## Introduction to Medical Imaging

## Cone-Beam CT

Klaus Mueller

Computer Science Department
Stony Brook University

## Introduction

Available cone-beam reconstruction methods:

- exact
- approximate

Our discussion:

- exact (now)
- approximate (next)

The Radon transform and its inverse are important mechanisms to understand cone-beam CT

## Cone-Beam Transform

$$
D \mu(\vec{a}(t), \vec{\beta})=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(\vec{a}(t)+s \vec{\beta}) d s, \quad(\vec{a}, \vec{\beta}) \in \Gamma \times S^{2}
$$

$\vec{a}(t)$ is the source position along trajectory $\Gamma$ $\vec{\beta}$ the unit vector pointing along a particular x-ray beam

The cone-beam transform reflects the data acquisition process of measuring line integrals of the attenuation coefficient $\mu$.


## 2D Radon Transform

The analytical approach of reconstruction by projections has to be done in the context of the Radon transform $\mathfrak{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Re \mu(\rho, \vec{\theta})= & \int_{2^{2}} d^{2} \delta(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\theta}-\rho) \cdot \mu(\vec{r})= \\
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d l \mu\left(\rho \cdot \vec{\theta}+l \cdot \vec{\theta}_{\perp}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in the 2D case the Radon transform $\mathfrak{R} \mu$ is identical to the measured cone beam transform $D \mu$

$$
\left.D \mu\left(\vec{a}, \vec{\theta}_{\perp}\right)\right|_{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\theta}=\rho}=\Re \mu(\rho, \vec{\theta})
$$

with projection angle $\theta$.


## 3D Radon Transform

In three dimensions the Radon transform $\mathfrak{R}$ is a plane integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Re \mu(\rho, \vec{\theta})=\int d^{3} r \delta(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\theta}-\rho) \cdot \mu(\vec{r})= \\
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d l_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d l_{2} \mu\left(\rho \cdot \vec{\theta}+l_{1} \cdot \vec{\theta}_{\perp, 1}+l_{2} \cdot \vec{\theta}_{\perp, 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a severe complication compared to the 2D case. As we will see the link to the measured cone beam transform $D \mu$ is not trivial.


## Fourier-Slice Theorem in 2D

$$
F_{\rho} \Re \mu(\rho, \vec{\theta})=\left(F_{2} \mu\right)\left(\omega_{\rho} \cdot \bar{\theta}\right)
$$

The radial 1D Fourier transform $F_{\rho}$ of the Radon transform $\mathfrak{R} \mu$ along $\vec{\theta}$ is equal to the 2D Fourier transform $F_{2}$ of the object $\mu$ along $\vec{\theta}$ perpendicular to the direction of the projection.


Fourier domain

## Fourier-Slice Theorem in 3D

$$
F_{\rho} \Re \mu(\rho, \vec{\theta})=\left(F_{3} \mu\right)\left(\omega_{\rho} \cdot \vec{\theta}\right)
$$

The radial 1D Fourier transform $F_{\rho}$ of the Radon transform $\mathfrak{R} \mu$ along $\vec{\theta}$ is equal to the 3D Fourier transform $F_{3}$ of the object $\mu$ along $\vec{\theta}$ perpendicular to the direction of the projection.


Fourier domain

## Exact Reconstruction in 2D and 3D

## In 2D:

- use 2D inversion formula: the filtered backprojection procedure
- we have seen a spatial technique, only performing filtering in the frequency domain (in a polar grid)
- but may also interpolate the polar grid in the frequency domain and invert the resulting cartesian lattice
- employ linogram techniques for the latter (see later)

In 3D:

- use 3D inversion formula: not nearly as straightforward than 2D inversion
- full frequency-space methods also exist
- more details next (on all)


## Exact Inversion Formula

The basic 3D inversion filtered backprojection formula, due to Natterer (1986):

$$
f(x)=\frac{-1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho^{2}} \Re f f(|\rho| \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta .
$$

- $\theta$ is the angle, a unit vector on a unit sphere
- $\mathrm{x}, \rho$ are object and Radon space coordinates, resp.: $|\rho|=x \cdot \theta$
- involves a $2^{\text {nd }}$ derivative of the 3D Radon transform
- the second derivative operator can be treated as a convolution kernel

Some manipulations can reduce the second derivative to a first derivative, along with convolution operators

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho^{2}} \Re f(|\rho| \theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \frac{-1}{2 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}} * \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\left[\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} \rho} * \Re f(|\rho| \theta)\right] \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

- many different variants have been proposed
- for example: Kudo/Saito (1990), Smith (1985)


## Grangeat's Algorithm

## Phase 1:

- from cone-beam data to derivatives of Radon data

Phase 2:

