Computing Surface Hyperbolic Structure and Real Projective Structures

Miao Jin[1] Feng Luo[2] Xianfeng (David) Gu[1]

[1]Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University

[2]Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University

ACM Solid and Physical Modeling Symposium, 2006

M.C.Esher's art works: Angels and Devils



Regular divisin of the plane

Sphere with Angels and Devils



Circle limit IV Heaven and Hell

Geometries defined on surfaces








Motivation

Main Goals

- Define different geometries on surfaces.
- Systematically generalize planar algorithms to surfaces.

Example: Generalize planar spline schemes to surfaces.

Motivation

Main Goals

- Define different geometries on surfaces.
- Systematically generalize planar algorithms to surfaces.

Example: Generalize planar spline schemes to surfaces.

Planar Splines



Parametric Affine Invariant

The spline is invariants under the affine transformations of the knots and the parameters.

Manifold SPlines



Idea: Geometry Structure

A mesh is covered by local coordinate charts. Geometric construction is invariant during the transition from one local coordinate to another.

Global Parameterization

Find atlas with special transition functions.

Manifold SPlines



Idea: Geometry Structure

A mesh is covered by local coordinate charts. Geometric construction is invariant during the transition from one local coordinate to another.

Global Parameterization

Find atlas with special transition functions.

Parameterizations as Finding Metrics



Idea: Flat Metrics

A planar parameterization of a mesh is equivalent to find the edge lengths such that the sum of surrounding angles for each vertex is 2π.

Parameterizations as Finding Metrics





Idea: Flat Metrics

A planar parameterization of a mesh is equivalent to find the edge lengths such that the sum of surrounding angles for each vertex is 2π.

Ricci Flow





Idea: Ricci Flow

Conformally adjust the edge lengths; the deformation of the edge lengths is driven by the current curvature.

Ricci Flow





Idea: Ricci Flow

Conformally adjust the edge lengths; the deformation of the edge lengths is driven by the current curvature.

Main Ideas

Geometry Structure

A surface is covered by local coordinate charts. Geometric construction is invariant during the transition from one local coordinate to another.

Flat Metrics

Global parameterizations is formulated as finding flat metrics.

Ricci Flow

The conformal deformation of the edge lengths is driven by the curvature.

Erlangen Program - F. Klein 1872

Different geometries study the invariants under different transformation groups.

- Euclidean Geometry : Rigid motion on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Distances between arbitrary two points are the invariants.
- Affine Geometry: Affine transformations. Parallelism and barry centric coordinates are the invariants.
- Real Projective Geometry: Real projective transformations. Collinearity and cross ratios are the invariants.

Delaunay Triangulation: Euclidean geometry.

Key concept used in Delaunay triangulation: distance.

Suppose $\mathbf{P}$ is a planar point set, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})$ is its Delaunay triangulation,

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_n\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a planar rigid motion, then,

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{P})).$$



Delaunay Triangulation: Euclidean geometry.

Key concept used in Delaunay triangulation: distance.

Suppose **P** is a planar point set, **T**(**P**) is its Delaunay triangulation,

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_n\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a planar rigid motion, then,

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{P})).$$



Convex Hull: Real projective geometry.

Key concept used in convex hull: collinearity.

Suppose $\mathbf{P}$ is a planar point set, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})$ is its Delaunay triangulation,

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_n\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{RP}^2 \to \mathbb{RP}^2$ be a real projective transformation, then,

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P})) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{P})).$$



Convex Hull: Real projective geometry.

Key concept used in convex hull: collinearity.

Suppose $\mathbf{P}$ is a planar point set, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})$ is its Delaunay triangulation,

$$\mathbf{P} = \{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_n\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{RP}^2 \to \mathbb{RP}^2$ be a real projective transformation, then,

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P})) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{P})).$$



Definition ((X,G) invariant Algorithm)

Suppose X is a topological space, G is the transformation group on X. A geometric operator Ω defined on X is (X,G) invariant, if and only if

$$\Omega \circ g = g \circ \Omega, \forall g \in G.$$

Examples:

- Minkowski sum: Translation invariant.
- Voronoi Diagram: Rigid motion invariant.
- Polar form : Affine invariant.

Algorithms vs. Geometries

Central Problem

- Can different geometries be defined on general surfaces?
- Can different planar algorithms be generalized to surface domains directly?

The answers are yes and yes. The major theoretic tool is the *Geometric Structure.*

Algorithms vs. Geometries

Central Problem

- Can different geometries be defined on general surfaces?
- Can different planar algorithms be generalized to surface domains directly?

The answers are yes and yes. The major theoretic tool is the *Geometric Structure.*

Manifold



U_α
U_β
S
ϕ_α
ϕ_β
$\phi_{\alpha\beta}$
$\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha)$
$\phi_\beta(U_\beta)$

Definition (Manifold)

A manifold is a topological space Σ covered by a set of open sets $\{U_\alpha\}$. A homeomorphism $\phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{R}^n$ maps U_α to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. (U_α, ϕ_α) is called a chart of Σ, the set of all charts $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}$ form the atlas of Σ. Suppose $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha : \phi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \to \phi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

is a transition map.

