Search Algorithms and Tables

Chapter 11
Tables

• A table, or dictionary, is an abstract data type whose data items are stored and retrieved according to a key value.
• The items are called records.
• Each record can have a number of data fields.
• The data is ordered based on one of the fields, named the key field.
• The record we are searching for has a key value that is called the target.
• The table may be implemented using a variety of data structures: array, tree, heap, etc.
public static int search(int[] a, int target) {
    int i = 0;
    boolean found = false;
    while ((i < a.length) && !found) {
        if (a[i] == target)
            found = true;
        else
            i++;
    }
    if (found) return i;
    else return -1;
}
public static int search(someClass[] a, int target) {
    int i = 0;
    boolean found = false;
    while ((i < a.length) && !found) {
        if (a[i].getKey() == target)
            found = true;
        else i++;
    }
    if (found) return i;
    else return -1;
}
Sequential Search on N elements

• Best Case
  Number of comparisons: $1 = O(1)$

• Average Case
  Number of comparisons:
  \[
  \frac{1 + 2 + \ldots + N}{N} = \frac{(N+1)}{2} = O(N)
  \]

• Worst Case
  Number of comparisons: $N = O(N)$
Binary Search

- Can be applied to any random-access data structure where the data elements are sorted.
- Additional parameters:
  - `first` – index of the first element to examine
  - `size` – number of elements to search starting from the first element above
Binary Search

• Precondition:
  If size > 0, then the data structure must have size elements starting with the element denoted as the first element. In addition, these elements are sorted.

• Postcondition:
  If target appears, the position of the target is returned (a non-negative integer). Otherwise, -1 is returned.
Recursive Binary Search

Look at the middle element of the array.
Is it the target?
If not, it might be in the left half of the array or the right half, but not both!
public static int search(int[] a, int first, int size, int target) {
    int mid;
    if (size <= 0) return -1;
    else {
        mid = first + (size/2);
        if (target == a[mid]) return mid;
        else if (target < a[mid])
            return search(a, first, size/2, target);
        else
            return search(a, mid+1, (size-1)/2, target);
    }
    Is this method tail recursive?
public static int search(someClass[] a,
   int first, int size, int target) {

    int mid;
    if (size <= 0) return -1;
    else {
        mid = first + (size/2);
        if(target == a[mid].getKey())
            return mid;
        else if (target < a[mid].getKey())
            return search(a,first,size/2,target);
        else
            return search(a,mid+1,(size-1)/2,target);
    }
}
Binary Search on N elements

- Let $T(N)$ = the total number of comparisons for a search on N elements.
- $T(N) = 1 + T(N/2)$
  $T(N/2) = 1 + T(N/4)$
  
  ...

  $T(1) = 1$
- $T(N) = 1 + 1 + 1 + ... + 1$
  $= O(\log N)$

Is Binary Search better than searching in BST?
Hashing

• Data records are stored in a hash table.
• The position of a data record in the hash table is determined by its key.
• A hash function maps keys to positions in the hash table.
• If a hash function maps two keys to the same position in the hash table, then a collision occurs.
Goals of Hashing

• An insert without a collision takes \(O(1)\) time.
• A search also takes \(O(1)\) time, if the record is stored in its proper location.
• The hash function can take many forms:
  - If the key \(k\) is an integer:
    \[ k \mod \text{tablesize} \]
  - If key \(k\) is a String (or any Object):
    \[ k\text{.hashCode()} \mod \text{tablesize} \]
  - Any function that maps \(k\) to a table position!
• The table size should be a prime number.
Simple Example

- Let the hash table be an 11-element array.
- If k is the key of a data record, let \( H(k) \) represent the hash function, where \( H(k) = k \mod 11 \).
- Insert the keys 83, 14, 29, 70, 55, 72:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inserting 72 now would cause a collision!
Collision Resolution
(Chained Hashing and Open addressing)

• Linear Probing
  - During insert of key $k$ to position $p$:
    If position $p$ contains a different key, then examine positions $p+1$, $p+2$, etc.* until an empty position is found and insert $k$ there.
  - During a search for key $k$ at position $p$:
    If position $p$ contains a different key, then examine positions $p+1$, $p+2$, etc.* until either the key is found or an unused position is encountered.

*wrap around to beginning of array if $p+i \geq$ tablesize
Collision Resolution (cont’d)

• Quadratic probing
  If position p contains a different key, then examine positions p+1, p+4, p+9, etc. until either the key is found or an empty position is encountered.

