Typed Arithmetic Expressions

Principles of Programming Languages

CSE 526

- 1 Typed Arithmetic Expressions
- 2 Simply-Typed λ -Calculus

Compiled at 18:30 on 2020/04/15

Types

- Types are way to classify terms (programs)
- Meaningful terms (e.g. those that do not get stuck) should have a type
- A typing relation relates terms to types.
- Two ways to define semantics:
 - *Curry-style:* Define terms and their semantics, then define types to reject those terms whose semantics are problematic.
 - Church-style: Define terms and a typing relation, then define semantics only for well-typed terms.

Typed arithmetic expressions

```
Terms
     true
     false
     if(t, t, t)
     0
     succ
     pred t
     iszero
::=
                  Types
     Bool
     Nat
```

Typing relation for arithmetic expressions

The smallest binary relation ":" between types and terms satisfying all instances of the following inference rules:

$$ext{true}: ext{Bool} \qquad ext{T-TRUE} \qquad \qquad ext{start}$$

A term t is said to be well-typed if there is a type T such that t: T.

 Uniqueness of types: Each term t has at most one type T such that t: T.

A term t is said to be well-typed if there is a type T such that t : T.

- Uniqueness of types: Each term t has at most one type T such that t: T.
- **Progress:** For every well-typed term t, either t is a value or there is a t' such that $t \to t'$.

A term t is said to be well-typed if there is a type T such that t : T.

- Uniqueness of types: Each term t has at most one type T such that t: T.
- **Progress:** For every well-typed term t, either t is a value or there is a t' such that $t \to t'$.
- **Preservation:** If t:T and $t \to t'$ then t':T.

A term t is said to be well-typed if there is a type T such that t : T.

- Uniqueness of types: Each term t has at most one type T such that t: T.
- **Progress:** For every well-typed term t, either t is a value or there is a t' such that $t \to t'$.
- **Preservation:** If t : T and $t \to t'$ then t' : T.
- Safety = Progress + Preservation

Enriched λ -Calculus

- ullet Recall booleans, numbers and operations on them can be encoded in the pure λ -calculus
- Nevertheless, it is convenient to include primitive data types in the calculus as well
- $\lambda \mathbf{B}$ is an enriched calculus with boolean data types true and false, and operation if.
 - λx . λy . **if**(x, y, x) is a term in $\lambda \mathbf{B}$.
- λNB is a similarly enriched calculus with numbers and booleans λx . λy . if(iszero(x), succ(y), x) is a term in λNB

Simply-Typed λ -Calculus

Syntax:

```
\begin{array}{cccc} t & ::= & & & \text{Terms} \\ & x & & \text{Variable} \\ & \mid & \lambda x : T. \ t & \text{Abstraction} \\ & \mid & t \ t & & \text{Application} \end{array}
```

Simply-Typed λ -Calculus

Syntax:

Simply-Typed λ -Calculus

Syntax:

```
Terms
\lambda x: T. t Abstraction t t
              Variable
               Types
 A Base types T \to T type of functions
               Contexts
               Empty Context
\Gamma, x : T Variable Binding
```

Evaluation (Call-By-Value)

Small-Step Evaluation Relation for simply-typed λ -calculus:

$$egin{array}{c} rac{t_1
ightarrow t_1'}{t_1\ t_2
ightarrow t_1'\ t_2
ightarrow t_1'} & ext{E-App1} \ \ rac{t_2
ightarrow t_2'}{v_1\ t_2
ightarrow v_1\ t_2'} & ext{E-Abs2} \ \ (\lambda x:T.\ t_1)\ v_2
ightarrow [x\mapsto v_2]t_1 & ext{E-AppAbs} \ \end{array}$$

Typing Relation

$$x: T \in \Gamma$$
 $\Gamma \vdash x: T$ T-VAR

Typing Relation

$$\frac{x: T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: T} \quad \text{T-VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t_2: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: T_1. \ t_2: \ T_1 \to T_2} \quad \text{T-Abs}$$

Typing Relation

$$\frac{x: T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: T} \quad \text{T-VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t_2: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: T_1. \ t_2: \ T_1 \to T_2} \quad \text{T-Abs}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s: T_1 \to T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t: T_1}{\Gamma \vdash (s \ t): T_2} \quad \text{T-App}$$

A term t is said to be *well-typed* in context Γ if there is a type T such that t : T.

- Uniqueness of types: In a context Γ, each term t has at most one type T such that t: T.
- **Progress:** For every closed, well-typed term t, either t is a value or there is a t' such that $t \to t'$.
- Preservation under substitution: If $\Gamma, x : S \vdash t : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : S$, then $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$
- **Preservation:** If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$ then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.
- Safety = Progress + Preservation

erase is a function that maps simply-typed λ -terms to untyped λ -terms.

$$erase(x) = x$$

 $erase(\lambda x : T. t) = \lambda x. erase(t)$
 $erase(t_1 t_2) = erase(t_1) erase(t_2)$

ullet If t o t' under typed evaluation relation, then $\mathit{erase}(t) o \mathit{erase}(t')$

erase is a function that maps simply-typed λ -terms to untyped λ -terms.

$$erase(x) = x$$

 $erase(\lambda x : T. t) = \lambda x. \ erase(t)$
 $erase(t_1 t_2) = erase(t_1) \ erase(t_2)$

- ullet If t o t' under typed evaluation relation, then $\mathit{erase}(t) o \mathit{erase}(t')$
- If $erase(t) \rightarrow m'$, then there is a simply-typed term t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$ (under typed evaluation relation) and erase(t') = m'

erase is a function that maps simply-typed λ -terms to untyped λ -terms.

$$erase(x) = x$$

 $erase(\lambda x : T. t) = \lambda x. erase(t)$
 $erase(t_1 t_2) = erase(t_1) erase(t_2)$

- ullet If t o t' under typed evaluation relation, then $\mathit{erase}(t) o \mathit{erase}(t')$
- If $erase(t) \rightarrow m'$, then there is a simply-typed term t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$ (under typed evaluation relation) and erase(t') = m'
- An untyped term m is **typable** if there is some simply-typed term t and type T and context Γ such that erase(t) = m and $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

erase is a function that maps simply-typed λ -terms to untyped λ -terms.

$$erase(x) = x$$

 $erase(\lambda x : T. t) = \lambda x. erase(t)$
 $erase(t_1 t_2) = erase(t_1) erase(t_2)$

- ullet If t o t' under typed evaluation relation, then $\mathit{erase}(t) o \mathit{erase}(t')$
- If $erase(t) \rightarrow m'$, then there is a simply-typed term t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$ (under typed evaluation relation) and erase(t') = m'
- An untyped term m is **typable** if there is some simply-typed term t and type T and context Γ such that erase(t) = m and $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.
- Not every untyped lambda term is typable!
 Example: (x x)