Transition maps satisfy cocycle condition, suppose $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\phi_{\beta\gamma} \circ \phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{\alpha\gamma}.$$

Definition ((X,G) Atlas)

Suppose X is a topological space, G is the transformation group of X. A manifold Σ with an atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}$ is an *(X, G) atlas* if

1. $\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \subset X$, for all charts (U_α, ϕ_α).
2. Transition maps $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \in G$.

Definition (Equivalent (X, G) atlases)

Two (X, G) atlases $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ of Σ are *equivalent*, if their union is still an (X, G) atlas of Σ.

Definition ((X,G) structure)

An *(X, G) structure* of a manifold Σ is an equivalent class of its (X, G) atlases.

(X,G) structure

Definition (Equivalent (X, G) atlases)

Two (X, G) atlases $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ of Σ are *equivalent*, if their union is still an (X, G) atlas of Σ.

Definition ((X,G) structure)

An *(X, G) structure* of a manifold Σ is an equivalent class of its (X, G) atlases.

Common (X,G) structure

Spherical Structure

- X: Unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.
- G: Rotation group.
- Surfaces: Genus zero closed surfaces; any open surfaces.

Common (X,G) structure



Spherical Structure

- X: Unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.
- G: Rotation group.
- Surfaces: Genus zero closed surfaces; any open surfaces.

Common (X,G) structure





Affine Structure

- X: Real plane $\mathbb{R}^2$.
- G: Affine transformation group.
- Surfaces: Genus one closed surface and open surfaces.

Common (X,G) structure





Affine Structure

- X: Real plane $\mathbb{R}^2$.
- G: Affine transformation group.
- Surfaces: Genus one closed surface and open surfaces.

Common (X,G) structure





Hyperbolic Structure

- X: Hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$.
- G: Möbius transformation group.
- Surfaces: with negative Euler number.

Common (X,G) structure





Hyperbolic Structure

- X: Hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$.
- G: Möbius transformation group.
- Surfaces: with negative Euler number.

Common (X,G) structure





Real Projective Structure

- X: Real projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2$.
- G: Real projective transformation group.
- Surfaces: any surface.

Common (X,G) structure



Real Projective Structure

- X: Real projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2$.
- G: Real projective transformation group.
- Surfaces: any surface.





Conformal Structure

- X: Complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.
- G: Biholomorphic maps.
- Surfaces: any surface.

Pseudo (X,G) structure





Conformal Structure

- X: Complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.
- G: Biholomorphic maps.
- Surfaces: any surface.

Conformal Structure



Global Tensor Product Structure



Relations

- Conformal structure (A holomorphic 1-form) induces affine structure.
- Hyperbolic structure induces conformal structure.
- Hyperbolic structure induces real projective structure.

Theorem

Suppose a manifold with an (X, G) structure, then any (X, G) invariant algorithms can be generalized on the manifold.

Corollary (Manifold Splines - Gu,He,Qin 2005)

Spline schemes based on polar forms can be defined on a manifold, if and only if the manifold has an affine structure.

Manifold Splines SPM2005

Manifold TSplines GMP2006



Topological Obstructions

Theorem (Benzécri 1959)

If a closed surface admits an affine structure, it has zero Euler class.

Real projective structure

- Real projective structure is general, it exists for all surfaces.
- Real projective structure is simple, all transitions are linear rational functions.
- Real projective structure is suitable for designing manifold spline schemes.

Topological Obstructions

Theorem (Benzécri 1959)

If a closed surface admits an affine structure, it has zero Euler class.

Real projective structure

- Real projective structure is general, it exists for all surfaces.
- Real projective structure is simple, all transitions are linear rational functions.
- Real projective structure is suitable for designing manifold spline schemes.

Previous Works

Related Works

1. Geometric Structures
2. Circle packing
3. Ricci flow
4. Conformal surface parameterizations

There are too many related works, we only briefly review the most related ones. Detailed information can be found in the survey papers in each field.

Previous Works: Ricci Flow

Poincaré Conjecture

A closed three dimensional manifold is a topological three sphere, if all loops can be contracted to a point.

- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton] and pointed out the direction of solving Poincaré conjecture.
- In 2003 to now, Perelman conquered the most difficult part of the proof. Many group of mathematicians are competing for the complete proof.
- In June 2006, complete proof (more than 320 pages)is published by Zhu and Cao. The proof covers Thurston geometrization conjecture, Poinaré conjecture is a corollary.

Previous Works: Ricci Flow

Poincaré Conjecture

A closed three dimensional manifold is a topological three sphere, if all loops can be contracted to a point.

- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton] and pointed out the direction of solving Poincaré conjecture.
- In 2003 to now, Perelman conquered the most difficult part of the proof. Many group of mathematicians are competing for the complete proof.
- In June 2006, complete proof (more than 320 pages)is published by Zhu and Cao. The proof covers Thurston geometrization conjecture, Poinaré conjecture is a corollary.

