) \quad (6, 10)$$

Division and Remainders

Let $b \neq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$

Then any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is either a multiple of b or lies between two consecutive multiples qb and $(q+1)b$ of b

We write it:

$$a = qb + r \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, |b| - 1$$

r is called the **least positive remainder** or simply the **remainder** of a by division with b

$$0 \leq r < |b|$$

q is the **incomplete quotient** or simply the **quotient**

Division and Remainders

Note

Given $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \neq 0$ the quotient q and the remainder r are uniquely determined and each integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as:

$$a = qb + r \quad 0 \leq r < |b|$$

Example

$$321 = 4 \cdot 74 + 25 \quad q = 4, \quad b = 74, \quad r = 25$$

$$46 = (-2)(-17) + 12 \quad q = -2, \quad b = -17, \quad r = 12$$

In particular any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = 2q$ (even) or $n = 2q + 1$ (odd)

Division and Remainders

Theorem

The square of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is either **divisible** by **4**, or leaves the **remainder 1** when divided by **4**

Proof

Case 1: $n = 2q, n^2 = (2q)^2 = 4q^2$

Case2: $n = 2q + 1, n^2 = 4q^2 + 4q + 1 = 4(q^2 + q) + 1$

Division and Remainders

Let $b \neq 0$; $a, b, q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$a = qb + r \quad 0 \leq r < |b|$$

We re-write is as

$$\frac{a}{b} = q + \frac{r}{b} \quad 0 \leq \frac{r}{b} < 1$$

Fact q is the **greatest integer** such that $q \leq \frac{a}{b}$

Division and Remainders

Special Notation

Old notation

$[q]$ = greatest integer such that it is less or equal $\frac{a}{b}$

Modern notation

$\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$ = greatest integer such that it is less or equal $\frac{a}{b}$

Modern notation comes from **K.E. Iverson, 1960**

Division and Remainders

Book, page 67

FLOOR: $\lfloor x \rfloor$ = the greatest integer q , $q \leq x$

CEILING: $\lceil x \rceil$ = the least integer q , $q \geq x$

$q = \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$ = the greatest integer q , $q \leq \frac{a}{b}$ is also called the greatest integer **contained** in $\frac{a}{b}$

Example

$$\left\lfloor \frac{25}{5} \right\rfloor = 5, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{5}{3} \right\rfloor = 1, \quad \lfloor 2 \rfloor = 2, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{-1}{3} \right\rfloor = -1, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{1}{3} \right\rfloor = 0$$

Division and Remainders

We **extent** notation to Real numbers

$$x, y, q \in \mathbb{R} \quad x = \lfloor x \rfloor + y, \quad 0 \leq y < 1$$

Example

$$\lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3, \quad \lfloor e \rfloor = 2, \quad \lfloor \pi^2/2 \rfloor = 4$$

Back to the Chapter 3 - we used notation $\{x\}$ for y

Number Systems

Given $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, we represent a on base b as

$$a = a_n b^n + a_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 b^1 + a_0 \text{ where } a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$$

We write it as

$$a = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0)_b$$

Questions

1. How to find the representation of a on base b ?
2. How to pass from one base to the other?

This we did show already in Chapter 1!

Number Systems

Consider

$$a = a_n b^n + a_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 b^1 + a_0$$

Observation 1

a_0 is the remainder of a by division by b as

$$a = b (a_n b^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 b^0) + a_0$$

So we have

$$a = q_1 b + a_0 \quad \text{where} \quad q_1 = a_n b^{n-1} + \dots + a_2 b + a_1$$

Number Systems

Consider now

$$q_1 = b(a_n b^{n-2} + \dots + a_2) + a_1$$

Observation 2

a_1 is the remainder of q_1 by division by b and

$$q_1 = bq_2 + a_1 \quad \text{where} \quad q_2 = a_n b^{n-2} + \dots + a_3 b + a_2$$