• Example: Insert additional key 72, 36, 48 using H(k) = k mod 11 and linear probing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Special consideration

• If we remove a key from the hash table, can we get into problems?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remove 83.
Now search for 48.
We can’t find 48 due to the gap in position 6!
Special consideration (cont’d)

- Add another array with boolean values that indicate whether the position is currently used or has been used in the past.
- If a key is removed, leave the boolean value set at true so we can search past it if necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Load Factor

- The load factor $\alpha$ of a hash table is given by the following formula:
  
  $$\alpha = \frac{\text{number of elements in table}}{\text{size of table}}$$

- Thus, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ for linear probing. ($\alpha$ can be greater than 1 for other collision resolution methods like chained hashing)

- For linear probing, as $\alpha$ approaches 1, the number of collisions increases
Table ADT

Invariants:

- The number of elements in the table is given by `manyItems`.
- We try to store an element with a given key at location `hash(key)`. If a collision occurs, linear probing is used to find a location to store the element and its associated key.
- If index `i` has never been used in the table, `data[i]` and `key[i]` are set to null.
- If index `i` is or has been used in the past, then `hasBeenUsed[i]` is true; otherwise it is false.
Various Hash Functions

- **Division hash function**
  1. convert key to a positive integer
  2. return the integer modulo the table size

- **Mid-square hash function**
  1. convert key to an integer
  2. multiply the integer by itself
  3. return several digits in the middle of result

- **Multiplicative hash function**
  1. convert the key to an integer
  2. multiply the integer by a constant less than 1
  3. return the first several digits of the fractional part
Reducing Clustering

• Linear probing can cause significant clusters (primary and secondary).
• To reduce clustering, use double hashing.
• Define two hash functions: hash1 and hash2.
• Use the hash1 function to determine the initial location of the key in the hash table.
• If a collision occurs, use the hash2 function to determine how far to move ahead to look for a vacant location in the hash table.
Double Hashing Example

- $H_1(k) = k \mod 1231$  \quad d = \gcd(H_2(k), \text{tableSize}) = 1$
- $H_2(k) = 1+k \mod 1229$  \quad \text{tableSize}/d = 1231/1 = 1231$
- For key $k = 2000$:  \quad H_1(k) = 769$
- If location 769 is occupied,  \quad H_2(k) = 772$
- So we check position $(769+772) \mod 1231 = 310$ to see if it is occupied.
- If it is, we check position $(310+772) \mod 1231 = 1082$, etc.
- The table size is relatively prime to the value returned by the second hash function hash2.
Double Hashing Example (cont’d)

- \( H_1(k) = k \mod 100 \)
- \( H_2(k) = 2 + k \mod 52 \)

- For key \( k = 204 \):
  - \( H_1(k) = 4 \)
  - If location 4 is occupied,
  - \( H_2(k) = 50 \)
  - So we check position \((4+50) \mod 100 = 54\)
    to see if it is occupied.
  - If it is, we check position
    \((54+50) \mod 100 = 4\), etc.

- Table size is not relatively prime to the value
  returned by the second hash function \( H_2 \).
Chained Hashing

• The maximum number of elements that can be stored in a hash table implemented using an array is the table size ($\alpha = 1.0$).
• We can have a load factor greater than 1.0 by using chained hashing.
• Each array position in the hash table is a head reference to a linked list of keys.
• All colliding keys that hash to an array position are inserted to that linked list.
Chained Hashing: A Picture

H(k) = k mod 11
Average Search Time

• The average number of table elements examined in a successful search is approximately:

\[
\frac{1 + \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)}}{2} \quad \text{using linear probing}^* \\
-\frac{\ln(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} \quad \text{using double hashing}^* \\
1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad \text{using chained hashing}
\]

*assuming a non-full hash table with no removals
Average number of searches during a successful search as a function of the load factor $\alpha$.
Birthday Paradox

- Probability that n people don’t have the same birthday:
  \[ p = \frac{364}{365} \times \frac{363}{365} \times \cdots \times \frac{365-n+1}{365} \]
- When \( n \geq 24 \), \( p < 0.5 \).
- This means when \( n \geq 24 \), chances are better that at least two people share the same birthday!
- For any hashing problem of reasonable size, we are almost certain to have collisions.