Previous Works: Ricci Flow

Poincaré Conjecture

A closed three dimensional manifold is a topological three sphere, if all loops can be contracted to a point.

- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton] and pointed out the direction of solving Poincaré conjecture.
- In 2003 to now, Perelman conquered the most difficult part of the proof. Many group of mathematicians are competing for the complete proof.
- In June 2006, complete proof (more than 320 pages)is published by Zhu and Cao. The proof covers Thurston geometrization conjecture, Poinaré conjecture is a corollary.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Circle packing, Ricci flow

- Thurston proposed circle packing concept and algorithm in 1976, [Thurston].
- Ken Stephenson improved the algorithm and built a circle packing package.
- Colin de Verdiere introduced variational framework for circle packing in 1991, [Colin].
- Hamilton introduced surface Ricci flow in 1988, [Hamilton].
- Chow and Luo found connection between circle packing and Ricci flow in 2003, [ChowLuo].
- Bobenko and Springborn formulated circle pattern in 2004.
- Kharevych, Springborn, and Schröder implemented circle pattern in Euclidean case in SGP2005.
- Jin, Luo, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Surface Conformal parameterization

Maps

- Levy et al., *Least squares conformal maps for automatic texture atlas generation*, SIGGRAPH 2002.
- Desbrun et a., *Intrinsic parameterizations of surface meshes*, Computer Graphics Forum (Proc. Eurographics 2002).
- Gu and Yau,*Genus Zero Surface Conformal Mapping and Its Application to Brain Surface Mapping*, IPMI 2003.

Differential Forms

- Gu and Yau, *Global Conformal Surface Parameterization*, SGP 2003.

Previous Works: Surface Conformal parameterization

Maps

- Levy et al., *Least squares conformal maps for automatic texture atlas generation*, SIGGRAPH 2002.
- Desbrun et a., *Intrinsic parameterizations of surface meshes*, Computer Graphics Forum (Proc. Eurographics 2002).
- Gu and Yau,*Genus Zero Surface Conformal Mapping and Its Application to Brain Surface Mapping*, IPMI 2003.

Differential Forms

- Gu and Yau, *Global Conformal Surface Parameterization*, SGP 2003.

Previous Works: Surface Conformal parameterization

Angles

- Sheffer and de Sturler, *Parameterization of faced surfaces for meshing using angle based flattening*, Engineering with Computers, 2001.
- Sheffer, Levy, et al. *ABF++: Fast and robust angle based flattening*, TOG 2005.
- Kharevych, Springborn and Schröder, *Discrete Conformal Mapping via Circle Patterns*,SGP2005.

Metrics

- Jin, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Surface Conformal parameterization

Angles

- Sheffer and de Sturler,*Parameterization of faced surfaces for meshing using angle based flattening*, Engineering with Computers, 2001.
- Sheffer, Levy, et al. *ABF++: Fast and robust angle based flattening*, TOG 2005.
- Kharevych, Springborn and Schröder, *Discrete Conformal Mapping via Circle Patterns*,SGP2005.

Metrics

- Jin, Kim, Lee and Gu realized Euclidean variational Ricci flow in PG2006.
- Jin, Luo and Gu realized Hyperbolic variational Ricci flow in SPM2006.

Previous Works: Geometric Structures

- Thurston developed the concept of geometric structures in 1976.
- Spherical structure
  - Hurdal et al., *Quasi-conformally flat mapping the human cerebellum*, MICCAI 1999.
  - Haker et al., *Conformal surface parameterization for texture mapping*, IEEE TVCG, 2000.
  - Gu and Yau, *Genus Zero Surface Conformal Mapping and Its Application to Brain Surface Mapping*, IPMI 2003
- Affine structure
  - Gu, He and Qin, *Manifold Splines*, SPM2005.
  - Jin, Kim, Lee and Gu, *Conformal Surface Parameterization Using Euclidean Ricci Flow*, PG2006.
- Hyperbolic structure and Real projective structure
  - Jin, Luo and Gu, *Computing Surface Hyperbolic Structure and real projective structure*, SPM2006.

Previous Works: Geometric Structures

- Thurston developed the concept of geometric structures in 1976.
- Spherical structure
    - Hurdal et al., *Quasi-conformally flat mapping the human cerebellum*, MICCAI 1999.
    - Haker et al., *Conformal surface parameterization for texture mapping*, IEEE TVCG, 2000.
    - Gu and Yau, *Genus Zero Surface Conformal Mapping and Its Application to Brain Surface Mapping*, IPMI 2003
- Affine structure
    - Gu, He and Qin, *Manifold Splines*, SPM2005.
    - Jin, Kim, Lee and Gu, *Conformal Surface Parameterization Using Euclidean Ricci Flow*, PG2006.
- Hyperbolic structure and Real projective structure
    - Jin, Luo and Gu, *Computing Surface Hyperbolic Structure and real projective structure*, SPM2006.