Repeat

a_i is the remainder of q_i by division by b , for
 $i = 1 \dots n-1$

to find all a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n

Examples

Example

Represent 1749 in a system with base 7

$$1749 = 249 \cdot 7 + 6$$

$$249 = 35 \cdot 7 + 4$$

$$35 = 5 \cdot 7 + 0$$

$$a_0 = 6, \quad a_1 = 4, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad a_3 = 5$$

So we get

$$1749 = (5, 0, 4, 6)_7$$

Examples

Example

Represent 19151 in a system with base 12

$$19151 = 1595 \cdot 12 + 11$$

$$1595 = 132 \cdot 12 + 11$$

$$132 = 11 \cdot 12 + 0$$

$$a_0 = 11, \quad a_1 = 11, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad a_3 = 11$$

So we get

$$19151 = (11, 0, 11, 11)_{12}$$

Number Systems

We evaluated the components

$$a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$$

from the lowest a_0 **upward** to a_n

Now let's evaluate a_0, \dots, a_n **downward** from a_n to a_0

In this case we have to determine the **highest power** of b such that b^n is **less than** a , while the next power b^{n+1} **exceeds** a

Number Systems

We look for **division** of a by b^n and

$$a = a_n b^n + r_{n-1}$$

$$r_{n-1} = a_{n-1} b^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$$

We determine a_{n-1} from r_{n-1}

$$r_{n-1} = a_{n-1} b^{n-1} + r_{n-2}$$

$$r_{n-2} = a_{n-2} b^{n-2} + \dots + a_0$$

We determine a_{n-2} from r_{n-2}

$$r_{n-2} = a_{n-2} b^{n-2} + r_{n-3} \quad \text{and etc ...}$$

Example

Example

Represent **1832** to the base **7**

First calculate **powers** of **7**

$$7^1 = 7 \quad 7^2 = 49 \quad 7^3 = 343 \quad 7^4 = 2401$$

and then calculate

$$a = a_n b^n + r_{n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 3$$

$$1832 = 5 \cdot 7^3 + 117 \quad a_3 = 5$$

$$117 = 2 \cdot 7^2 + 19 \quad a_2 = 2$$

$$19 = 2 \cdot 7 + 5 \quad a_1 = 2, \quad a_0 = 5$$

We obtained

$$1832 = (5, 2, 2, 5)_7$$

Greatest Common Divisor

Definition **Common Divisor**

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

If c divides a and b simultaneously, then c is called a **common divisor** of a and b

Symbolically

c is a **common divisor** of a and b iff $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$

Greatest Common Divisor

Let $A = \{c: c \mid a \text{ and } c \mid b\}$ be the set of **all common divisors** of a and b

The set A is **finite**, so the poset (A, \leq) is a finite, with a total (linear) order and hence always has the **greatest** element

This **greatest** element is called a **greatest common divisor** (g.c.d.) of a and b and denoted by $\gcd(a, b) = (a, b)$

Remark The **greatest** element in the poset (A, \leq) is its unique maximal element so it justifies the BOOK definition

$$\gcd(a, b) = (a, b) = \max\{c: c \mid a \cap c \mid b\}$$

Relatively Prime Numbers

Remark

Every number has the divisor 1, so $\gcd(a, b)$ is a positive integer, i.e. $\gcd(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Definition

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are **relatively prime** if and only if

$$(a, b) = \gcd(a, b) = 1$$

Book notation

$a \perp b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ **relatively prime**

Example

$(24, 56) = 8$, $(15, 21) = 1$ $15 \perp 22$

Euclid Algorithm

Theorem

Any common divisor of two numbers divides their greatest common divisor

Proof By procedure known as **Euclid Algorithm (Algorithm)**

Euclid Algorithm is known from seventh book of **Euclid's Elements** (about 300 BC); however it is certainly of earlier origin

Here it is

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be two integers whose $(a, b) = \gcd(a, b)$ we want to be studied

Since there is only question of **divisibility**, there is no limitation in assuming that **a, b are positive** and **a is greater or equal b**, i.e.