- from derivatives of Radon data to reconstructed 3D object

There are many ways to achieve Phase 2

- direct, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{5}\right)$
- a two-step procedure, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{4}\right)$ [Marr et al, 1981]
- a Fourier method, O( $\left.\mathrm{N}^{3} \log \mathrm{~N}\right)$, [Axelsson/Danielsson, 1994]
- a divide-and-conquer strategy, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3} \log \mathrm{~N}\right)$ [Basu/Bresler, 2002]
- we shall discuss the first three here

But first let us see how Radon data are generated from conebeam data

## Transforming Cone-Beam to Radon Data



## Transforming Cone-Beam to Radon Data

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \rho}[\Re f(\rho)] & =\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \rho} f(\rho, r, \gamma) r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \gamma=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \kappa} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} X f(\rho, \gamma) \frac{1}{\cos \gamma} \mathrm{~d} \gamma \\
& =\frac{S C}{\cos ^{2} \beta} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{S A} X f(\rho, t) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Strategy:

- weigh detector data with a factor 1/SA
- integrate along all intersections (lines) between the detector plane and the required Radon planes
- there are $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ such lines ( N lines and N rotations)
- take the derivative in the s-direction (in the detector plane perpendicular to t)
- weight the 2D data set resulting from a single source position by the factor SC / $\cos ^{2} \beta$

The order of these operations can be switched since they are all linear (Grangeat swapped the order of operation 2 and 3)

## Radon Data to Object: Direct Method

There are $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$ data points in Radon (derivative) space
Each is due to a plane integral


The direct method simply inserts the plane data into the object space, one by one

- this is basically the expansion of a point into a plane, defined by $(\theta, \rho)$
- this gives rise to an $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{5}\right)$ algorithm


## Radon Data to Object: Two-Step Method



## Radon Data to Object: Two-Step Method

Each vertical plane holds all Radon points due to plane integrals of perpendicularly intersecting planes

- filtered backprojection reduces the plane integrals to line integrals, confined to horizontal planes
The horizontal planes are then reconstructed with another filtered backprojection

Each such operation is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$ and there are $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ of them, resulting in a complexity of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{4}\right)$

## Radon Data to Object: Fourier Space Approach



## Radon Data to Object: Fourier Space Approach

Takes advantage of the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N})$ complexity of the FFT at various steps

It also uses linograms [Edholm/Herman, 1987] to reduce 2D interpolation to 1D interpolation

The complexity is then $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3} \log \mathrm{~N}\right)$

## Long Object Problem



- Reconstruction of an ROI should be feasible from projection data restricted to the ROI and some surrounding.
- The basic 3D Radon inversion formula does not fulfill this request.


## Tuy's Sufficiency Condition



## Concept of PI-Lines



For a point $x$ on a Pl line any plane containing $x$ has at least one intersection point with the PI segment associated with the PI line.
The PI segment is that portion of the source trajectory needed for reconstructing the point $x$.

## Examples of Complete Trajectories



saddle

circle and line

## Circular Source Path

## A prominent example of an incomplete trajectory



- Due to incomplete data sampling cone artifacts show up at sharp z-edges of objects with high contrast.
- Almost horizontal rays (or integration planes) are missing to distinguish between the members of the object stack.

Thorax simulation study.
Coronal slice. $\mathrm{C}=0, \mathrm{~W}=200$

## 3D Radon Data Acquired by a Circular Trajectory



By a circular source trajectory a donut shaped region is acquired in 3D Radon space. At the z-axis a cone-like region is missing.

## Challenges in Cone-Beam Reconstruction

The naive application of the 3D Radon inversion formula is prohibitive due to

- long object problem
- enormous computational expense

Simplifications have to found to end up in an efficient and numerically stable reconstruction algorithm preferably in a shift-invariant 1D-filtered backprojection algorithm

Utilization of redundant data is obscure. Ideally redundancy in collected Radon planes has to be considered. However, this approach is suboptimal because:

- it is quite complicated
- underestimates the redundancy of data
- typically in cone beam, the data are highly redundant in approximation


## Transmission CT

A typical reconstruction algorithm is Filtered Backprojection


Projection filtering FFT
multiply by ramp inverse FFT pre-weighting Backprojections

## Popular Approximation

Feldkamp-Davis-Kress (FDK) Cone-beam reconstruction

## FDK: Filtering



## FDK: Backprojection


voxel $\rightarrow$ projection mapping projection coordinates of mapped voxel

## FDK: Accumulation, Depth-Weighting

reconstructed voxel


$$
f(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d^{2}}{\left(d+\boldsymbol{r} \cdot x_{\phi}\right)^{2}} \hat{P}_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{r}) d \phi
$$

accumulation for all projections depth-weighting