Previous Works: Geometric Structures

- Thurston developed the concept of geometric structures in 1976.
- Spherical structure
  - Hurdal et al., *Quasi-conformally flat mapping the human cerebellum*, MICCAI 1999.
  - Haker et al., *Conformal surface parameterization for texture mapping*, IEEE TVCG, 2000.
  - Gu and Yau, *Genus Zero Surface Conformal Mapping and Its Application to Brain Surface Mapping*, IPMI 2003
- Affine structure
  - Gu, He and Qin, *Manifold Splines*, SPM2005.
  - Jin, Kim, Lee and Gu, *Conformal Surface Parameterization Using Euclidean Ricci Flow*, PG2006.
- Hyperbolic structure and Real projective structure
  - Jin, Luo and Gu, *Computing Surface Hyperbolic Structure and real projective structure*, SPM2006.

Previous Works: Geometric Structures

- Thurston developed the concept of geometric structures in 1976.
- Spherical structure
  - Hurdal et al., *Quasi-conformally flat mapping the human cerebellum*, MICCAI 1999.
  - Haker et al., *Conformal surface parameterization for texture mapping*, IEEE TVCG, 2000.
  - Gu and Yau, *Genus Zero Surface Conformal Mapping and Its Application to Brain Surface Mapping*, IPMI 2003
- Affine structure
  - Gu, He and Qin, *Manifold Splines*, SPM2005.
  - Jin, Kim, Lee and Gu, *Conformal Surface Parameterization Using Euclidean Ricci Flow*, PG2006.
- Hyperbolic structure and Real projective structure
  - Jin, Luo and Gu, *Computing Surface Hyperbolic Structure and real projective structure*, SPM2006.

Comparisons

Comparing with conventional methods

1. First work on hyperbolic surface parameterizations.
2. Formulate parameterization as finding special metrics.
3. Combinatorial vs. induced Euclidean metric.
4. Gradient descendent vs. Newton's method.
5. Tangential relation vs. intersection.
6. Generalizable to 3-manifolds.

Conformal Metric

Definition

Suppose Σ is a surface with a Riemannian metric,

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose $\lambda : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined on the surface, then $e^{2\lambda}\mathbf{g}$ is also a Riemannian metric on Σ and called a conformal metric. $e^{2\lambda}$ is called the conformal factor.



Angles are invariant measured by conformal metrics.

Conformal Metrics on a Surface

Given a surface Σ with a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$, find a function $\lambda : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $e^{2\lambda}\mathbf{g}$ is one of the followings:

- Uniform flat metric
  $$\bar{K} \equiv 0,$$
  for interior points
  $$\bar{k}_g \equiv const$$
  for boundary points. The constant values are determined by the conformal structure of Σ.
- Uniformization metric
  $$\bar{K} \equiv const,$$
  for interior points
  $$\bar{k}_g \equiv 0.$$

The tool to calculate the above metrics is Ricci flow.

Surface Ricci Flow

Definition (Surface Ricci Flow)

A closed surface with a Riemannian metric **g**, the Ricci flow on it is defined as

$$\frac{dg_{ij}}{dt} = (\bar{K} - K)g_{ij}, \bar{K} = \frac{2\pi\chi(\Sigma)}{S(\Sigma)}$$

$\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler number, $S(\Sigma)$ is the total area of Σ.

Theorem (Hamilton 1982)

For a closed surface of non-positive Euler characteristic, if the total area of the surface is preserved during the flow, the Ricci flow will converge to a metric such that the Gaussian curvature is constant (equals to $\bar{K}$) every where.

Theorem (Chow)

For a closed surface of positive Euler characteristic, if the total area of the surface is preserved during the flow, the Ricci flow will converge to a metric such that the Gaussian curvature is constant (equals to $\bar{K}$) every where.

Generic Surface Model - Triangular Mesh

- Surfaces are represented as polyhedron triangular meshes.
- Isometric gluing of triangles in $\mathbb{E}^2$.
- Isometric gluing of triangles in $\mathbb{H}^2, \mathbb{S}^2$.



Generic Surface Model - Triangular Mesh

- Surfaces are represented as polyhedron triangular meshes.
- Isometric gluing of triangles in $\mathbb{E}^2$.
- Isometric gluing of triangles in $\mathbb{H}^2, \mathbb{S}^2$.



Generic Surface Model - Triangular Mesh

- Surfaces are represented as polyhedron triangular meshes.
- Isometric gluing of triangles in $\mathbb{E}^2$.
- Isometric gluing of triangles in $\mathbb{H}^2, \mathbb{S}^2$.



Curvature

- Discrete curvature: $K : V = \{vertices\} \to \mathbb{R}^1$.

$$K(v) = 2\pi - \sum_i \alpha_i, v \notin \partial M; K(v) = \pi - \sum_i \alpha_i, v \in \partial M$$

- Discrete Gauss-Bonnet theorem

$$\sum_{v \notin \partial M} K(v) + \sum_{v \in \partial M} K(v) = 2\pi\chi(M).$$



Discrete Metrics

Metric

- Discrete Metric: $l : E = \{all\ edges\} \to \mathbb{R}^1$, satisfies triangular inequality.
- Metrics determine curvatures by cosine law.

$$\cos\theta_i = \frac{l_j^2 + l_k^2 - l_i^2}{2 l_j l_k}, l \neq j \neq k \neq i$$



Metrics vs. Curvatures

- All metrics for a mesh $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma)$ form a convex polytope.
- All admissible curvature configurations for a mesh $\mathbf{K}(\Sigma)$ also form a convex polytope.
- The mapping from the metrics to the curvatures

$$\Phi : \mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \to \mathbf{K}(\Sigma),$$

  is not one to one.
- The mapping from a conformal class of metrics to the curvatures is a homeomorphism.