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a \geq b$

Euclid Algorithm

1. We **divide** a **by** b with respect to the least positive remainder

$$a = q_1 b + r_1 \quad 0 \leq r_1 < b$$

2. We **divide** b **by** r_1 with respect to the least positive remainder

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2 \quad 0 \leq r_2 < r_1$$

3. We **divide** r_1 **by** r_2 with respect to the least positive remainder

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3 \quad 0 \leq r_3 < r_2$$

We **continue** the process

Euclid Algorithm

Observe that such obtained remainders

$$r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n,$$

form a decreasing sequence of positive integers

$$r_1 > r_2 > r_3 > \dots > r_n > \dots$$

and one must arrive on a division for which $r_{n+1} = 0$, i.e.

the **Euclid Algorithm** process:

divide a by b , divide b by r_1 , ... divide r_k by r_{k+1}

must **terminate**

Euclid Algorithm

Euclid Algorithm

$$a = q_1 b + r_1$$

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2$$

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3$$

... ..

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + r_n$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1} r_n + 0$$

Theorem

$$r_n = (a, b) = \gcd(a, b)$$

Euclid Algorithm Example

Example

Find $\gcd(76084, 63,020)$

$$76,084 = 63,020 \cdot 1 + 13,064$$

$$q_1 = 1, \quad r_1 = 13,064$$

$$63,020 = 13,064 \cdot 4 + 10,764$$

$$q_2 = 4, \quad r_2 = 10,764$$

$$13,064 = 10,764 \cdot 1 + 2,300$$

$$q_3 = 1, \quad r_3 = 2,300$$

$$10,764 = 2,300 \cdot 4 + 1,564$$

$$q_4 = 5, \quad r_4 = 1,564$$

$$2,300 = 1,564 \cdot 1 + 736$$

$$q_5 = 1, \quad r_5 = 736$$

$$1,564 = 736 \cdot 2 + 92$$

$$q_6 = 2, \quad r_6 = 92$$

$$736 = 92 \cdot 8 + 0$$

$$q_7 = 8, \quad r_7 = 0 \quad \mathbf{end}$$

$$\gcd(76084, 63020) = (76084, 63020) = r_6 = 92$$

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

Theorem

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a \geq b$, and the Euclid Algorithm applied to a, b the following holds

$$\text{IF } r_{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{THEN } r_n = (a, b) = \text{gcd}(a, b)$$

Proof

We conduct proof in two steps

Step 1 We show that the last non-vanishing remainder r_n is a **common divisor** of a and b

Step 2 We show that the r_n is the **greatest** common divisor of a and b

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

Step 1 We show that the last non-vanishing remainder r_n is a **common divisor** of a and b , i.e. we show that

$$r_n \mid a \quad \text{and} \quad r_n \mid b$$

Assume that r_n is the last non-vanishing remainder, i.e. $r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_n$ and hence

$$1. \quad r_n \mid r_{n-1}$$

Observe that

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + r_n = q_n q_{n+1} r_n + r_n = r_n (q_n q_{n+1} + 1)$$

Hence

$$2. \quad r_n \mid r_{n-2}$$

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

Observe that

$$r_{n-3} = q_{n-1}r_{n-2} + r_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad r_n \mid r_{n-1}, \quad r_n \mid r_{n-2}$$

Hence

$$r_n \mid r_{n-3}$$

We carry our **proof** by **double induction** with **1.** and **2.** as **base cases** proved already to be true

Inductive Assumption

$$r_n \mid r_{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad r_n \mid r_{n-(k+1)} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1$$

