



**Definition 2** Let  $VAR$  be a set of propositional variables of  $\mathcal{L}$  and let  $S_m$  be any  $m$ -valued semantics for  $\mathcal{L}$ . A truth assignment  $v : VAR \rightarrow V_m$  is called a  $S_m$  **model** for a formula  $A$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  iff  $v(A) = T$  and logical value  $v(A)$  is evaluated accordingly to the semantics  $S_m$ . We denote it symbolically as

$$v \models_{S_m} A.$$

Any  $v$  such that  $v$  is **not** a  $S_m$  **model** for a formula  $A$  is called a **counter-model** for  $A$ .

**Definition 3** A formula  $A$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a  $S_m$  **tautology** iff  $v \models_{S_m} A$ , for all  $v$ . We denote it by  $\models_{S_m} A$ , and  $\models A$  for **classical semantics tautologies**.

**Definition 4** A proof system  $S$  is **complete** with respect to a semantics  $S_m$  iff for any formula  $A$ , the following holds:  
 $A$  is provable in  $S$  iff  $A$  is  $S_m$  tautology.

**Q2 Part one** (15pts)

Let  $S_3$  be a 3-valued semantics for  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\neg, \cup, \Rightarrow\}}$  defined as follows.  
 $V_3 = \{F, U, T\}$ , for  $F \leq U \leq T$  and

$\cup$	F	U	T
F	F	U	T
U	U	U	U
T	T	U	T
$\neg$	F	U	T
	T	F	U

$$a \cup b = \min\{a, b\}, \quad a \Rightarrow b = \neg a \cup b, \quad \text{for any } a, b \in V_3.$$

Consider the following classical tautologies:

$$A_1 = (A \cup \neg A), \quad A_2 = (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)).$$

- (a) Find  $S_3$  counter-models for  $A_1, A_2$ , if exist. Use shorthand notation.

(b) Define a 2-valued semantics  $S_2$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ , such that **none of**  $A_1, A_2$  is a  $S_2$  tautology. Verify your results. Use shorthand notation.

(c) Define a 3-valued semantics  $C_3$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ , such that both  $A_1$ , and  $A_2$  are a  $C_3$  tautologies. Verify your results. Use shorthand notation.

**Q2 Part Two** (5pts)

Let  $S = (\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{A2}, \mathbf{A3}, MP)$  be a proof system with axioms:

**A1**  $(A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A))$ ,

**A2**  $((A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \Rightarrow ((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)))$ ,

**A3**  $((\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A) \Rightarrow ((\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B))$ ,

The system  $S$  is complete with respect to classical semantics.

**Verify** whether  $S$  is complete with respect to 3-valued semantics  $S_3$ , as defined at the beginning of this question.

**QUESTION 3** (15pts)

Let  $S$  be from QUESTION 2, PART 2.

The following Lemma holds in the system  $S$ .

**LEMMA** For any  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

- (a)  $(A \Rightarrow B), (B \Rightarrow C) \vdash_H (A \Rightarrow C)$ ,
- (b)  $(A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \vdash_H (B \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C))$ .

**Complete the proof sequence** (in  $S$ )

$$B_1, \dots, B_9$$

by providing comments how each step of the proof was obtained.

$$B_1 = (A \Rightarrow B)$$

$$B_2 = (\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A)$$

Already PROVED

$$B_3 = (\neg\neg A \Rightarrow B)$$

$$B_4 = (B \Rightarrow \neg\neg B)$$

Already PROVED

$$B_5 = (\neg\neg A \Rightarrow \neg\neg B)$$

$$B_6 = ((\neg\neg A \Rightarrow \neg\neg B) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A))$$

Already PROVED

$$B_7 = (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A)$$

$$B_8 = (A \Rightarrow B) \vdash (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A)$$

$$B_9 = ((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A))$$

**QUESTION 4** (35pts)

Consider any proof system  $S$ ,

$$S = (\mathcal{L}_{\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}}, AX, (MP) \frac{A, (A \Rightarrow B)}{B})$$

that is **complete** under classical semantics.

**Definition 1** Let  $X \subseteq F$  be any subset of the set  $F$  of formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}}$  of  $S$ .

We define a set  $Cn(X)$  of all **consequences** of the set  $X$  as follows

$$Cn(X) = \{A \in F : X \vdash_S A\},$$

i.e.  $Cn(X)$  is the set of all formulas that can be proved in  $S$  from the set  $(AX \cup X)$ . The following theorem holds for  $S$ .

**Part 1** (5pts)

(i) Prove that for any subsets  $X, Y$  of the set  $F$  of formulas the following **monotonicity property** holds.

If  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $Cn(X) \subseteq Cn(Y)$

(ii) Prove that for any  $X \subseteq F$ , the set  $\mathbf{T}$  of all propositional classical tautologies is a subset of  $Cn(X)$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{T} \subseteq Cn(X).$$

**Part two** (15pts) Prove that for any  $A, B \in F$ ,  $X \subseteq F$ ,

$$(A \cap B) \in Cn(X) \text{ iff } A \in Cn(X) \text{ and } B \in Cn(X)$$

**Hint:** Use the Monotonicity and Completeness of  $S$  i.e. the fact that any tautology you might need is provable in  $S$ .

**Part Three: (15pts)** Prove that for any  $A, B \in F$ ,

$$Cn(\{A, B\}) = Cn(\{(A \cap B)\})$$

**Hint:** Use Deduction Theorem and Completeness of  $S$ .

**QUESTION 5** (20pts) Given a tautology  $A$ , and the set  $V_A$  of all truth assignments restricted to  $A$ , the Proof 1 of the Completeness Theorem for the system  $S$  defines a method of efficiently combining  $v \in V_A$  to construct a proof of  $A$  in  $S$ .

Let consider the following tautology  $A = A(a, b)$

$$A = ((a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow (\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a))$$

**Write down** all steps of the construction of the proof of  $A$  as described in the Proof 1 with justification why they are correct.