Conformal metric deformation

Conformal maps Properties

- transform infinitesimal circles to infinitesimal circles.
- preserve the intersection angles among circles.



Idea - Approximate conformal metric deformation

Replace infinitesimal circles by circles with finite radii.

Circle Packing Metric

CP Metric

We associate each vertex v_i with a circle with radius γ_i. On edge e_{ij}, the two circles intersect at the angle of Φ_{ij}. The edge lengths are

$$l_{ij}^2 = \gamma_i^2 + \gamma_j^2 + 2\gamma_i\gamma_j \cos \Phi_{ij}$$

CP Metric (Σ, Γ, Φ), Σ triangulation,

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma_i | \forall v_i\}, \Phi = \{\phi_{ij} | \forall e_{ij}\}$$



Discrete Conformal Equivalent Metric

Definition (Conformal Circle Packing Metrics)

Two circle packing metrics $\{\Sigma, \Phi_1, \Gamma_1\}$ and $\{\Sigma, \Phi_2, \Gamma_2\}$ are conformal equivalent, if

- The radii of circles are different, $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2$.
- The intersection angles are same, $\Phi_1 \equiv \Phi_2$.

In practice, the circle radii and intersection angles are optimized to approximate the induced Euclidean metric of the mesh as close as possible.

Discrete Euclidean Ricci flow

Definition (Discrete Ricci flow)

A mesh Σ with a circle packing metric $\{\Sigma, \Gamma, \Phi\}$, where $\Gamma = \{\gamma_i, v_i \in V\}$ are the vertex radii, $\Phi = \{\Phi_{ij}, e_{ij} \in E\}$ are the angles associated with each edge, the discrete Ricci flow on Σ is defined as

$$\frac{d\gamma_i}{dt} = (\bar{K}_i - K_i)\gamma_i,$$

where $\bar{K}_i$ are the target curvatures on vertices. If $\bar{K}_i \equiv 0$, the flow with normalized total area leads to a metric with constant Gaussian curvature.

Idea

Metric deformation is driven by curvature.

Discrete Euclidean Ricci flow

Theorem (Chow and Luo 2002)

A discrete Euclidean Ricci flow $\{\Sigma, \Gamma, \Phi\} \to \{M, \bar{\Gamma}, \Phi\}$ *converges.*

$$|K_i(t) - \bar{K}_i| < c_1 e^{-c_2 t},$$

and

$$|\gamma_i(t) - \bar{\gamma}_i| < c_1 e^{-c_2 t},$$

where c_1, c_2 *are positive numbers.*

Definition

Let $u_i = ln\gamma_i$, the Ricci energy is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbf{u}_0}^{\mathbf{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (K_i - \bar{K}_i) du_i,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)$, $\mathbf{u}_0 = (0, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

Derivative Euclidean Cosine Law

Theorem (Ricci Energy)

Euclidean Ricci energy is Well defined and convex, namely, there exists a unique global minimum.

Proof.

In an Euclidean triangle, with angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and radius $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, let $u_i = ln\gamma_i$, according to Euclidean cosine law,

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial u_i}.$$

Therefore $\omega = \sum \theta_i du_i$ is a closed 1-form. The Euclidean Ricci energy is well defined. Direct computation verifies that Hessian matrix is positive definite. □

Theorem (Ricci Energy)

Euclidean Ricci energy is Well defined and convex, namely, there exists a unique global minimum.

Proof.

In an Euclidean triangle, with angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and radius $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, let $u_i = ln\gamma_i$, according to Euclidean cosine law,

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial u_i}.$$

Therefore $\omega = \sum \theta_i du_i$ is a closed 1-form. The Euclidean Ricci energy is well defined. Direct computation verifies that Hessian matrix is positive definite. □

Newton's method for Euclidean Ricci energy

Gradient descent Method

Ricci flow is the gradient descent method for minimizing Ricci energy,

$$\nabla f = (K_1 - \bar{K}_1, K_2 - \bar{K}_2, \cdots, K_n - \bar{K}_n).$$

Newton's method

The Hessian matrix of Ricci energy is

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} = \frac{\partial K_i}{\partial u_j}.$$

Newton's method can be applied directly.

Algorithm : uniform flat metric for closed surfaces

Ricci Flow for Uniform Flat Metric

Suppose Σ is a closed genus one mesh,

1. Compute the circle packing metric (Γ, Φ).
2. Set the target curvature to be zero for each vertex
$$\bar{K}_i \equiv 0, \forall v_i \in V$$
3. Minimize the Euclidean Ricci energy using Newton's method to get the target radii $\bar{\Gamma}$.
4. Compute the target flat metric.