Inductive Thesis

$$r_n \mid r_{n-(k+2)}$$

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

Observe that

$$r_{n-(k+2)} = q_{n-(k+1)}r_{n-(k+1)} + r_{n-k}$$

and by inductive assumption

$$r_n \mid r_{n-(k+1)}, \quad r_n \mid r_{n-k}$$

Hence

$$r_n \mid r_{n-(k+2)}$$

By **Double Induction** Principle

$$r_n \mid r_{n-k} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1$$

In particular case when $k = n - 1$, and $k = n - 2$ we get

$$r_n \mid r_1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_n \mid r_2$$

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

We have that

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2$$

and we just got $r_n \mid r_1$ and $r_n \mid r_2$

Hence

$$r_n \mid b$$

We also have that

$$a = q_1 b + r_1$$

and we just got $r_n \mid r_1$ and $r_n \mid b$

Hence

$$r_n \mid a$$

It proves that r_n is a **common divisor** of a and b and it **ends** the proof of the **Step 1**

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

Step 2 We show that the r_n is the **greatest** common divisor of a and b

Let A be a set of **all** common divisors of a and b , i.e.

$$A = \{c : c \mid a \cap c \mid b\}$$

We have to show that for any $c \in A$

$$c \mid r_n$$

i.e. that r_n is the **greatest** element in the **poset** $(A, |)$

Exercise: Show that $|$ is an **order** (partial order) relation in Z

Euclid Algorithm Correctness Proof

We have

$$a = q_1 b + r_1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 = a - q_1 b$$

so for any $c \in A$, $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, hence

$$c \mid r_1$$

Similarly

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2 \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = b - q_2 r_1$$

and $c \mid b$ and $c \mid r_1$, hence

$$c \mid r_2$$

By **Mathematical Induction**

$$c \mid r_k \quad \text{for all} \quad k \geq 1$$

and in particular

$$c \mid r_n$$

what **ends the proof** of the **correctness** of the
Euclid Algorithm

Faster Algorithm

Kronecker (1823 - 1891) proved that **no Euclid Algorithm can be shorter** than one obtained by **least absolute remainders** - r_n can be negative

Example Find $(76084, 63020)$ by the least absolute remainders

$$76,084 = 63,020 \cdot 1 + 13,064$$

$$63,020 = 13,064 \cdot 5 - 2,300$$

$$13,064 = 2,300 \cdot 6 - 736$$

$$2,300 = 736 \cdot 2 + 92$$

$$736 = 92 \cdot 8$$

$$(76084, 63020) = 92$$

We did it in 5 steps instead of 7 steps

"mod" Binary Operation

Definition

For any $x, y \in R$ we define a binary relation $\text{mod} \subseteq R \times R$ as

$$x \text{ mod } y = x - y \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } y \neq 0$$

and

$$x \text{ mod } 0 = x$$

Example

$$5 \text{ mod } 3 = 5 - 3 \left\lfloor \frac{5}{3} \right\rfloor = 5 - 3 \cdot 1 = 2$$

$$5 \text{ mod } (-3) = 5 - (-3) \left\lfloor \frac{5}{-3} \right\rfloor = 5 - (-3) \cdot (-1) = -1$$

"mod" Binary Operation

Observe that when $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \neq 0$ we get

$$a = b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor + a \bmod b$$

and

$$a = b q + r \quad \text{for } q = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor, \quad r = a \bmod b$$

i.e. $a \bmod b$ is a **remainder** in the division of a by b

Example

We evaluated $r_1 = 5 \bmod 3 = 2$, $r_2 = 5 \bmod (-3) = -1$
and we have

$$5 = 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 5 = (-3)(-1) - 1$$

"mod" Euclid Algorithm

We use the the **mod** relation to formulate a more modern version of **Euclid Algorithm**

We define a recursive function f for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq m < n$ we put

$$f(m, n) = f(n \bmod m, m) \quad \text{for } m > 0$$

$$f(0, n) = n \quad \text{for } m = 0$$

Theorem

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq a < b$

If the function $f = f(m, n)$ applied recursively to a, b as the initial values terminates at $f(0, k)$, **then**

$$\gcd(a, b) = f(0, k)$$

Proof Book pages 103, 103 - but this is just a translation of our proven theorem!