Algorithm : uniform flat metric for open surfaces

Given a surface Σ with genus g and b boundaries, then it Euler number is

$$\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2g - b.$$

Suppose the boundary of Σ is a set of closed curves

$$\partial\Sigma = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cdots C_b.$$

The total curvature for each C_i is denoted as $2m_i\pi, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{b} m_i = \chi(\Sigma)$. The target curvature for interior vertices are zeros.



Algorithm : uniform flat metric for open surfaces

Euclidean Ricci flow for open surfaces

- Use Newton's method to minimize the Ricci energy to update the metric.
- Adjust the boundary vertex curvature to be proportional to the ratio between the current lengths of the adjacent edges and the current total length of the boundary component.
- Repeat until the process converges.

Algorithm : Flatten a mesh with a uniform flat metric

Embedding

1. Determine the planar shape of each triangle using 3 edge lengths.
2. Glue all triangles on the plane along their common edges by rigid motions. Because the metric is flat, the gluing process is coherent and results in a planar embedding.

Euclidean Uniform Flat Metric



original surface
genus 1, 3 boundaries



universal cover
embedded in $\mathbb{R}^2$



texture mapping

Euclidean Uniform Flat Metric



Euclidean Uniform Flat Metric



Different boundaries are mapped to straight lines.

Euclidean Uniform Flat Metric



original surface



fundamental domain



universal cover

Poincaré disk

A unit disk $|z| < 1$ with the Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{4dzd\bar{z}}{(1-\bar{z}z)^2}.$$

Conformal Model : Poincaré Disk

Poincaré disk

The rigid motion is the Möbius transformation

$$e^{i\theta}\frac{z-z_0}{1-\bar{z}_0 z}.$$



Conformal Model : Poincaré Disk

Poincaré disk

The hyperbolic line through two point z_0, z_1 is the circular arc through z_0, z_1 and perpendicular to the boundary circle $|z| = 1$.



Conformal Model : Poincaré Disk

Poincaré disk

A hyperbolic circle (c, γ) on Poincare disk is also an Euclidean circle (C, R) on the plane, such that $\mathbf{C} = \frac{2-2\mu^2}{1-\mu^2|\mathbf{c}|^2}$, $R^2 = |\mathbf{C}|^2 - \frac{|\mathbf{c}|^2-\mu^2}{1-\mu^2|\mathbf{c}|^2}, \mu = \frac{e^r-1}{e^r+1}$.



Definition (Discrete Hyperbolic Ricci Flow)

Let

$$u_i = ln \tanh \frac{\gamma_i}{2},$$

Discrete hyperbolic Ricci flow for a mesh Σ is

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = \bar{K}_i - K_i, \bar{K}_i \equiv 0,$$

the Euler number of Σ is negative, $\chi(\Sigma) < 0$.

Discrete Hyperbolic Ricci flow

Theorem (Discrete Hyperbolic Ricci flow, Chow and Luo 2002)

A hyperbolic discrete Ricci flow $(M, \Gamma, \Phi) \to (M, \bar{\Gamma}, \Phi)$ *converges,*

$$|K_i(t) - \bar{K}_i| < c_1 e^{-c_2 t},$$

and

$$|\gamma_i(t) - \bar{\gamma}_i| < c_1 e^{-c_2 t},$$

where c_1, c_2 *are positive numbers.*

Definition (Discrete Hyperbolic Ricci Energy)

The discrete Hyperbolic Ricci energy is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbf{u}_0}^{\mathbf{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{K}_i - K_i) du_i.$$

Discrete hyperbolic Ricci flow is the gradient descendent method to minimize the discrete hyperbolic ricci energy.

Derivative hyperbolic Cosine Law

Theorem (Hyperbolic Discrete Ricci Energy)

Discrete hyperbolic Ricci energy is well defined and convex, namely, there exists a unique global minimum.

Proof.

In a hyperbolic triangle, with angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and radius $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, $u_i = ln \tanh \frac{\gamma_i}{2}$, according to hyperbolic cosine law,

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial u_i}.$$

Therefore $\omega = \sum \theta_i du_i$ is a closed 1-form. The hyperbolic Ricci energy is convex. Direct computation verifies the Hessian matrix is positive definite. $\square$

Theorem (Hyperbolic Discrete Ricci Energy)

Discrete hyperbolic Ricci energy is well defined and convex, namely, there exists a unique global minimum.

Proof.

In a hyperbolic triangle, with angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and radius $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, $u_i = ln \tanh \frac{\gamma_i}{2}$, according to hyperbolic cosine law,

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial u_i}.$$

Therefore $\omega = \sum \theta_i du_i$ is a closed 1-form. The hyperbolic Ricci energy is convex. Direct computation verifies the Hessian matrix is positive definite. □

Algorithm: Computing Hyperbolic uniformization metric

Hyperbolic Ricci Energy Optimization

1. Set target curvature $K(v_i) \equiv 0$.
2. Optimize the hyperbolic Ricci energy using Newton's method, with the constraint the total area is preserved.

Flattening Mesh in Hyperbolic Space

1. Determine the shape of each triangle.
2. Glue the hyperbolic triangles coherently by Möbius transformation.

Key: all computations use hyperbolic geometry.

Algorithm: Computing Hyperbolic uniformization metric

Hyperbolic Ricci Energy Optimization

1. Set target curvature $K(v_i) \equiv 0$.
2. Optimize the hyperbolic Ricci energy using Newton's method, with the constraint the total area is preserved.

Flattening Mesh in Hyperbolic Space

1. Determine the shape of each triangle.
2. Glue the hyperbolic triangles coherently by Möbius transformation.

Key: all computations use hyperbolic geometry.

Hyperbolic Uniformization Metric



Genus 0 surface with 3 boundaries. The double covered surface is of genus 2. The boundaries are mapped to hyperbolic lines.

Hyperbolic Uniformization Metric



Genus 0 surface with 3 boundaries. The double covered surface is of genus 2. The boundaries are mapped to hyperbolic lines.



Genus 0 surface with 3 boundaries. The double covered surface is of genus 2. The boundaries are mapped to hyperbolic lines.

Hyperbolic Uniformization Metric



Embedding in the upper half plane hyperbolic space model. Different period embedded in the hyperbolic space. The boundaries are mapped to hyperbolic lines.

Universal Covering Space and Deck Transformation





Universal Cover

A pair $(\bar{\Sigma}, \pi)$ is a universal cover of a surface Σ, if

- Surface $\bar{\Sigma}$ is simply connected.
- Projection $\pi : \bar{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ is a local homeomorphism.

Deck Transformation

A transformation $\phi : \bar{\Sigma} \to \bar{\Sigma}$ is a deck transformation, if

$$\pi = \pi \circ \phi.$$

A deck transformation maps one period to another.

Fuchsian Group

Definition (Funchsian Group)

Suppose Σ is a surface, $\mathbf{g}$ is its uniformization metric, $(\bar{\Sigma}, \pi)$ is the universal cover of Σ. $\mathbf{g}$ is also the uniformization metric of $\bar{\Sigma}$. A deck transformation of $(\bar{\Sigma}, \mathbf{g})$ is a Möbius transformation. All deck transformations form the Fuchsian group of Σ.

Fuchsian group indicates the intrinsic symmetry of the surface.





Fuchsian Group



The Fuchsian group is isomorphic to the fundamental group

	$e^{i\theta}$	z_0
a_1	$-0.631374 + i0.775478$	$+0.730593 + i0.574094$
b_1	$+0.035487 - i0.999370$	$+0.185274 - i0.945890$
a_2	$-0.473156 + i0.880978$	$-0.798610 - i0.411091$
b_2	$-0.044416 - i0.999013$	$+0.035502 + i0.964858$

Klein Model

Klein Model

Another Hyperbolic space model is Klein Model, suppose **s**, **t** are two points on the unit disk, the distance is

$$d(s,t) = arccosh \frac{1 - \mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{t}}{\sqrt{(1-\mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{s})(1-\mathbf{t}\cdot\mathbf{t})}}$$

Poincaré vs. Klein Model

From Poincaré model to Klien model is straight froward

$$\beta(z) = \frac{2z}{1+\bar{z}z}, \beta^{-1}(z) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-\bar{z}z}}{\bar{z}z},$$

Assume ϕ is a Möbius transformation, then transition maps $\beta\circ\phi\circ\beta^{-1}$ are real projective.



Real projective structure

The embedding of the universal cover in the Poincaré disk is converted to the embedding in the Klein model, which induces a real projective atlas of the surface.

Hyperbolic and Real Projective Structure

Surface



Hyperbolic Structure



Projective Structure

Hyperbolic and Real Projective Structure



Surface, courtesy of Cindy Grimm



Hyperbolic Structure



Projective Structure

Hyperbolic and Real Projective Structure



Surface Hyperbolic Structure Projective Structure

Hyperbolic and Real Projective Structure

Surface



Hyperbolic Structure



Projective Structure

Hyperbolic Uniformization Metric





Performance

performance

- Based on OpenMesh library on Windows platform, [Sovakar and Kobbelt 2005].
- Eight model ($7k$ faces), 10^2 seconds on $1.7G$ CPU with $1G$ RAM laptop.



Curvature error vs. running time. Red curve Newton's method; Blue curve : gradient decent method.

Challenges

Challenges

- Intrinsically nonlinear method.
- Intrinsically the conformal factor may be exponential.
- Determine the optimal initial circle packing metric.
- Embed universal cover in the Poincaré disk.