Examples

Example 6

$$f(m, n) = f(n \bmod m, m) \text{ for } m > 0, \quad f(0, n) = n$$

$$f(12, 18) = f(6, 12) = f(0, 6) = 6 \quad \text{gcd}(12, 18) = f(0, 6) = 6$$

Example 2

$$\begin{aligned} f(63020, 76084) &= f(13064, 63020) = f(10764, 13064) \\ &= f(2300, 107640) = f(1564, 2300) = f(736, 1564) \end{aligned}$$

$$f(92, 736) = f(0, 92)$$

$$\text{gcd}(63020, 76084) = f(0, 92) = 92$$

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

Definition

$m, n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ are **relatively prime** if and only if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

Notation $n \perp m$ for m, n **relatively prime**

We now use **Euclid Algorithm** to derive other properties of the **gcd**

The most important one is the following

Division Lemma

When a product ac of two natural numbers is divisible by a number b that is **relatively prime** to a , the factor c must be **divisible by** b

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

Division Lemma written symbolically

If $b \mid ac$ and $a \perp b$ then $b \mid c$

Proof

Since $a \perp b$, i.e. $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, hence the last remainder r_n in the **Euclid Algorithm** must be **1**, so **E A** has a form

$$a = q_1 b + r_1$$

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2$$

... ..

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + 1$$

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

Multiply by c

$$ac = q_1bc + r_1c$$

$$bc = q_2r_1c + r_2c$$

... ..

$$r_{n-2}c = q_nr_{n-1}c + c$$

and $b \mid ac$, so $b \mid r_1c$, and hence $b \mid r_2c$

By Mathematical Induction we get

$$\forall i \geq 1 (b \mid r_i)$$

In particular $b \mid r_{n-2}c$, and hence $b \mid c$

It ends the proof

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

Theorem 1

When a number is **relatively prime** to each of several numbers, it is **relatively prime** to their product

Symbolically

If $a \perp b_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, then $a \perp b_1 b_2 \dots b_k$

Proof By contradiction; we show case $i = 2$ and the rest is carried by Mathematical Induction

Assume $a \perp b$ and $a \perp c$, and $a \nmid bc$

By definition we have hence that $\gcd(a, bc) \neq 1$, i.e. a has a common divisor d with bc , i.e. there is d such that

$$d \mid a \quad \text{and} \quad d \mid bc$$

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

We have that there is d such that

$$d \mid a \quad \text{and} \quad d \mid bc$$

and

$a \perp b$, and $d \mid a$, hence we get $d \perp b$

We also have

$a \perp c$, and $d \mid a$, hence we get $d \perp c$

So from $d \mid bc$ and $d \perp b$ we get by the **Division Lemma** that $d \mid c$ what is **contrary** to $d \perp c$

Exercise Write the full proof by Mathematical Induction

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

Theorem 2

$$\gcd(ka, kb) = k \cdot \gcd(a, b)$$

Proof

$\gcd(a, b) = r_n$ in the Euclid Algorithm

$$a = q_1 b + r_1$$

... ..

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + r_n$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1} r_n + 0$$

We multiply each step by k

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

We multiply each step by k

$$ka = kq_1b + kr_1$$

... ..

$$kr_{n-2} = kq_nr_{n-1} + kr_n$$

$$kr_{n-1} = q_{n+1}kr_n + 0$$

This is the **Euclid Algorithm** for ka , kb and

$$gcd(ka, kb) = k \cdot r_n = k \cdot gcd(a, b)$$

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

Theorem 3

Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$ be such that

$$a = a_1 d \quad \text{and} \quad b = b_1 d$$

Then

$$a_1 \perp b_1$$

Proof

Evaluate using **Theorem 2**

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(a, b) &= \gcd(a_1 d, b_1 d) \\ &= d \cdot \gcd(a_1, b_1) = \gcd(a, b) \gcd(a_1, b_1) \end{aligned}$$