Summary

Contributions

- Introduce general geometric structures
  - Different geometries can be defined on surfaces.
  - Planar algorithms can be systematically generalized to surfaces.
- Ricci flow method to compute special metrics.
  - Uniform flat metric.
  - Uniformization metric.
- Algorithms to compute geometric structures
  - hyperbolic structure
  - real projective structure



Contributions

- Introduce general geometric structures
  - Different geometries can be defined on surfaces.
  - Planar algorithms can be systematically generalized to surfaces.
- Ricci flow method to compute special metrics.
  - Uniform flat metric.
  - Uniformization metric.
- Algorithms to compute geometric structures
  - hyperbolic structure
  - real projective structure



Summary

Contributions

- Introduce general geometric structures
  - Different geometries can be defined on surfaces.
  - Planar algorithms can be systematically generalized to surfaces.
- Ricci flow method to compute special metrics.
  - Uniform flat metric.
  - Uniformization metric.
- Algorithms to compute geometric structures
  - hyperbolic structure
  - real projective structure



Future Works

Future Works

- Design spline schemes based on real projective geometry.
- Hirearchical approach for Ricci energy optimization.
- Surface classification using Fuchsian group.
- Generalize planar geometric algorithms to surface domains using geometric structures.
- Ricci flow on 3-manifolds.



Thanks

For more information, please email to gu@cs.sunysb.edu.





Thank you!

References I

W. Thurston.
Geometry and Topology of 3-manifolds.
Princeton lecture notes, 1976.

K. Stephenson.
Introduction to Circle Packing, the theory of discrete analytic functions.
Cambridge University Press, 2005.

R. S. Hamilton.
The Ricci flow on surfaces.
Mathematics and General Relativity, no 71, 237-262.

Colin de Verdiere.
Yves Un principe variationnel pour les empilements de cercles.
Invent. Math. 104 (1991), no. 3, 655-669.

References II

- B. Chow, F. Luo.
  Combinatorial Ricci Flows on Surfaces.
  Journal of Differential Geometry. 63(2003), no. 1, 97-129.
- A. Bobenko, B. Springborn.
  Variational principles for circle patterns and Koebe's theorem.
  Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356(2004), no. 2, 659-689.
- L. Kharevych, B. Springborn, P. Schröder
  Discrete Conformal Mapping via Circle Patterns.
  SGP2005.
- B. Levy, S. Petitjean, N. Ray, J. Maillot.
  Least squares conformal maps for automatic texture atlas generation.
  SIGGRAPH 2002, pages 362-371, 2002.

References III

- M. Desbrun, M. Meyer, P. Alliez.
  Intrinsic parameterizations of surface meshes.
  Proc. Eurographics 2002, 21(3):209-218, 2002.
- A. Sheffer, E. de Sturler.
  Parameterization of faced surfaces for meshing using angle based flattening.
  Engineering with Computers, 17(3):326-337, 2001.
- A. Sheffer, B. Levy, M. Mogilnitsky, A. Bogomyakov.
  ABF++: Fast and robust angle based flattening.
  ACM Transactions on Graphics, 24(2):311-330, 2005.
- X. Gu, S.-T. Yau.
  Computing Conformal Structures of Surfaces.
  Communications in Information and Systems, Vol2, No 2.

- M. Hurdal, P. Bowers, K. Stephenson, D. Sumners, K. Rehm, K. Schaper, and D. Rottenberg.
  Quasi-conformally flat mapping the human cerebellum,¡M
  MICCAI 1999.

- S. Haker, S. Angenent, A. Tannenbaum, R. Kikinis, G. Sapiro, M. Halle.
  Conformal surface parameterization for texture mapping,
  IEEE TVCG, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 181-189, 2000.

Algorithm : Computing Circle Packing Metric

- Input : A triangular mesh Σ.
- output: A circle packing metric (Γ, Φ).

1. On face f_{ijk}, compute

$$\gamma_i^{jk} = \frac{l_j + l_k - l_i}{2}, \gamma_j^{ki} = \frac{l_k + l_i - l_j}{2}, \gamma_k^{ij} = \frac{l_i + l_j - l_k}{2},$$

2. for each vertex v_i, computes γ_i,

$$\gamma_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{f_{ijk} \in F} \gamma_i^{jk},$$

m is the number faces adjacent to vertex v_i.

3. for each edge e_{ij} with edge length l_{ij}, compute

$$\nu_{ij} = \frac{\gamma_i^2 + \gamma_j^2 - l_{ij}^2}{2\gamma_i\gamma_j}$$

set Φ_{ij},

$$\Phi_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \nu_{ij} > 1 \\ cos^{-1}\nu_{ij} & 0 \leq \nu_{ij} \leq 1 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & \nu_{ij} < 0 \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgement

Thank

- All the organizers for SPM06.
- All the reviewers for their valuable advices.
- All collaborators for the inspirations and assistances.
- Stanford university, INRIA, Washington University, Microsoft Research for the surface models.

Sponsorship

- NSF Career CCF -0448399
- NSF DMS-0528363

Acknowledgement

Thank

- All the organizers for SPM06.
- All the reviewers for their valuable advices.
- All collaborators for the inspirations and assistances.
- Stanford university, INRIA, Washington University, Microsoft Research for the surface models.

Sponsorship

- NSF Career CCF -0448399
- NSF DMS-0528363