So we get $\gcd(a_1, b_1) = 1$, as $nk=k$ iff $k=1$

This means

$$a_1 \perp b_1$$

Some Consequences of Euclid Algorithm

The **Theorem 3** applies in elementary arithmetic in the reduction of fractions

Take any fraction and $a = a_1 d$, $b = b_1 d$

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a_1 d}{b_1 d} = \frac{a_1}{b_1}$$

for

$$a_1 \perp b_1$$

I.e **any fraction** can be represented in **reduced form** with numerator and denominator that are **relatively prime**

Least Common Multiple

A number m is said to be a **common multiple** of the numbers a and b when it is **divisible by both of them**

For example, the product ab is a common multiple of a and b

Since, as before there is only question of divisibility, there is no limitation in considering only **positive multiples**

Definition Common Multiple

Let $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$m = \text{cm}(a, b)$ is a **common multiple** of a and b iff
 $a \mid m$ and $b \mid m$ and $m > 0$

Least Common Multiple

Let $A = \{m : a \mid m \text{ and } b \mid m\}$ be the set of **all common multiples** of a and b

This **least** element is called a **least common multiple** (l.c.m.) of a and b and denoted by $lcm(a, b)$

Remark The **least** element in the poset $(A, |)$ is its unique minimal element so it justifies the BOOK definition

$$lcm(a, b) = \min\{m : m > 0 \cap a \mid m \cap b \mid m\}$$

Least Common Multiple

Theorem 4

Any common multiple of a and b is **divisible** by $\text{lcm}(a,b)$

Proof

Let $m = \text{cm}(a,b)$

We divide m by $\text{lcm}(a,b)$, i.e

$$m = q\text{lcm}(a,b) + r \quad 0 \leq r < \text{lcm}(a,b)$$

But $a \mid \text{lcm}(a,b)$ and $b \mid \text{lcm}(a,b)$ and $a \mid m$ and $b \mid m$

Hence $a \mid r$ and $b \mid r$ and r is a common multiple of a, b

But $0 \leq r < \text{lcm}(a,b)$, so $r=0$ what proves that

$m = q \cdot \text{lcm}(a,b)$, i.e. m is **divisible** by $\text{lcm}(a,b)$

Least Common Multiple

Theorem 5

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ and $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ exist

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{gcd}(a, b) = ab$$

Theorem 6

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = ab \text{ if and only if } a \perp b$$

Exercise Prove both Theorems

PART 2: PRIME NUMBERS

Definition

Definition

A positive integer is called **prime** if it **has only two divisors 1 and itself**

We assume **convention** that **1 is not prime**

We denote by **P** the **set of all primes**

Symbolically

$p \in P \subseteq N$ if and only if $p > 1$ and for any $k \in Z$
if $k|p$ then $k = 1$ or $k = p$

Some primes

2, 3, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, ...

Primes

Observe 2 is the only even prime!

Question Is 91 prime? No, it isn't as $91 = 7 \cdot 13$

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$ is called **composite** and denoted by **CN**, if it is not prime

Symbolically

$n \in \text{CN}$ if and only if $n \leq 1 \vee \exists_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k | n \wedge k \neq 1 \wedge k \neq n)$

Directly from the definition we have that

Fact 1

$$\forall_{m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0,1\}} (m \in P \vee m \in \text{CN}) \quad \text{and} \quad P \cap \text{CN} = \emptyset$$

Primes

Definition

$m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are **relatively prime** if and only if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

Notation $n \perp m$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime

Fact 2

$$\forall p \in \mathcal{P} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (p \perp n \cup p | n)$$

Fact 3

A **product** of two numbers is divisible by a **prime p** only when **p divides** one of the factors

Symbolically

$$\forall p \in \mathcal{P} \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z} (p | mn \Rightarrow (p | m \cup p | n))$$

Primes

Proof

Assume that **Fact 3** is not true, i.e.

$$\exists p \in P \exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z} (p \mid mn \wedge p \nmid m \wedge p \nmid n)$$

$p \nmid m$ so by **Fact 2** $p \perp m$

Now when $p \mid mn$ and $p \perp m$ we get $p \mid n$ and we get a **contradiction** with $p \nmid n$

Fact 4

A product $q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$ of **prime** numbers (factors) q_i is **divisible** by a **prime** p only when $p = q_i$ for some q_i

Primes

Fact 4

$$\forall p, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in P \left(p \mid \prod_{k=1}^n q_k \Rightarrow \exists_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p = q_i) \right)$$

Proof

Let $p \mid \prod_{k=1}^n q_k$. By the **Fact 3** $p \mid q_i$ for some q_i where $q_i \in P$; but $p > 1$ as $1 \notin P$ hence $p = q_i$

Fact 5

Every natural number n , $n > 1$ is **divisible** by **some prime**

Primes

Fact 5

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \exists p \in P (p \mid n)$$

Proof

When $n \in P$, this is evident as $n \mid n$

When n is composite it can be factored $n = n_1 n_2$

where $n_1 > 1$

The smallest possible one of these divisors of n_1 must be prime

Main Factorization Theorem

We are now ready to prove the main theorem about factorization. The **idea** of this theorem, as well as all **Facts 1-5** we will use in proving it, can be found in **Euclid's Elements** in **Book VII** and **Book IX**

Main Factorization Theorem

Every **composite** number can be **factored uniquely** into **prime factors**

Main Factorization Theorem

We present here an "old" and pretty straightforward proof
You have another proof in the **Book pages 105-105** and
all this without saying that it is a **Theorem**, and a quite
important one

Proof We conduct it in two steps

Step 1 We show that every **composite** number $n > 1$ is
product of **prime numbers**

Step 2 We show the **uniqueness**

Main Factorization Theorem

Step 1 We show that every **composite** number $n > 1$ is product of **prime numbers**

By **Fact 5** there is $p_1 \in P$ such that $n = p_1 n_1$

If n_1 is composite, then by **Fact 5** again, $n_1 = p_2 n_2$

We continue this process with a decreasing sequence

$$n_1 > n_2 > n_3 > \dots$$

of numbers together with a corresponding sequence of prime numbers

$$p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$$

until some n_k becomes a **prime**, i.e. $n_k = p_k$ and we get

$$n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k$$

Main Factorization Theorem

Step 2 We show the uniqueness

Assume that we have **two different** prime factorizations

$$n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k = q_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_m$$

Each $p_i \mid n$, so for **each** p_i

$$p_i \mid \prod_{k=1}^m q_k$$

By the **Fact 4** $p_i = q_j$ for some j and $1 \leq j \leq m$

Conversely, we also have that **each** $q_i \mid n$, so for **each** q_i

$$q_i \mid \prod_{n=1}^k p_n$$

By the **Fact 4** $q_i = p_n$ for some n and $1 \leq n \leq k$

Main Factorization Theorem

This proves that both sides of

$$n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k = q_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_m$$

contain the **same primes**

The only difference might be that a **prime p** could occur a greater number of times on one side than on the other

In this case we **cancel p** on both sides sufficient number of times and get equation with **p** on one side, not the other

This **contradicts** just proven the fact that both sides of the equation contain the **same primes**

Main Factorization Theorem

We re-write our Theorem in a more formal way as follows

Main Factorization Theorem

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$, there are $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_i \geq 1$, and prime numbers $p_1 \neq p_2 \neq \dots \neq p_r$ $r \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot p_r^{\alpha_r} = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

and this representation is **unique**

p_i 's are different **prime factors** of n

α_i is the **multiplicity**, i.e. the number of times p_i occurs in the prime factorization

Main Factorization Theorem; General Form

We write our Theorem shortly in a more general form, as in the Book (page 107)

Main Factorization Theorem General Form

$$n = \prod_p p^{\alpha_p} \quad \text{for } p \in P, \alpha_p \geq 0$$

and this representation is **unique**

This is an infinite product, but for any particular n all but few exponents $\alpha_p = 0$, and $p^0 = 1$

Hence for a given n it is a **finite product**

Some Consequences of Main Factorization Theorem

We know, by the Main Factorization Theorem that any $n > 1$ has a unique representation

$$n = \prod_p p^{n_p} \quad \text{for } p \in P, n_p \geq 0$$

Consider now the poset (P, \leq) , i.e. we have that all prime numbers in P are in the sequence

$$p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n < \dots$$

$$2 < 3 < 5 < 7 < 11 < 13 < \dots$$

and we write

$$n = \prod_{i \geq 1} p_i^{n_i} \quad \text{for } n_i \geq 0$$

Because of the **uniqueness** of the representation we can represent n as

$$n = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k, \dots \rangle$$

Example

Example

Reminder

$$2 < 3 < 5 < 7 < 11 < 13 < \dots$$

Here are few representations

$$7 = 7 \quad \text{so } 7 = \langle 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \dots \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle$$

$$12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \quad \text{so } 12 = \langle 2, 1, 0, 0, \dots \rangle = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$$

$$18 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \quad \text{so } 18 = \langle 1, 2, 0, 0, \dots \rangle = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$$

Some Consequences of Factorization Theorem

Observe that when we have the general representations

$$k = \prod_p p^{k_p}, \quad n = \prod_p p^{n_p} \quad \text{and} \quad m = \prod_p p^{m_p}$$

then we evaluate

$$k = n \cdot m = \prod_p p^{n_p} \cdot \prod_p p^{m_p} = \prod_p p^{n_p + m_p}$$

We have hence proved

Fact 6

$$k = n \cdot m \quad \text{if and only if} \quad k_p = n_p + m_p, \quad \text{for all } p \in P$$

Some Consequences of Factorization Theorem

Fact 7

Let

$$m = \prod_p p^{m_p} \quad \text{and} \quad n = \prod_p p^{n_p}$$

Then

$$m \mid n \quad \text{if and only if} \quad m_p \leq n_p \quad \text{for all } p \in P$$

Proof

$m \mid n$ iff there is k , such that $n = mk$ and $k = \prod_p p^{k_p}$

By **Fact 6** we get that $n = mk$ iff $n_p = k_p + m_p$ iff $m_p \leq n_p$ and it **ends the proof**

Some Consequences of Factorization Theorem

Directly from **Fact 7** we definitions we get the following

Fact 8

$$k = \gcd(m, n) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad k_p = \min\{m_p, n_p\}$$

$$k = \text{lcd}(m, n) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad k_p = \max\{m_p, n_p\}$$

Example

Example 1

Let

$$12 = 2^2 \cdot 3^1 \quad 18 = 2^1 \cdot 3^2$$

$$\gcd(12, 18) = 2^{\min\{2,1\}} \cdot 3^{\min\{2,1\}} = 2^1 \cdot 3^1 = 6$$

$$\text{lcm}(12, 18) = 2^{\max\{2,1\}} \cdot 3^{\max\{2,1\}} = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 = 36$$

Example 2

Let

$$m = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^0 \quad n = 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^0$$

$$\gcd(m, n) = 2^{\min\{6,5\}} \cdot 3^{\min\{2,3\}} \cdot 5^{\min\{1,0\}} \cdot 7^{\min\{0,0\}} = 2^5 \cdot 3^2$$

$$\text{lcm}(m, n) = 2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$$

Exercises

1. Use Facts 6-8 to prove

Theorem 5

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ and $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ exist

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \text{gcd}(a, b) = ab$$

2. Use **Theorem 5** and the BOOK version of Euclid Algorithm to express $\text{lcm}(n \bmod m, m)$ when $n \bmod m \neq 0$

This is Ch4 Problem 2